arXiv:1506.05880v6 [math.RT] 13 Sep 2016

Potentials for some tensor algebras

Raymundo Bautista and Daniel López-Aguayo

Abstract

This paper generalizes former works of Derksen, Weyman and Zelevinsky about quivers with potentials. We consider the algebra of formal power series with coefficients in the tensor algebra of a bimodule over a basic semisimple finite dimensional F-algebra, where F is any field, and develop a mutation theory for potentials lying in this algebra. We introduce an ideal $R(P)$ analog to the Jacobian ideal and show it is contained properly in the Jacobian ideal $J(P)$. It is shown that this ideal is invariant under algebra isomorphisms. Moreover, we prove that mutation is an involution on the set of right-equivalence classes of all reduced potentials. We also show that certain class of skew-symmetrizable matrices can be reached from a species. Finally, we prove that if the underlying field is infinite then given any arbitrary sequence of positive integers then there exists a potential P such that the iterated mutation at this set of integers exists.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**

2. The algebra $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ **2**

3. Freely generated bimodules **8**

4. Derivations **9**

5. The ideal R(P) **17**

6. Equivalence of potentials **21**

7. Quadratic potentials **29**

8. Mutation of potentials **39**

9. A mutation invariant **57**

10. Rigidity **62**

11. Realizations of potentials **62**

12. Nondegeneracy **64**

References **71**

1. *Introduction*

There have been distinct generalizations of the notion of a quiver with potential and mutation where the underlying F-algebra, F a field, is replaced by more general algebras, see [**2**], [**5**] and [**6**]. In this paper instead of working with a quiver we consider a tensor algebra over M where M is an S-bimodule and S is a finite direct product of division algebras containing F in its center and finite dimensional over F. Our extension is similar to that of [**6**] but more general. In [**1**] we consider decorated representations of the algebras with potential introduced here.

In section 2 we introduce $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, this is the $\langle M\rangle$-adic completion of the tensor algebra $T_S(M)$ where $\langle M\rangle$ is the two-sided ideal

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 16G10 (primary), 16G20,16S38 (secondary).

Supported by CONACyT Ph.D scholarship no. 358378.

generated by M. We will view $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ as formal power series in M. Then we provide a description (analogous to that of [**3**]) of the topological algebra isomorphisms $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$.

In section 3 we define the concept of Z-freely generated S-bimodule and we study its properties.

In section 4 following [**7**] we define cyclic derivative and the partial cyclic derivatives associated to the elements of the S-dual of M_S.

In section 5 for every potential P in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ we define a two-sided closed ideal $R(P)$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ which is contained properly in the Jacobian algebra $J(P)$ of P. Our definition is given in terms of a Z-free generating set of M and F-bases of each indecomposable factor D_i of S. An important property of $R(P)$ is that it is invariant under algebra isomorphisms $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ which leave fixed elements of S, so $\phi(R(P)) = R(\phi(P))$. This implies that $R(P)$ does not depends on the choice of a Z-free generating set of M nor on the choice of F-bases of D_i.

In section 6 following [**3**] we define right-equivalence between algebras with potentials and some properties are established.

In section 7 a condition on the F-bases of each of the indecomposable factors of S is imposed. From here we will assume such conditions are satisfied. It is easy to verify that in the case of [**6**] these conditions are satisfied. For each potential P we assign to it a map of S-bimodules $X^P : M^* \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ which is crucial for the next sections. This map is given in terms of the cyclic partial derivatives. If P is a quadratic potential then we obtain a morphism $X^P : M^* \to M$. We will establish a splitting theorem as in [**3**] with the difference that our theorem holds if and only if the image of X^P in M is a Z-freely generated bimodule.

In the case of [**6**] each non-zero S-submodule of M is Z-freely generated, so here the splitting theorem always holds.

In section 8 we introduce the main concept: mutations of algebras with potentials. We take $1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i$ a decomposition of the unity into primitive orthogonal central idempotents of S and we will assume the cyclic part of M is trivial, that is for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $e_i M e_i = 0$.

As in [**3**] for each $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ we define mutation of an algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ in the direction of k as long as the following property is satisfied: for each i between 1 and n, $e_i M e_k \neq 0$ implies $e_k M e_i = 0$ and $e_k M e_i \neq 0$ implies $e_i M e_k = 0$. First, we introduce a new algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M), \mu_k P)$ and then we are interested in removing the quadratic part of $\mu_k P$; in case this is possible we obtain an algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu}_k M), \bar{\mu}_k P)$. In this case we say that $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined. We give a condition in terms of $X^{\mu_k P}$ so that this is achieved.

It is shown that if P and P' are right-equivalent potentials, then $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined if and only if $\bar{\mu}_k P'$ is defined and if this happens then $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is right-equivalent to $\bar{\mu}_k P'$. An important result that is shown is that if $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined, then $\bar{\mu}_k(\bar{\mu}_k P)$ is defined and it is right-equivalent to P.

In section 9 we will see as in [**3**] that if $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined then the algebra $\mathcal{F}_S(M)/R(P)$ is finite dimensional over F if and only if $\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu}_k M)/R(\bar{\mu}_k P)$ is also finite dimensional over F.

In section 10 we define the deformation space of an algebra with potential and show that this is invariant under mutations.

In section 11 we will see mutations in terms of a skew-symmetrizable matrix associated to the S-bimodule M. We then show that the associated matrices to M and $\bar{\mu}_k M$ are related via matrix mutation in the sense of Fomin-Zelevinsky [**4**].

In the last section of this paper we prove the following result: if F is an infinite field and M is an S-bimodule such that for each pair of integers i, j between 1 and n and $e_i M e_j \neq 0$ implies that $e_j M e_i = 0$ then for any sequence $k_1, \ldots, k_l$ of integers in $[1, n]$ there exists a potential P in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \ldots \bar{\mu}_{k_1} P$ is defined.

2. *The algebra $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$*

Definition 1. Let F be a field and let $D_1, \ldots, D_n$ be division rings containing F in its center, let $S = \prod_{i=1}^{n} D_i$ and M be a S-bimodule of finite dimension over F. Define the *algebra of formal power series* over M as the set

$$\mathcal{F}_S(M) := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i) : a(i) \in M^{\otimes i} \right\}$$

where $M^0 = S$.

Define the sum in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ as

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b(i) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a(i) + b(i))$$

and the product as

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i)\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b(j)\right) := \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i+j=p} a(i)b(j)$$

where $a(i)b(j)$ is the image of $a(i) \otimes b(j)$ in $M^{\otimes(i+j)}$ under the canonical isomorphism of S-bimodules:

$$M^{\otimes i} \otimes_S M^{\otimes j} \xrightarrow{\cong} M^{\otimes(i+j)}$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ becomes an associative F-unital algebra under these operations. The multiplicative identity 1 of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is given by

$$1(i) = \begin{cases} 1_S \text{ if } i = 0 \\ 0 \text{ if } i \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where 1_S denotes the multiplicative identity of the algebra S.

Define $\nu : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathbb{N}$ as follows. For each nonzero element a in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ let

$$\nu(a) := min\{i \in \mathbb{N} : a(i) \neq 0\}$$

The map ν induces a metric d on $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$:

$$d : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \times \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathbb{R}$$

given by $d(a, b) = 2^{-\nu(a-b)}$ if $a \neq b$ and 0 otherwise. We remark that d is a metric on $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ that induces the $\langle M\rangle$-adic topology where $\langle M\rangle$ is the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ generated by M. With this metric, $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ becomes a topological algebra.

Let $T_S(M) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M^{\otimes i}$ denote the tensor algebra of M over S and let $\mathfrak{m}(M)$ be the two-sided ideal generated by M in $T_S(M)$, then $\widehat{T_S(M)}_{\mathfrak{m}(M)} \cong \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ as topological algebras. Thus the algebra $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is the completion of the tensor algebra $T_S(M)$.

For each $j \geq 1$ define:

$$\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq j} := \{a \in \mathcal{F}_S(M) : a(i) = 0 \text{ for every } i < j\}$$

It is readily seen that $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq j}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and a closed subspace as well.

DEFINITION 2. Let $\tau := \{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. We say that τ is *summable* if for every $u \in \mathbb{N}$ the set

$$\mathcal{F}(\tau, u) := \{i \in \mathbb{N} : T_i(u) \neq 0\}$$

is finite. If $\tau := \{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is summable we define the series $\sum T_i$ as:

$$\left(\sum T_i\right)(u) := \sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}(\tau,u)} T_i(u)$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $\tau = \{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $J_n = \sum_{i\leq n} T_i$. If τ is summable then $\lim_{n\to\infty} J_n = \sum T_i$ with respect the metric d.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^N\epsilon > 1$. Since τ is summable then for every $u \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ we have that $|\mathcal{F}(\tau, u)| < \infty$. Set $T = \bigcup_{u=0}^{N} \mathcal{F}(\tau, u)$ and put $k = max\ T$. If $n \geq k$ and $u \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$ then $J_n(u) - \left(\sum T_i\right)(u) = 0$. Therefore if $n \geq k$ then $v\left(J_n - \sum T_i\right) > N$. Consequently:

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(J_n, \sum T_i\right) &< 2^{-N} \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} J_n = \sum T_i$. □

Let $\tau = \{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\tau' = \{T'_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of elements of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Let $\tau'' = \{T''_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ where

$$T''_s := \sum_{i+j=s} T_i T'_j$$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\tau = \{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\tau' = \{T'_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. If both sequences are summable then $\{T''_s\}_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ is summable and $\sum T''_s = \left(\sum T_i\right)\left(\sum T'_j\right)$.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each integer $l \in [0, u]$ define

$$\begin{aligned} J_l &= \mathcal{F}(\tau, l) \times \mathcal{F}(\tau', u-l) \\ J &= \bigcup_{l=0}^{u} J_l \end{aligned}$$

Since τ and τ' are summable then J is a finite set. Set $s_0 = max\{i + j : (i, j) \in J\}$, then

$$\mathcal{F}(\tau'', u) \subseteq [0, s_0] \cap \mathbb{N}$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}(\tau'', u)$ is a finite set and hence τ'' is summable. Let $u \in \mathbb{N}$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum T''_s\right)(u) &= \sum_{s\in\mathcal{F}(\tau'',u)} T''_s(u) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{s_0} T''_s(u) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{u} \sum_{(i,j)\in J_l} T_i(l) T'_j(u-l) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum T_i\right)\left(\sum T'_j\right)(u) &= \sum_{l=0}^{u} \left(\sum_{i\in\mathcal{F}(\tau,l)} T_i(l)\right)\left(\sum_{j\in\mathcal{F}(\tau',u-l)} T'_j(u-l)\right) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{u} \sum_{(i,j)\in J_l} T_i(l) T'_j(u-l) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.3. *Let M and M' be S-bimodules and let $\phi : M \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ be a morphism of S-bimodules such that $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq 1}$. Then there exists a unique algebra morphism $\overline{\phi} : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, tail, "i"] \arrow[d, "\phi"'] & \mathcal{F}_S(M) \arrow[dl, dashed, "\overline{\phi}"] \\
\mathcal{F}_S(M') &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i is the inclusion map $M \rightarrowtail \mathcal{F}_S(M)$.

Proof. The universal property of the tensor algebra $T_S(M)$ implies the existence of a unique morphism of algebras $\psi : T_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "\phi"'] & T_S(M) \arrow[dl, dashed, "\psi"] \\
\mathcal{F}_S(M') &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where j is the inclusion map from M to $T_S(M)$. Let $a = \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} a(u)$ be an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Since $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq 1}$ then $\psi(a(u)) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq u}$ for every $u \geq 0$. Therefore the sequence $\{\psi(a(u))\}_{u \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable. Define $\overline{\phi} : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ by $a \mapsto \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \psi(a(u))$. It is clear that $\overline{\phi}$ is additive and that $\overline{\phi}$ preserves the identity. Let us show that $\overline{\phi}$ preserves products. Let a_1, a_2 be elements of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then Proposition 2.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\phi}(a_1 a_2) &= \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \psi((a_1 a_2)(u)) \\
&= \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \psi\left(\sum_{i+j=u} a_1(i) a_2(j)\right) \\
&= \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=u} \psi(a_1(i)) \psi(a_2(j)) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi(a_1(i))\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi(a_2(j))\right) \\
&= \overline{\phi}(a_1)\overline{\phi}(a_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\overline{\phi}$ extends the map ϕ. The uniqueness of $\overline{\phi}$ follows from the continuity and uniqueness of ψ in $T_S(M)$ and from the fact that $T_S(M)$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. ☐

Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be an algebra morphism such that $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$. Since $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1} = M \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ then the restriction of ϕ to M induces a map $\phi_0 : M \to M \bigoplus \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ determined by the pair of S-bimodules morphisms $(\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi^{(1)} : M \to M \\
&\phi^{(2)} : M \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that $\phi^{(1)} = id_M$ then ϕ is an algebra isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $\psi = id_{\mathcal{F}_S(M)} - \phi$, then ψ is an endomorphism of S-bimodules. We now show that $\psi(M^{\otimes u}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+1}$ for every non-negative integer u. If $u = 1$ then the assumption $\phi^{(1)} = id_M$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(m) &= m - \phi(m) \\
&= m - \phi_0(m) \\
&= m - (\phi^{(1)}(m) + \phi^{(2)}(m)) \\
&= m - m - \phi^{(2)}(m) \\
&= -\phi^{(2)}(m)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\phi^{(2)} : M \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$, then $\psi(m) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. Let us now show that the general case follows by induction. Suppose that the claim holds for u and let us show it holds for $u + 1$. Let $n \otimes m \in M^{\otimes(u+1)} = M^{\otimes u} \otimes_S M$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(n \otimes m) &= n \otimes m - \phi(n \otimes m) \\
&= nm - \phi(n)\phi(m) \\
&= nm - \phi(n)m + \phi(n)m - \phi(n)\phi(m) \\
&= (n - \phi(n))m + \phi(n)(m - \phi(m)) \\
&= \psi(n)m + \phi(n)\psi(m)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $n \in M^{\otimes u}$, then by the induction hypothesis $\psi(n) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+1}$ and thus $\psi(n)m \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+2}$. On the other hand $n \in M^{\otimes u}$ and since $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$ then $\phi(n) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u}$. Therefore $\psi(n \otimes m) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+2}$.

We now prove that $\psi(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+1}$. Indeed, let $a \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u}$ then $a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(u+k)$ where $a(u+k) \in M^{\otimes(u+k)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(a) &= a - \phi(a) \\
&= a - \phi\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(u+k)\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a(u+k) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi(a(u+k)) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a(u+k) - \phi(a(u+k))) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi(a(u+k)) \\
&= \psi(a(u)) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \psi(a(u+k))
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a(u) \in M^{\otimes u}$ then the inclusion $\phi(M^{\otimes u}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+1}$ implies that $\psi(a(u)) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+1}$. Also note that $\psi(a(u+k)) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+1}$. It follows that $\psi(a) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq u+1}$.

Observe that the sequence $\{\psi^i(a)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable. Define $\rho : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ by:

$$\rho(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi^i(a)$$

By construction $\psi = id - \phi$, which implies that $\phi = id - \psi$. Thus $\phi\rho = (id - \psi)\rho$. Since ψ is a continuous map then:

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi\rho)(a) &= (id-\psi)(\rho(a))\\ &= (id-\psi)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\psi^i(a)\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\psi^i(a) - \psi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\psi^i(a)\right)\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\psi^i(a) - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\psi^{i+1}(a)\\ &= \psi^0(a)\\ &= id(a)\\ &= a\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi\rho = id_{\mathcal{F}_S(M)}$. Similarly $\rho\phi = id_{\mathcal{F}_S(M)}$ and thus ϕ is an algebra isomorphism. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ be an algebra morphism such that $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq 1}$. Let $\phi_0 = (\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)})$, then ϕ is an algebra isomorphism if and only if $\phi^{(1)}$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules.*

Proof. Suppose first that ϕ is an algebra isomorphism, then there exists $\rho : \mathcal{F}_S(M') \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\rho\phi = id_{\mathcal{F}_S(M)}$ and $\phi\rho = id_{\mathcal{F}_S(M')}$. Since $\phi|_S = id_S$ then $\rho|_S = id_S$. Thus $\rho(M') \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$ and hence $\rho|_{M'} = (\rho^{(0)}, \rho^{(1)})$ where $\rho^{(0)} : M' \to M$ and $\rho^{(1)} : M' \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ are S-bimodules morphisms. Let $m \in M'$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(m) &= \rho^{(0)}(m) + \rho^{(1)}(m)\\ \phi(\rho(m)) &= \phi(\rho^{(0)}(m)) + \phi(\rho^{(1)}(m))\\ m &= \phi(\rho^{(0)}(m)) + \phi(\rho^{(1)}(m))\\ &= \phi^{(1)}(\rho^{(0)}(m)) + \phi^{(2)}(\rho^{(0)}(m)) + \phi(\rho^{(1)}(m))\end{aligned}$$

The uniqueness of the direct sum implies that $m = \phi^{(1)}(\rho^{(0)}(m))$. Now let $m \in M$, then $\phi(m) = \phi_0(m)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(m) &= \phi^{(1)}(m) + \phi^{(2)}(m)\\ \rho(\phi(m)) &= \rho(\phi^{(1)}(m)) + \rho(\phi^{(2)}(m))\\ m &= \rho(\phi^{(1)}(m)) + \rho(\phi^{(2)}(m))\\ &= \rho^{(0)}(\phi^{(1)}(m)) + \rho^{(1)}(\phi^{(1)}(m)) + \rho(\phi^{(2)}(m))\end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho^{(1)}(\phi^{(1)}(m))$ and $\rho(\phi^{(2)}(m))$ are elements of $\mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq 2}$ then $\rho^{(0)}(\phi^{(1)}(m)) = m$, showing that $\phi^{(1)}$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. Suppose now that $\phi^{(1)}$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. Define $\rho^{'} := (\phi^{(1)})^{-1} : M' \to M$. By Proposition 2.3 it follows that $\rho^{'}$ induces an algebra morphism $\rho : \mathcal{F}_S(M') \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho\circ\phi)(m) &= \rho(\phi(m))\\ &= \rho(\phi_0(m))\\ &= \rho(\phi^{(1)}(m) + \phi^{(2)}(m))\\ &= \rho(\phi^{(1)}(m)) + \rho(\phi^{(2)}(m))\\ &= (\phi^{(1)})^{-1}(\phi^{(1)}(m)) + \rho(\phi^{(2)}(m))\\ &= m + \rho(\phi^{(2)}(m))\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\rho\circ\phi)|_M = (id_M, \rho\circ\phi^{(2)})$ thus Proposition 2.4 implies that ϕ has a left inverse. A similar reasoning shows that ϕ has a right inverse and thus ϕ is an algebra isomorphism. □

Definition 3. Let ϕ be the automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ corresponding to a pair of S-bimodule morphisms $(\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)})$ as in Proposition 2.5. If $\phi^{(1)} = id_M$, we say that ϕ is a *unitriangular* automorphism.

3. *Freely generated bimodules*

Let F be a field. Throughout the rest of the paper we will assume that the following hypotheses hold: let $S = \prod_{i=1}^{n} D_i$ be a finite direct product of division rings containing F in its center, each D_i finite-dimensional over F. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of S and $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Fe_i$. Note that Z is a subring of the center of S. Let M be a finite-dimensional S-bimodule.

Definition 4. We say that M is *Z-freely generated* by a Z-subbimodule M_0 of M if the multiplication map $\mu_M : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to M$ given by $\mu_M(s_1 \otimes m \otimes s_2) = s_1ms_2$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. In this case we say that M is an S-bimodule which is *Z-free.*

Definition 5. An element $m \in M$ is *legible* if $m = e_ime_j$ for some idempotents e_i, e_j of S.

Definition 6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a subset of M. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is a *right S-local basis* of M if every element of $\mathcal{C}$ is legible and for each pair of idempotents e_i, e_j of S we have that $\mathcal{C} \cap e_iMe_j$ is a $Se_j = D_j$-basis for e_iMe_j.

A right S-local basis $\mathcal{C}$ induces a dual basis $\{u, u^*\}_{u\in\mathcal{C}}$ where $u^* : M_S \to S_S$ is the morphism of right S-modules defined by $u^*(v) = 0$ if $v \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{u\}$ and $u^*(u) = e_j$ if $u = e_iue_j$.

Proposition 3.1. *For a Z-free S-bimodule M, the following are equivalent:*

(i) *M is Z-freely generated by M_0 with Z-local basis T.*

(ii) *T is a subset of legible elements of M that generates M as an S-bimodule and such that if N is an S-bimodule, X any subset of legible elements of N and if there is a function $\phi_0 : T \to X$ with $\phi_0(e_iMe_j \cap T) \subseteq X \cap e_iNe_j$, then there is a unique morphism of S-bimodules $\phi : M \to N$ such that $\phi|_T = \phi_0$.*

Proof. We now show that (i) implies (ii). It is immediate that T generates M as an S-bimodule. Let N_0 be the F-vector subspace of N generated by X; since X consists of legible elements then N_0 is a Z-subbimodule of N. Since T is a Z-local basis of M_0, then for each $e_iM_0e_j$, the set $T(i,j) = T \cap e_iM_0e_j$ is an F-basis of $e_iM_0e_j$. Thus there exists an F-linear transformation $\phi_{i,j} : e_iM_0e_j \to e_iN_0e_j$. This map induces a morphism of Z-bimodules $\phi_1 : M_0 \to N_0$ such that the restriction of ϕ_1 to each $e_iM_0e_j$ is $\phi_{i,j}$. The morphism ϕ_1 induces a morphism of S-bimodules

$$1 \otimes \phi_1 \otimes 1 : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to S \otimes_Z N_0 \otimes_Z S \xrightarrow{\mu_N} N$$

where μ_N is given by multiplication. Hence there is a morphism of S-bimodules

$$\phi : M \to N$$

such that $\phi\mu_M = \mu_N(1 \otimes \phi_1 \otimes 1)$. Thus $\phi(a) = \phi\mu_M(1 \otimes a \otimes 1) = \mu_N(1 \otimes \phi_1(a) \otimes 1) = \phi_1(a) = \phi_0(a)$ for every $a \in T$. The uniqueness of ϕ is clear. We now show that (ii) implies (i). Let T be a subset of M consisting of legible elements and satisfying (ii). Let M_0 be the F-vector subspace of M generated by T; note that M_0 is a Z-subbimodule of M. Consider the multiplication map $\mu_M : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to M$, since T satisfies (ii), then there exists a morphism of S-bimodules $\phi : M \to S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S$ such that $\phi(a) = 1 \otimes a \otimes 1$ for every $a \in T$, then $\mu_M\phi(a) = a$ for every $a \in T$, and $\phi\mu_M(1 \otimes a \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes a \otimes 1$. Since the elements of T generate M as an S-bimodule and the elements $1 \otimes a \otimes 1$ generate $S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S$ as an S-bimodule, it follows that ϕ is the inverse map of μ_M. This establishes (i). □

Definition 7. If T is a subset of M satisfying (ii) of Proposition 3.1 we say that T is a *Z-free* generating set of M.

Remark 1. If $f : M \to N$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules and T is a Z-free generating set of M, then $f(T)$ is a Z-free generating set of N.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that M is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule M_0 of M. Let X be a set of generators of M as an S-bimodule such that each pair of idempotents e_i, e_j satisfies $card(X \cap e_i M e_j) = dim_F e_i M_0 e_j$. Then X is a Z-free generating set of M.*

Proof. Let T be an F-basis of M_0 consisting of legible elements, then T is a Z-free generating set of M. By assumption, for each pair of idempotents e_i, e_j there exists a bijection $\phi_{i,j} : T \cap e_i M e_j \to X \cap e_i M e_j$. Let $\phi_0 : T \to X$ be the bijection extending the bijections $\phi_{i,j}$. Then there exists a morphism of S-bimodules $\phi : M \to M$ such that $\phi(T) = \phi_0(T) = X$. Therefore ϕ is surjective and since $dim_F M < \infty$ then ϕ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. It follows that $X = \phi(T)$ is a Z-free generating set of M. □

Lemma 3.3. *Let T and X be Z-free generating sets of M, then*

(i) *For each pair of idempotents e_i, e_j let $T(i,j) = T \cap e_i M e_j$ and $X(i,j) = X \cap e_i M e_j$, then $card(T(i,j)) = card(X(i,j))$.*
(ii) *There exists an isomorphism of S-bimodules $\phi : M \to M$ such that $\phi(T) = X$.*

Proof. Let M_0, N_0 be the Z-subbimodules of M generated by T and X, respectively. Then $M \cong S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \cong S \otimes_Z N_0 \otimes_Z S$. For each e_i, e_j we have

$$dim_F e_i M e_j = dim_F (e_i S \otimes_F e_i M_0 e_j \otimes_F S e_j) = d_i d_j dim_F e_i M_0 e_j$$

where $d_s = dim_F e_s S$ for $s = i, j$. Similarly, we have that

$$dim_F e_i M e_j = d_i d_j dim_F e_i N_0 e_j$$

Consequently, $card(T(i,j)) = dim_F e_i M_0 e_j = dim_F e_i N_0 e_j = card(X(i,j))$. Now Proposition 3.1 implies the existence of an isomorphism of S-bimodules $\phi : M \to M$ such that $\phi(T) = X$. □

Definition 8. Let L be a Z-local basis for S and let T be a Z-local basis for the Z-subbimodule M_0. We can form a right S-local basis for M as follows: let $\hat{T} = \{sa | s \in L(\sigma(a)), a \in T\}$ where $e_{\sigma(a)} a e_{\tau(a)} = a$. We say that $\hat{T}$ is a *special basis* of M as a right S-module.

4. *Derivations*

Definition 9. Let A be an associative unital algebra over the field F, we recall that an F-derivation of A over an $A - A$ bimodule W is an F-linear map $D : A \to W$ such that $D(ab) = D(a)b + aD(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Definition 10. Following Rota-Sagan-Stein [**7**], a cyclic derivation on A is an F-linear transformation $h : A \to End_F(A)$ such that:

$$h(a_1 a_2)(a) = h(a_1)(a_2 a) + h(a_2)(a a_1)$$

for all $a_1, a_2, a \in A$.

Example 1. Suppose A is a commutative F-algebra and $D : A \to A$ is an F-derivation, then define $h^D : A \to End_F(A)$ as follows: $h^D(a)(b) = D(a)b$. Clearly h^D is a cyclic derivation.

Definition 11. Let A be an associative unital F-algebra. Given a cyclic derivation $h : A \to End_F(A)$ on a F-algebra A we define the associated cyclic derivative as $\delta^h(a) = h(a)(1)$.

Then

$$\delta^h(a_1 a_2) = h(a_1)(a_2) + h(a_2)(a_1)$$

In particular $\delta^h(a_1a_2) = \delta^h(a_2a_1)$.

A way of constructing a cyclic derivation is the following: suppose $D : A \to W$ is an F-derivation for some $A - A$ bimodule W, and $u : W \to A$ is an F-linear map such that $u(aw) = u(wa)$ for all $a \in A$, $w \in W$. Then $h^D : A \to End_F(A)$ defined as $h^D(a)(b) = u(D(a)b)$ for $a \in A$, $b \in A$ is a cyclic derivation, and the corresponding cyclic derivative δ is given by $\delta(a) = u(D(a))$.

Suppose now that S, M_0 and M are as in Definition 4. Take $A = T_S(M)$ and $W = A \otimes_Z A$. There exists an F-derivation $\Delta : A \to W$ such that for $s \in S$, $\Delta(s) = 1 \otimes s - s \otimes 1$ and for $m \in M_0$, $\Delta(m) = 1 \otimes m$.

The morphism $u : W \to A$ is defined as follows. Let $a, b \in T_S(M)$ and define $\psi(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_ibae_i$, this function is linear in a and b. We now show it is Z-balanced. Let $s = e_ic \in Z$ where $c \in F$, then $\psi(as, b) = \sum_j e_jbase_j = e_ibace_i = ce_ibae_i$. On the other hand:

$$\psi(a, sb) = \sum_j e_jsbae_j = ce_ibae_i = \psi(as, b)$$

Thus there exists $u : W \to A$ such that $u(a \otimes b) = \psi(a, b)$. Clearly if $w \in W$ and $a \in A$ then $u(aw) = u(wa)$; therefore we have a cyclic derivation h over A such that $h(a)(b) = u(\Delta(a)b)$ and $\delta(a) = u(\Delta(a))$.

We will use the following notation, for $w \in W$ and $a \in A$ we put $w\Diamond a := u(wa)$. Then $h(a)(b) = \Delta(a)\Diamond b$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f_1, \ldots, f_l \in T_S(M)$, then*

$$\delta(f_1f_2 \ldots f_l) = \Delta(f_1)\Diamond f_2 \ldots f_l + \Delta(f_2)\Diamond f_3 \ldots f_lf_1 + \ldots + \Delta(f_l)\Diamond f_1 \ldots f_{l-1}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(f_1 \ldots f_l) &= \Delta(f_1 \ldots f_l)\Diamond 1\\ &= (\Delta(f_1)f_2 \ldots f_l + f_1\Delta(f_2)f_3 \ldots f_l + \ldots + f_1f_2 \ldots f_{l-1}\Delta(f_l))\Diamond 1\\ &= \Delta(f_1)\Diamond f_2 \ldots f_l + \Delta(f_2)\Diamond f_3 \ldots f_lf_1 + \ldots + \Delta(f_l)\Diamond f_1 \ldots f_{l-1}\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark that if $x \in T_S(M)$ then $\delta(x) = \delta(x_{cyc})$ where $x_{cyc} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_jxe_j$.

Definition 12. Given an S-bimodule N we define the *cyclic part* of N as $N_{cyc} := \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_jNe_j$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $m_1, \ldots, m_l$ be legible elements of SM_0 such that $0 \neq m_1 \ldots m_l \in (T_S(M))_{cyc}$, then*

$$\delta(m_1m_2 \ldots m_l) = m_1m_2 \ldots m_l + m_2 \ldots m_lm_1 + \ldots + m_lm_1 \ldots m_{l-1}$$

Proof. Since $m_1m_2 \ldots m_l$ is a non-zero cyclic element then

$$m_1 = e_{r(1)}m_1e_{r(2)},\ m_2 = e_{r(2)}m_2e_{r(3)}, \ldots,\ m_l = e_{r(l)}m_le_{r(1)}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(m_1m_2 \ldots m_l) &= \Delta(m_1m_2 \ldots m_l)\Diamond 1\\ &= (\Delta(m_1)m_2 \ldots m_l + m_1\Delta(m_2)m_3 \ldots m_l + \ldots + m_1 \ldots m_{l-1}\Delta(m_l))\Diamond 1\\ &= ((1 \otimes m_1)m_2 \ldots m_l + m_l(1 \otimes m_2)m_3 \ldots m_l + \ldots + m_1 \ldots m_{l-1}(1 \otimes m_l))\Diamond 1\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(1\otimes m_1)m_2\dots m_l\Diamond 1=\sum_i e_im_1m_2\dots m_le_i=m_1m_2\dots m_l$$
$$m_1(1\otimes m_2)m_3\dots m_l\Diamond 1=\sum_i e_im_2\dots m_lm_1e_i=m_2\dots m_lm_1$$

in general

$$\begin{aligned}m_1\dots m_{i-1}(1\otimes m_i)m_{i+1}\dots m_l\Diamond 1&=\sum_i e_im_im_{i+1}\dots m_lm_1\dots m_{i-1}e_i\\&=m_i\dots m_lm_1\dots m_{i-1}\end{aligned}$$

as was to be shown. □

Definition 13. Let $\psi\in M^*=\mathrm{Hom}_S(M_S,S_S)$. For $m_1,\dots,m_d\in M$ we set $\psi_*(m_1\dots m_d)=\psi(m_1)m_2\dots m_d$ and extend ψ_* to an F-linear map:

$$\psi_*:T_S(M)\to T_S(M)$$

with $\psi_*(s)=0$ for every $s\in S$.

Definition 14. If $\psi\in\mathrm{Hom}_S(M_S,S_S)$ and $h\in T_S(M)$ we define the *cyclic derivative* of h with respect to ψ as:

$$\delta_\psi(h):=\psi_*(\delta(h))$$

Note that $\delta_\psi(h)=\delta_\psi(h_{cyc})$.

Remark 2.
(i) $\delta_\psi(f_1f_2\dots f_l)=\psi_*\left(\Delta(f_1)\Diamond f_2\dots f_l\right)+\dots+\psi_*\left(\Delta(f_l)\Diamond f_1\dots f_{l-1}\right)$
(ii) If $m_1,\dots,m_d$ are legible elements of SM_0 and $m_1\dots m_d$ is a non-zero element of $(T_S(M))_{cyc}$ with $\delta(m_1\dots m_d)\neq 0$ then:

$$\delta_\psi(m_1m_2\dots m_d)=\psi(m_1)m_2\dots m_d+\psi(m_2)m_3\dots m_1+\psi(m_d)m_1\dots m_{d-1}$$

Proof. (i) We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\psi(f_1\dots f_l)&=\psi_*(\delta(f_1\dots f_l))\\&=\psi_*\left(\Delta(f_1)\Diamond f_2\dots f_l+\Delta(f_2)\Diamond f_3\dots f_lf_1+\dots+\Delta(f_l)\Diamond f_1\dots f_{l-1}\right)\\&=\psi_*\left(\Delta(f_1)\Diamond f_2\dots f_l\right)+\psi_*\left(\Delta(f_2)\Diamond f_3\dots f_lf_1\right)+\dots+\psi_*\left(\Delta(f_l)\Diamond f_1\dots f_{l-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

as claimed.

(ii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\psi(m_1m_2\dots m_d)&=\psi_*\left(\delta(m_1...m_d)\right)\\&=\psi_*\left(m_1m_2\dots m_d+m_2\dots m_dm_1+\dots+m_dm_1\dots m_{d-1}\right)\\&=\psi_*(m_1m_2\dots m_d)+\psi_*(m_2\dots m_dm_1)+\dots+\psi_*(m_dm_1\dots m_{d-1})\\&=\psi(m_1)m_2\dots m_d+\psi(m_2)m_3\dots m_dm_1+\dots+\psi(m_d)m_1\dots m_{d-1}\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 15. Let $h=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}h_m$ where $h_m\in M^{\otimes m}$ and let $\psi\in M^*$. The *cyclic derivative* of h in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is defined as:

$$\delta_\psi(h) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \delta_\psi(h_{m+1})$$

DEFINITION 16. Let $h = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and m a non-negative integer. The *truncation* $h^{\leq m}$ is defined as:

$$h^{\leq m} := h_0 + h_1 + \ldots + h_m$$

REMARK 3.
(i) The cyclic derivative of an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is a well defined series, that is $\delta_\psi(h_{m+1}) \in M^{\otimes m}$.
(ii) $\delta_\psi(h^{\leq m+1}) = \delta_\psi(h)^{\leq m}$.
(iii) If $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then for each non-negative integer s:

$$(fg)^{\leq s+1} = \left(f^{\leq s+1} g^{\leq s+1}\right)^{\leq s+1}$$

(iv) If $\alpha \in T_S(M) \otimes_Z T_S(M)$ and $h \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then:

$$(\alpha \Diamond h)^{\leq m} = \left(\alpha \Diamond h^{\leq m}\right)^{\leq m}$$

Proof. We first show (i). By definition $\delta_\psi(h_{m+1}) = \psi_*(\delta(h_{m+1}))$ and note that $\delta(h_{m+1}) \in M^{\otimes(m+1)}$. On the other hand, $\psi_*(M^{\otimes m}) \subseteq M^{\otimes(m-1)}$ for each $m \geq 1$; thus $\delta_\psi(h_{m+1}) \in M^{\otimes((m+1)-1)} = M^{\otimes m}$.

Let us show (ii). Suppose that $h = h_0 + h_1 + \ldots + h_m + h_{m+1} + \ldots$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\psi(h^{\leq m+1}) &= \delta_\psi(h_0 + h_1 + \ldots + h_m + h_{m+1}) \\ &= \delta_\psi(h_1) + \delta_\psi(h_2) + \ldots + \delta_\psi(h_m) + \delta_\psi(h_{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\psi(h) &= \delta_\psi(h_0 + h_1 + \ldots + h_m + h_{m+1} + \ldots) \\ &= \delta_\psi(h_1) + \delta_\psi(h_2) + \ldots + \delta_\psi(h_m) + \delta_\psi(h_{m+1}) + \ldots \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\delta_\psi(h)^{\leq m} = \delta_\psi(h_1) + \ldots + \delta_\psi(h_m) + \delta_\psi(h_{m+1})$$

which shows that $\delta_\psi(h^{\leq m+1}) = \delta_\psi(h)^{\leq m}$.

To establish (iii) set $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a(i)$ and $g = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b(j)$. Then:

$$fg = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c(k)$$

where $c(k) = \sum_{i+j=k} a(i)b(j)$. Thus $(fg)^{\leq s+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{s+1} c(k)$. On the other hand, $f^{\leq s+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a(i)$ and $g^{\leq s+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{s+1} b(j)$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\leq s+1} g^{\leq s+1} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s+1} a(i)\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{s+1} b(j)\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2(s+1)} c(k) \end{aligned}$$

whence:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(f^{\leq s+1}g^{\leq s+1}\right)^{\leq s+1} &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2(s+1)} c(k)\right)^{\leq s+1}\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{s+1} c(k)\end{aligned}$$

The above implies that $(fg)^{\leq s+1} = \left(f^{\leq s+1}g^{\leq s+1}\right)^{\leq s+1}$.

Now given $h \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ write $h = h^{\leq m} + h'$ where $h' \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq m+1}$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha\Diamond h &= \alpha\Diamond(h^{\leq m} + h')\\ &= \alpha\Diamond h^{\leq m} + \alpha\Diamond h'\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\alpha\Diamond h' \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq m+1}$, hence $(\alpha\Diamond h')^{\leq m} = 0$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha\Diamond h)^{\leq m} &= \left(\alpha\Diamond h^{\leq m} + \alpha\Diamond h'\right)^{\leq m}\\ &= \left(\alpha\Diamond h^{\leq m}\right)^{\leq m} + (\alpha\Diamond h')^{\leq m}\\ &= \left(\alpha\Diamond h^{\leq m}\right)^{\leq m}\end{aligned}$$

□

Let T be a Z-local basis of SM_0 then T is a right S-local basis for M_S. Let $\{u, u^*\}_{u\in T}$ be the corresponding dual basis.

Remark 4. Every $m \in M$ satisfies:

$$m = \sum_{u\in T} uu^*(m)$$

also $m \in SM_0$ if and only if for every $u \in T$, $u^*(m) \in Z$.

Definition 17. A *potential* P is an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let M' be a Z-freely generated S-bimodule. Suppose that $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ is an algebra isomorphism such that $\phi|_S = id_S$. Let P be a potential of the form $m_1 \ldots m_d$ where each m_i is a legible element of SM_0, then for each positive integer s:*

$$\delta_\psi(\phi(P))^{\leq s} = \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}(\Delta(\phi(u))^{\leq s+1}\Diamond\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))\right)^{\leq s}$$

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\psi(\phi(P)^{\leq s+1}) &= \delta_\psi\left(\left(\phi(m_1)^{\leq s+1}\phi(m_2)^{\leq s+1}\ldots\phi(m_d)^{\leq s+1}\right)\right)^{\leq s}\\ &= \psi_*\left(\Delta(\phi(m_1)^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(m_2)^{\leq s+1}\ldots\phi(m_d)^{\leq s+1} + \ldots + \Delta(\phi(m_d)^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(m_1)^{\leq s+1}\ldots\phi(m_{d-1})^{\leq s+1}\right)^{\leq s}\end{aligned}$$

Let $\{u, u^*\}_{u\in T}$ be the dual basis as in remark 4. Since each m_i is in SM_0, then

$$m_i = \sum_{u\in T} uu^*(m_i)$$

with $u^*(m_i) \in Z$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(\phi(m_i)^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(m_{i+1})^{\leq s+1}\dots\phi(m_d)^{\leq s+1}\dots\phi(m_{i-1})^{\leq s+1}\\
&=\sum_{u\in T}\Delta(\phi(uu^*(m_i))^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(m_{i+1})^{\leq s+1}\dots\phi(m_{i-1})^{\leq s+1}\\
&=\sum_{u\in T}\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(u^*(m_i)m_{i+1})^{\leq s+1}\dots\phi(m_{i-1})^{\leq s+1}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(\phi(uu^*(m_i))^{\leq s+1}) &= \Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1}u^*(m_i))\\
&= \Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})u^*(m_i) + \phi(u)^{\leq s+1}\Delta(u^*(m_i))
\end{aligned}$$

and $u^*(m_i) \in Z$, then the last summand is 0. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\psi(\phi(P)^{\leq s+1}) &= \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}\sum_i\left(\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1}\Diamond\phi(u^*(m_i)m_{i+1})^{\leq s+1}\dots\phi(m_{i-1})^{\leq s+1}\right)^{\leq s}\right)\\
&= \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi\left(\sum_i u^*(m_i)m_{i+1}\dots m_1\dots m_{i-1}\right)\right)^{\leq s}\\
&= \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1}\Diamond\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))\right)^{\leq s}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.4. *The formula of the previous proposition holds for every potential $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$.*

Proof. Let $P \in (M^{\otimes u})_{cyc}$, then P is a sum of elements of the form $s_1m_1s_2m_2\dots s_lm_lt$ where $m_i \in SM_0$, $s_j, t \in S$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\psi(\phi(s_1m_1\dots s_lm_lt))^{\leq s} &= \delta_\psi(\phi(s_1m_1\dots s_lm_l)\phi(t))^{\leq s}\\
&= \delta_\psi(\phi(t)\phi(s_1m_1\dots s_lm_l))^{\leq s}\\
&= \delta_\psi(\phi(ts_1m_1s_2m_2\dots s_lm_l))^{\leq s}\\
&= \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(\delta_{u^*}(ts_1m_1s_2m_2\dots s_lm_l))\right)^{\leq s}\\
&= \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(\delta_{u^*}(s_1m_1s_2m_2\dots s_lm_lt))\right)^{\leq s}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus Proposition 4.3 holds for each summand of P and thus it holds for P. Suppose now that $P = \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} P_i$. Since Proposition 4.3 holds for every $P^{\leq s+1} = \sum_{i=2}^{s+1} P_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\psi(\phi(P))^{\leq s} &= \delta_\psi(\phi(P)^{\leq s+1})\\
&= \delta_\psi(\phi(P^{\leq s+1}))^{\leq s}\\
&= \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}(\Delta(\phi(u))^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P^{\leq s+1}))\right)^{\leq s}\\
&= \psi_*\left(\sum_{u\in T}(\Delta(\phi(u))^{\leq s+1})\Diamond\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))\right)^{\leq s}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 18. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. The *Jacobian ideal* of P, $J(M,P)$, is defined as the closure of the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ generated by the elements $\delta_\psi(P)$ where $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_S(M_S, S_S)$.

Definition 19. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. The *Jacobian algebra* of P is $\mathcal{F}_S(M)/J(M,P)$.

Definition 20. Let $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^e$ be the closure of the F-vector subspace of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ generated by the elements $x_1 \dots x_l$ where each $x_i \in SM_0$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ be an algebra isomorphism such that $\phi|_S = id_S$, $\phi(SM_0) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M')^e$ and $\phi^{-1}(SM'_0) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^e$. Then $\phi(J(M,P)) = J(M', \phi(P))$.*

Proof. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\psi(\phi(P)) &= \lim_{s\to\infty} \delta_\psi(\phi(P))^{\leq s} \\ &= \lim_{s\to\infty} \left(\sum_{u\in T} \psi_*(\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\lozenge\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))\right)^{\leq s} \\ &= \lim_{s\to\infty} \left(\sum_{u\in T} \psi_*(\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\lozenge\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in SM_0$ then $\phi(u) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M')^e$, so $\phi(u)^{\leq s+1}$ is a finite sum of legible elements of the form $x_1 \dots x_r$ where each $x_i \in SM'_0$. Therefore $\Delta(\phi(u^{\leq s+1}))\lozenge\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))$ is a finite sum of elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x_1 \dots x_r)\lozenge\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) &= (1 \otimes x_1 \dots x_r + x_1 \otimes x_2 \dots x_r + \dots + x_1 \dots x_{r-1} \otimes x_r)\lozenge\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) \\ &= x_1 \dots x_r \phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) + x_2 \dots x_r \phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) x_1 + \dots + x_r \phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) x_1 \dots x_{r-1}\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\psi_*\left((\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\lozenge\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))\right)$ is a finite sum of elements of the form

$$\psi(x_1)x_2 \dots x_r \phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) + \psi(x_2) \dots x_r \phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) x_1 + \dots + \psi(x_r)\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) x_1 \dots x_{r-1}$$

Since ϕ is an isomorphism, then for each x_i there exists a unique $y_i \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ with $\phi(y_i) = x_i$. Therefore $\psi_*\left(\Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq s+1})\lozenge\phi(\delta_{u^*}(P))\right)$ is a finite sum of elements of the form

$$\phi\left(\psi(x_1)y_2 \dots y_r \delta_{u^*}(P) + \psi(x_2) \dots y_r \delta_{u^*}(P) y_1 + \dots + \psi(x_r)\delta_{u^*}(P) y_1 \dots y_{r-1}\right)$$

all these elements lie in $\phi(J(M,P))$ and thus $J(M', \phi(P)) \subseteq \phi(J(M,P))$. Taking ϕ^{-1} yields:

$$J(M,P) = J(M, \phi^{-1}(\phi(P))) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(J(M', \phi(P))$$

It follows that $\phi(J(M,P)) \subseteq J(M', \phi(P))$. □

Definition 21. We define the *commutator* $[\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$ as the closure of the F-vector space generated by all elements of the form $ab - ba$ where $a, b \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$.

Definition 22. We say that two potentials P and P' are *cyclically equivalent* if $P - P' \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. Note that if P and P' are cyclically equivalent then $J(M,P) = J(M,P')$.

Definition 23. Let P be a potential. We say that P is *reduced* if $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 3}$ and *quadratic* if every summand of P lies in $(M^{\otimes 2})_{cyc}$.

Definition 24. Let A, B be subsets of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then AB is the closure of the set of all elements of the form $\displaystyle\sum_s a_s b_s$ where $a_s \in A, b_s \in B$.

DEFINITION 25. Let T be a Z-local basis for the Z-subbimodule M_0. We say that a function $b : T \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ is *legible* if $b(a) \in e_i\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}e_j$ for all $a \in e_iMe_j \cap T$.

Recall that a legible function induces a morphism of S-bimodules $b : M \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ and an automorphism of algebras $\phi_b : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that for every $a \in T$, $\phi_b(a) = a + b(a)$.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let Q be a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and let ϕ be an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ given as above. Then the potential $\phi(Q) - Q - \sum_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_{a(c)}\delta_c(Q)$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}I^2$, where I denotes the closure of the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ generated by the set $\{b(a)\}_{a \in T}$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $Q = c_1 \ldots c_d$ where $c_i \in \hat{T}$. For each $c_i = s(c_i)a(c_i)$ we have:

$$\phi(c_i) = c_i + s(c_i)b(a(c_i))$$

Then

$$\phi(Q) = c_1 \ldots c_d + s(c_1)b(a(c_1))c_2 \ldots c_d + c_1s(c_2)b(a(c_2))c_3 \ldots c_d + \ldots + c_1 \ldots c_{d-1}s(c_d)b(a(c_d)) + \mu$$

where μ is a product of the form $x_1 \ldots x_d$ where each x_i belongs to the set $\{c_1, \ldots, c_d, s(c_1)b(a(c_1)), \ldots, s(c_d)b(a(c_d))\}$ and there exist x_i, x_j with $i \neq j$ in $\{s(c_1)b(a(c_1)), \ldots, s(c_d)b(a(c_d))\}$. Thus

$$s(c_1)b(a(c_1))c_2 \ldots c_d + c_1s(c_2)b(a(c_2))c_3 \ldots c_d + \ldots + c_1 \ldots c_{d-1}s(c_d)b(a(c_d))$$

is cyclically equivalent to

$$s(c_1)b(a(c_1))c_2 \ldots c_d + s(c_2)b(a(c_2))c_3 \ldots c_dc_1 + \ldots + s(c_d)b(a(c_d))c_1 \ldots c_{d-1}$$

and the latter element is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{d} s(c_i)b(a(c_i))\delta_{c_i}(Q)$. Each of the terms $x_1 \ldots x_d$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of the form $\alpha_1b(a(c_u))\alpha_2b(a(c_v))$ with α_1 a product of at least one x_s. Thus the aforementioned element is cyclically equivalent to

$$x_s\alpha^{'}b(a(c_u))\alpha_2b(a(c_v))$$

The element $\alpha'b(a(c_u))\alpha_2$ lies in I and it is the product of $d-2$ x_j, one of these $x_j = b(a(c_u)) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$; therefore $\alpha^{'}b(a_u) \in I \cap \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq d+1}$. It follows that:

$$\phi(Q) = Q + \sum_{i=1}^{d} s(c_i)b(a_i)\delta_{c_i}(Q) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \nu_ib(a(c_i)) + z$$

where $\nu_i \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq d-1} \cap I)$ and $z \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)] \cap \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq d+1}$.

Now let Q be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Then $Q = \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} Q_s$ with $Q_s \in M^{\otimes s}$, each term Q_s is a finite sum of elements of the form $m_1m_2 \ldots m_s$ where $m_i \in M$ and each m_i is a sum of elements of the form n_it_i where $n_i \in SM_0$, $t_i \in S$. Thus each Q_s is a sum of elements of the form $n_1t_1n_2t_2 \ldots n_st_s$ and this element is cyclically equivalent to $(t_sn_1)(t_1n_2) \ldots (t_{s-1}n_s)$ where each $t_in_{i+1} \in SM_0$. Since $\hat{T}$ is a Z-local basis of SM_0, then each of these elements are finite sums of elements of the form $hc_1 \ldots c_s$ with $h \in F$ and $c_i \in \hat{T}$. Therefore, we may assume that $Q = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} h_{\gamma_j}\gamma_j$ where $h_{\gamma_j} \in F$ and $\gamma_j = c_1c_2 \ldots c_{d_j}$, $c_i \in \hat{T}$. Set $l(\gamma_j) = d_j$. Since ϕ is a continuous map then:

$$\phi(Q) = \sum_{\gamma_j} h_{\gamma_j}\phi(\gamma_j)$$

Thus

$$\phi(\gamma_j) = \gamma_j + \sum_i s(c_i)b(a(c_i))\delta_{c_i}(Q)\gamma_j + \sum_{a\in T}\mu(\gamma_j)_a b(a) + z(\gamma_j)$$

where $\mu(\gamma_j)_a \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{l(\gamma_j)-1} \cap I)$ and $z(\gamma_j) \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)] \cap \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq l(\gamma_j)+1}$. It follows that

$$\mu(\gamma_j)_a = \sum_{c\in\hat{T}} c\beta(\gamma_j)_{c,a}$$

where each $\beta(\gamma_j)_{c,a} \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq l(\gamma_j)-1} \cap I$. The series $\sum_{\gamma_j}\beta_{c,a}(\gamma_j)$ is summable, each $\beta_{c,a}(\gamma_j) \in I$ and since I is closed then $\sum_{\gamma_j}\beta_{c,a}(\gamma_j) \in I$. The series $\sum_{\gamma_j} z(\gamma_j)$ is also summable and lies in $[\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. Therefore

$$\phi(Q) = Q + \sum_{c\in\hat{T}} s(c)b(a(c))\delta_c(Q) + \sum_{c\in\hat{T}, a\in T} c\left(\sum_{\gamma}\beta_{c,a}(\gamma)\right)b(a) + \sum_{\gamma} z(\gamma)$$

the second summand of the above expression belongs to $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}I^2$ and the last summand lies in $[\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. This completes the proof. □

5. *The ideal R(P)*

Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. In this section we will define an ideal $R(P)$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ that is contained in the Jacobian ideal. We will prove that given an algebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$, such that $\phi|_S = id_S$, then $\phi(R(P)) = R(\phi(P))$.

Let L be a Z-local basis for S and T a Z-local basis for M_0. For each $a \in e_iMe_j$ we set $\sigma(a) = i$ and $\tau(a) = j$.

Definition 26. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then $R(P)$ is the closure of the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ generated by all the elements $X_{a^*}(P) := \sum_{s\in L(\sigma(a))}\delta_{(sa)^*}(P)s$ where $a \in T$. In what follows $\hat{T}$ denotes the special basis of M_S induced by the Z-local basis T of M_0.

Example 2. Consider the potential $P = x_1x_2\ldots x_n \in (M^{\otimes n})_{cyc}$ where each $x_i \in \hat{T}$, then $X_{a^*}(P) = x_2\ldots x_n s(x_1)\delta_{a(x_1),a} + x_3\ldots x_n x_1 s(x_2)\delta_{a(x_2),a} + \ldots + x_1\ldots x_{n-1}s(x_n)\delta_{a(x_n),a}$. If in addition $t_1,\ldots,t_n \in S$ and $Q = t_1x_1t_2x_2\ldots t_nx_n$ then

$$X_{a^*}(Q) = t_2x_2\ldots t_nx_nt_1s(x_1)\delta_{a(x_1),a} + \ldots + t_1x_1\ldots t_{n-1}x_{n-1}t_ns(x_n)\delta_{a(x_n),a}$$

Proof. We will show that the second equality holds since the first equality follows from the second one. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{a^*}(Q) &= \sum_{s\in L(\sigma(a))}(sa)^*_*(\delta(Q))s \\ &= \sum_{s\in L(\sigma(a))}(sa)^*_*(t_1x_1t_2x_2\ldots t_nx_n + t_2x_2\ldots t_nx_nt_1x_1 + \ldots + t_nx_nt_1x_1\ldots t_{n-1}x_{n-1})s \end{aligned}$$

Consider the i^{th} term of the above sum:

$$\sum_s (sa)^*_*(t_ix_it_{i+1}x_{i+1}\ldots t_nx_nt_1x_1\ldots t_{i-1}x_{i-1})s = \sum_s (sa)^*(t_ix_i)qs$$

where $q = t_{i+1}x_{i+1} \dots t_n x_n t_1 x_1 \dots t_{i-1}x_{i-1}$. Since $x_i \in \hat{T}$, then $x_i = rb$ where $r = s(x_i)$, $b = a(x_i)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_s (sa)^*(t_i x_i)qs &= \sum_s (sa)^*(t_i rb)qs \\ &= \sum_s (sa)^* \sum_w (w^*(t_i r)wb)qs \\ &= \sum_s s^*(t_i r)qs\delta_{b,a} \\ &= \sum_s qs^*(t_i r)s\delta_{b,a} \\ &= qt_i r\delta_{b,a} \\ &= qt_i s(x_i)\delta_{b,a}\end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim. □

Note that for a given $a \in T$, $X_{a^*}(P)$ is given in terms of L and T. Now suppose we take another Z-local basis L' of S and the same Z-local basis T of M_0, then we have another special basis for M_S denoted by $(\hat{T})^{'}$. For $s \in L(u)$ we have

$$s = \sum_{s' \in L'} c_{s,s'} s'$$

with $c_{s,s'} \in F$, and $c_{s,s'} \neq 0$ implies $s' \in L(u)$. For each $a \in T$ we have $X_{(a^*)'}(P)'$ using the Z-local basis L' of S.

We now show that $X_{a^*}(P)$ is independent of the choice of a Z-local basis for S.

Proposition 5.1. *For every potential P of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, $X_{a^*}(P) = X_{(a^*)'}(P)$.*

Proof. For $x \in \hat{T}$ we have $x = s(x)a(x) = \sum_{s' \in L'} c_{s(x),s'} s' a(x)$. Consequently

$$x = \sum_{y \in (\hat{T})'} c_{x,y} y$$

where $c_{x,y} \in F$ and $c_{x,y} = c_{s(x),s'(y)}$. Observe that $c_{x,y} \neq 0$ implies $a(x) = a(y)$. Then if $P = t_1 x_1 t_2 x_2 \dots t_n x_n$ with $t_i \in S$ and $x_i \in \hat{T}$, we have

$$P = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n} c_{x_1,y_{i_1}} c_{x_2,y_{i_2}} \dots c_{x_n,y_{i_n}} t_1 y_{i_1} t_2 y_{i_2} \dots t_n y_{i_n}$$

with $y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_n} \in (\hat{T})'$. Then by Example 2, $X_{(a^*)'}(P)$ equals:

$$\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n} c_{x_1,y_{i_1}} c_{x_2,y_{i_2}} \dots c_{x_n,y_{i_n}} \left(t_2 y_{i_2} \dots t_n y_{i_n} t_1 s'(y_{i_1}) \delta_{a(y_{i_1}),a} + \dots + t_1 y_{i_1} \dots t_{n-1} y_{i_{n-1}} t_n s'(y_{i_n}) \delta_{a(y_{i_n}),a} \right)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n} c_{x_1,y_{i_1}} c_{x_2,y_{i_2}} \dots c_{x_n,y_{i_n}} t_2 y_{i_2} \dots t_n y_{i_n} t_1 s'(y_{i_1}) \delta_{a(y_{i_1}),a} \\ &= \sum_{i_2,\dots,i_n} c_{x_2,y_{i_2}} \dots c_{x_n,y_{i_n}} t_2 y_{i_2} \dots t_n y_{i_n} t_1 \sum_{i_1} c_{x_1,y_{i_1}} s'(y_{i_1}) \delta_{a(y_{i_1}),a} \\ &= \sum_{i_2,\dots,i_n} c_{x_2,y_{i_2}} \dots c_{x_n,y_{i_n}} t_2 y_{i_2} \dots t_n y_{i_n} t_1 s(x_1) \delta_{a(x_1),a} \\ &= t_2 x_2 \dots t_n x_n t_1 s(x_1) \delta_{a(x_1),a}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly $\sum\limits_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_n} c_{x_1,y_{i_1}} c_{x_2,y_{i_2}} \dots c_{x_n,y_{i_n}} t_3 y_{i_3} \dots t_n y_{i_n} t_1 y_{i_1} t_2 s'(y_{i_2}) \delta_{a(y_{i_2}),a} = t_3 x_3 \dots t_n x_n t_1 x_1 t_2 s(x_2) \delta_{a(x_2),a}$.
Continuing in this fashion we get $X_{a^*}(P) = X_{(a^*)'}(P)$. ☐

Lemma 5.2. *Let $q \in (\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1})_{cyc}$ and $t \in S$, then for every $a \in T$*

$$\sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} (sa)^*_*(tq - qt)s = 0$$

In particular, for $q\mu \in (\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1})_{cyc}$ and $t \in S$

$$\sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} (sa)^*_* \left(\mu\Delta(t)\Diamond q\right) s = 0$$

Proof. Suppose that $q = raq_1$ where $r \in S, q_1 \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} (sa)^*_*(traq_1 s) &= \sum_{s,w \in L(\sigma(a))} (sa)^*(w^*(tr)wa)q_1 s \\
&= \sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} s^*(tr)q_1 s \\
&= \sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} q_1 s^*(tr)s \\
&= q_1 tr
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\sum\limits_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} (sa)^*_*(qts) = \sum\limits_{s \in L(\sigma(a))} (sa)^*(ra)q_1 ts = q_1 tr$. This implies the first part of the lemma. The second claim follows immediately from the fact that $\mu\Delta(t)\Diamond q = \mu(1 \otimes t)\Diamond q - \mu(t \otimes 1)\Diamond q = tq\mu - q\mu t$. ☐

We now exhibit an example of a potential P such that $R(P)$ is properly contained in the Jacobian ideal $J(P)$.

Example 3. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ be the field of rational numbers and let $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) = \{a + b\sqrt{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Define $S = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and let $T = \{a, b_1, b_2\}$ be a Z-local basis for M_0. Set:

$$\begin{aligned}
a\mathbb{Q} &= e_2 M_0 e_1 \\
b_1\mathbb{Q} \oplus b_2\mathbb{Q} &= e_1 M_0 e_2
\end{aligned}$$

and $M_0 = e_2 M_0 e_1 \oplus e_1 M_0 e_2$. Consider the potential $P = ab_1 + \sqrt{2}ab_2 \in e_2 M_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M_0 e_2$. We compute $\delta(P)$. Note that a right S-local basis for M_S is $\{a, b_1, b_2, \sqrt{2}a\}$. Since each term in the decomposition of P belongs to SM_0 then $\delta(P) = ab_1 + b_1 a + \sqrt{2}ab_2 + b_2\sqrt{2}a$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{a^*}(\delta(P)) &= b_1 \\
\delta_{b_1^*}(\delta(P)) &= a \\
\delta_{b_2^*}(\delta(P)) &= \sqrt{2}a \\
\delta_{(\sqrt{2}a)^*}(\delta(P)) &= b_2
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{a^*}(P) &= b_1 + b_2\sqrt{2} \\
X_{b_1^*}(P) &= a \\
X_{b_2^*}(P) &= \sqrt{2}a
\end{aligned}$$

We are done now since $b_1 \notin R(P)$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ be an algebra isomorphism with $\phi|_S = id_S$ and P a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Then*

$$\phi(R(P)) = R(\phi(P))$$

Proof. Let T be a Z-local basis of M_0. For each $a \in T \cap e_i M e_j$ define $\hat{L}(a) = \{sa\}_{s \in L(i)}$. Let $\hat{T} = \bigcup_{a \in T} \hat{L}(a)$; that is, $\hat{T}$ is the special basis of M_S. For $\psi \in M^*$ we have

$$\delta_\psi(\phi(P)^{\leq n}) = \psi_* \left(\sum_{u \in \hat{T}} \Delta(\phi(u)^{\leq n}) \lozenge \phi(\delta_{u^*}(P)) \right)^{\leq n}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{a^*}(\phi(P)^{\leq n+1}) &= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} \delta_{w^*}(\phi(P)^{\leq n+1}) s(w) \\
&= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{sb \in \hat{T}} \Delta(\phi(sb)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(\delta_{(sb)^*}(P)) \right)^{\leq n} s(w) \\
&= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{sb \in \hat{T}} \Delta(s\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(\delta_{(sb)^*}(P)) \right)^{\leq n} s(w) \\
&= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{sb \in \hat{T}} s\Delta(\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(\delta_{(sb)^*}(P)) \right)^{\leq n} s(w) + \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{sb \in \hat{T}} \Delta(s)(\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(\delta_{(sb)^*}(P)) \right)^{\leq n} s(w) \\
&= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{sb \in \hat{T}} \Delta(\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(\delta_{(sb)^*}(P)) s \right)^{\leq n} s(w) + \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{sb \in \hat{T}} \Delta(s) \lozenge (\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \phi(\delta_{(sb)^*}(P)) \right)^{\leq n} s(w)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.2 the last summand is 0. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{a^*}(\phi(P)^{\leq n+1}) &= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{sb \in \hat{T}} \Delta(\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(\delta_{(sb)^*}(P)) s \right)^{\leq n} s(w) \\
&= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{b \in T} \left(\Delta(\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi \left(\sum_{s \in L(\sigma(b))} \delta_{(sb)^*}(P) \right) \right)^{\leq n} \right) s(w) \\
&= \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{b \in T} \left(\Delta(\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(X_{b^*}(P)) \right)^{\leq n} \right) s(w)
\end{aligned}$$

Assertion 1. $Z_n := \sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\sum_{b \in T} \Delta(\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}) \lozenge \phi(X_{b^*}(P)) \right) s(w)$ lies in $\phi(R(P))$.

Proof. We have that $\phi(b)^{\leq n+1}$ is a sum of elements of the form $m_1 \dots m_l t$ with m_i a legible element of SM_0' and $t \in S$. Hence Z_n is a sum of elements of the form:

$$\sum_{w \in \hat{L}(a)} w^* \left(\Delta(m_1 \dots m_l t) \lozenge q s(w) \right)$$

with $q \in \phi(R(P))$. Using Lemma 5.2 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{w\in\hat{L}(a)} w^*\left(\Delta(m_1\dots m_l t)\Diamond qs(w)\right) &= \sum_{w\in\hat{L}(a)} w^*\left(\Delta(m_1\dots m_l)t\Diamond q\right)s(w) + \sum_{w\in\hat{L}(a)} w^*\left((m_1\dots m_l)\Delta(t)\Diamond q\right)s(w)\\ &= \sum_{w\in\hat{L}(a)} w^*\left(\Delta(m_1\dots m_l)t\Diamond q\right)s(w)\end{aligned}$$

The elements m_i are legible and lie in SM_0', therefore $\Delta(m_1\dots m_l) = \sum_i \alpha_i\otimes\beta_i$ with $\beta_i \in \mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq 1}$. Consequently

$$\sum_{w\in\hat{L}(a)} w^*\left(\Delta(m_1\dots m_l)t\Diamond q\right)s(w) = \sum_{w,i} w^*(\beta_i)tq\alpha_i s(w)$$

Since ϕ is an automorphism, there exists $\nu_i \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\phi(\nu_i) = \alpha_i$. Since $q \in \phi(R(P))$, there exists $q_1 \in R(P)$ satisfying $\phi(q_1) = q$, therefore

$$\sum_{w\in\hat{L}(a)} w^*\left(\Delta(m_1\dots m_l)t\Diamond q\right)s(w) = \phi\left(\sum_{i,w} xtq_1\nu_i s(w)\right)$$

where $x \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is such that $w^*(\beta_i) = \phi(x)$. The latter element belongs to $\phi(R(P))$ and therefore $Z_n \in \phi(R(P))$. □

It follows that $[X_{a^*}(\phi(P))]^{\leq n} = (Z_n)^{\leq n}$, which implies that $X_{a^*}(\phi(P)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} Z_n$. Since $\phi(R(P))$ is closed then $X_{a^*}(\phi(P)) \in \phi(R(P))$ for every $a \in T$. This implies that $R(\phi(P)) \subseteq \phi(R(P))$. Using the previous argument for ϕ^{-1} yields:

$$R(P) = R(\phi^{-1}(\phi(P))) \subseteq \phi^{-1}(R(\phi(P)))$$

Therefore $\phi(R(P)) \subseteq R(\phi(P))$, as desired. □

REMARK 5. Theorem 5.3 implies that $R(P)$ is independent of the choice of the Z-subbimodule M_0 and from Proposition 5.1 we deduce that $R(P)$ is also independent of the choice of a Z-local basis for S; thus $R(P)$ is independent of the choice of Z-local bases for S and M_0.

6. *Equivalence of potentials*

PROPOSITION 6.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and $\psi \in M^*$. Then*

$$\delta_\psi(ab) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_*\left(\Delta(a_i)\Diamond b\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_*\left(\Delta(b_i)\Diamond a\right)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\psi(ab) &= \lim_{n\to\infty}(\delta_\psi(ab))^{\leq n}\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\delta_\psi\left((ab)^{\leq n+1}\right)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\delta_\psi(a^{\leq n+1}b^{\leq n+1})\right)^{\leq n}\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\psi_*\left(\Delta(a^{\leq n+1})\lozenge b^{\leq n+1}\right)^{\leq n}+\psi_*\left(\Delta(b^{\leq n+1})\lozenge a^{\leq n+1}\right)^{\leq n}\right)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\psi_*(\Delta(a^{\leq n+1})\lozenge b)+\psi_*(\Delta(b^{\leq n+1})\lozenge a)\right)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_*(\Delta(a^{\leq n+1})\lozenge b)+\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_*(\Delta(b^{\leq n+1})\lozenge a)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_*\left(\Delta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}a_i\right)\lozenge b\right)+\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_*\left(\Delta\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1}b_i\right)\lozenge a\right)\\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\psi_*(\Delta(a_i)\lozenge b)+\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\psi_*(\Delta(b_i)\lozenge a)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_*\left(\Delta(a_i)\lozenge b\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\psi_*\left(\Delta(b_i)\lozenge a\right)\end{aligned}$$

and the formula follows. □

Let $g=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}g_i$, $h=\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}h_i$ where $g_i,h_i\in M^{\otimes i}$. The previous proposition implies that for every $a\in T$:

$$X_{a^*}(gh)=\sum_{s\in L(a)}\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}(sa)^*\left(\Delta(g_i)\lozenge h\right)s+\sum_{s\in L(a)}\sum_{i=2}^{\infty}(sa)^*\left(\Delta(h_i)\lozenge g\right)s$$

Definition 27. We say that an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is *monomial* if it is of the form $v_1\dots v_l$ where each v_i is a legible element of SM_0.

Lemma 6.2. *Let ug be a legible cycle of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ with $u\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$, monomial and let $\psi\in M^*$. Then:*

$$\psi^*(\Delta(u)\lozenge g)\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle g\rangle+\langle g\rangle\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$$

Proof. We have that u is of the form $v_1\dots v_l$ where each v_i is a legible element of SM_0. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_*(\Delta(v_1v_2\dots v_l)\lozenge g) &= \psi_*\left(1\otimes v_1v_2\dots v_l+v_1\otimes v_2\dots v_l+\dots+v_1\dots v_{l-1}\otimes v_l\right)\lozenge g\\ &= \psi_*(v_1v_2\dots v_lg+v_2\dots v_lgv_1+\dots+v_lgv_1\dots v_{l-1})\\ &= \psi(v_1)v_2\dots v_lg+\psi(v_2)\dots v_lgv_1+\dots+\psi(v_l)gv_1\dots v_{l-1}\end{aligned}$$

and the latter element clearly belongs to $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle g\rangle+\langle g\rangle\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$. □

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose that $f,g\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ and $fg\in(\mathcal{F}_S(M))_{cyc}$, then for every $a\in T$:*

$$X_{a^*}(fg)=\sum_{s\in L(a)}\delta_{(sa)^*}(fg)s$$

lies in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle f\rangle+\langle f\rangle\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}+\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle g\rangle+\langle g\rangle\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$.

Proof. Let $W = \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle f\rangle + \langle f\rangle\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1} + \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle g\rangle + \langle g\rangle\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$, $f = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n$ and $g = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{a^*}(fg) &= \sum_{s\in L(a)} \delta_{(sa)^*}(fg)s \\
&= \sum_{s\in L(a)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (sa)^*\left(\Delta(f_n)\Diamond g\right)s + \sum_{s\in L(a)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (sa)^*\left(\Delta(g_n)\Diamond f\right)s
\end{aligned}$$

We will show that the first summand of the above expression belongs to W; the other case can be proved similarly. Every f_n is of the form $f_n = \sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} f_n^i t^i$ where each f_n^i is a monomial element of SM_0 and $t^i \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(f_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} \Delta(f_n^i)t^i + \sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} f_n^i \Delta(t^i) \\
\Delta(f_n)\Diamond g &= \sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} \Delta(f_n^i)\Diamond t^i g + \sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} f_n^i \Delta(t^i)\Diamond g
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\delta_{(sa)^*}(fg)s = \sum_{s\in L(a)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} (sa)^*(\Delta(f_n^i)\Diamond t^i g)s + \sum_{s\in L(a)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} (sa)^*(f_n^i\Delta(t^i)\Diamond g)s$$

By Lemma 6.2 the first term of the above equality lies in W. The second term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{s\in L(a)} (sa)^*\left(f_n^i\Delta(t^i)\Diamond g\right)s \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{s\in L(a)} (sa)^*\left(f_n^i(1\otimes t^i)\Diamond g\right)s - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{s\in L(a)} (sa)^*\left(f_n^i(t^i\otimes 1)\Diamond g\right)s \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{s\in L(a)} (sa)^*\left(t^i g f_n^i\right)s - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{s\in L(a)} (sa)^*\left(g f_n^i t^i\right)s
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the last two terms. The first term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{s\in L(a)} (sa)^*\left(t^i g f_n^i\right)s \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{r\in L(a)} (ra)^*\left(g f_n^i t^i\right)r \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in L(a)} (ra)^*\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)} g f_n^i t^i\right)r \\
&= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{r\in L(a)} (ra)^*\left(g f_n r\right) \\
&= \sum_{r\in L(a)} (ra)^*\left(g\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} f_n\right)r \\
&= \sum_{r\in L(a)} (ra)^*(gf)r
\end{aligned}$$

and this element lies in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle f\rangle \subseteq W$. The second summand is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}\sum_{s\in L(a)}(sa)^{*}\left(gf_n^i t^i\right)s\\
&=-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{s\in L(a)}\sum_{i=1}^{l(n)}(sa)^{*}\left(gf_n^i t^i\right)s\\
&=-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\sum_{s\in L(a)}(sa)^{*}\left(gf_n\right)s\\
&=-\sum_{s\in L(a)}(sa)^{*}\left(g\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}f_n\right)s\\
&=-\sum_{s\in L(a)}(sa)^{*}(gf)s
\end{aligned}$$

and this element lies in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}\langle f\rangle \subseteq W$, completing the proof. □

PROPOSITION 6.4. *Let P and P' be reduced potentials such that $P'-P\in R(P)^2$, then $R(P)=R(P')$.*

Proof. Since P is reduced then $X_{a^*}(P)\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. The set $R(P)^2$ is the closure of the set formed by all finite sums of the form $\sum_s a_s b_s$ with $a_s, b_s\in R(P)$. Using Proposition 6.3 we see that $X_{a^*}\left(\sum_s a_s b_s\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}R(P)+R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$. If $z\in R(P)^2$ then $z=\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n$ where each α_n is an element of the form $\sum_s a_s b_s$ with $a_s, b_s\in R(P)$. Therefore $X_{a^*}(z)=\lim_{n\to\infty}X_{a^*}(\alpha_n)\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}R(P)+R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$. By assumption, $P=Q+P'$ where $Q\in R(P)^2$, hence $X_{a^*}(P)=X_{a^*}(Q)+X_{a^*}(P')$. Using Proposition 6.3 once again, we obtain that $X_{a^*}(Q)\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}R(P)+R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$. Therefore

$$R(P)\subseteq R(P')+\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}+R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$$

It follows that

$$R(P)\subseteq R(P')+R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}+\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}+\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}R(P)$$

continuing in the same way, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(P)&\subseteq R(P')+\sum_{k=0}^{N}\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq k}R(P)\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n-k}\\
&\subseteq R(P')+\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2}
\end{aligned}$$

for every n. Therefore $R(P)$ is contained in the closure of $R(P')$ and thus $R(P)\subseteq R(P')$. We have that $P-P'\in R(P)^2\subseteq R(P')^2$, hence $P-P'\in R(P')^2$, which implies that $R(P)=R(P')$. □

PROPOSITION 6.5. *Suppose that P and P' are reduced potentials in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $P'-P\in R(P)^2$, then there exists an algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to P' and $\phi(u)-u\in R(P)$ for every $u\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)$.*

Proof. We first prove the following

ASSERTION 2. There exists a sequence of functions $b_n: T\to\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}\cap R(P)$ with $\phi_{b_0}=\phi_0=id$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $b_n(a)\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+1}\cap R(P)$.

(ii) P' is cyclically equivalent to $\phi_0\phi_{b_1}\dots\phi_{b_{n-1}}\left(P+\sum_{c\in\hat{T}}s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)\right)$.

We construct the functions b_n by induction on n.

Suppose that $n=1$. Then the potential $P'-P$ is cyclically equivalent to $\sum_{a\in T}b(a)X_{a^*}(P)$ with $b(a)\in R(P)\subseteq\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ (since P is reduced). Therefore $b(a)\in R(P)\cap\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. Hence P' is cyclically equivalent to

$$P+\sum_{a\in T}\sum_{s\in L(a)}b(a)\delta_{(sa)^*}(P)s$$

the latter element is cyclically equivalent to

$$P+\sum_{a\in T}\sum_{s\in L(a)}sb(a)\delta_{(sa)^*}(P)=P+\sum_{c\in\hat{T}}s(c)b(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)$$

Thus if we define $b_1:T\to\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ by $b_1(a)=b(a)$, then b_1 satisfies the conditions of the claim. Suppose now that for $n\geq 1$, we have constructed the functions $b_1,b_2,\dots,b_n$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii). Take ϕ_{b_n}, $\phi_{b_n}(a)=a+b_n(a)$ and $b_n(a)\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+1}\cap R(P)$ for every $a\in T$.

By Lemma 4.6 it follows that the potential $P_0:=\phi_{b_n}(P)-P-\sum_{c\in\hat{T}}s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}I^2$ where I is the closure of the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ generated by the elements $b_n(a)$. Since $b_n(a)\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+1}\cap R(P)$ and this is a closed ideal, then $I\subseteq\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+1}\cap R(P)$. Hence P_0 is cyclically equivalent to an element of

$$\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+1}\cap R(P))^2\subseteq(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2}\cap R(P))R(P)$$

On the other hand, P_0 is cyclically equivalent to the potential

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi_{b_n}(P)-P-\sum_{c\in\hat{T}}b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)s(c)\\&=\phi_{b_n}(P)-P-\sum_{a\in T}b_n(a)\sum_{s\in L(a)}\delta_{(sa)^*}(P)s\\&=\phi_{b_n}(P)-P-\sum_{a\in T}b_n(a)X_{a^*}(P)\end{aligned}$$

thus $\phi_{b_n}(P)-P-\sum_{a\in T}b_n(a)X_{a^*}(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to P_0 and the latter is cyclically equivalent to an element of $R(P)^2$. Therefore $\phi_{b_n}(P)-P$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of $R(P)^2$. By Proposition 6.4 we have that $R(\phi_{b_n}(P))=R(P)$. Applying Theorem 5.3 yields $R(P)=R(\phi_{b_n}(P))=\phi_{b_n}(R(P))$. Note that an element of $(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2}\cap R(P))R(P)$ is of the form $\lim_{r\to\infty}u_r$ where $u_r=\sum_{i=1}^{i(r)}x_iy_i$ with $x_i\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2}\cap R(P)$ and $y_i\in R(P)$. Also $x_i=\phi_{b_n}(x_i')$, $y_i=\phi_{b_n}(y_i')$ where $x_i'\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2}\cap R(P)$, $y_i'\in R(P)$.
Thus

$$u_r=\phi_{b_n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i(r)}x_i'y_i'\right)=\phi_{b_n}(z_r)$$

where $z_r\in(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2}\cap R(P))R(P)$.
Then $\lim_{r\to\infty}u_r=\lim_{r\to\infty}\phi_{b_n}(z_r)=\phi_{b_n}\left(\lim_{r\to\infty}z_r\right)$. Note that $\lim_{r\to\infty}z_r\in(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2}\cap R(P))R(P)$.
The above implies that $\phi_{b_n}(P)-P-\sum_{c\in\hat{T}}s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of the form $\phi_{b_n}(z)$ with

$z \in (\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2} \cap R(P))R(P)$.

It follows that $-z$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of the form

$$\sum_{a \in T} u(a)X_{a^*}(P)$$

with $u(a) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+2} \cap R(P)$. We have $\sum\limits_{a \in T} u(a)X_{a^*}(P) = \sum\limits_{a \in T}\sum\limits_{s \in L(a)} u(a)\delta_{(sa)^*}(P)s$ and this element is cyclically equivalent to $\sum\limits_{a \in T}\sum\limits_{s \in L(a)} su(a)\delta_{(sa)^*}(P) = \sum\limits_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)u(a)\delta_{c^*}(P)$. Therefore $\phi_{b_n}(P) - P - \sum\limits_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to

$$-\phi_{b_n}\left(\sum_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)u(a)\delta_{c^*}(P)\right)$$

Let $b_{n+1} : T \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ be defined by $b_{n+1}(a) = u(a)$ for each $a \in T$. Then

$$\phi_0 \ldots \phi_{b_{n-1}}\phi_{b_n}(P) - \phi_0 \ldots \phi_{b_{n-1}}\left(P - \sum_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)\right)$$

is cyclically equivalent to

$$-\phi_0 \ldots \phi_{b_n}\left(\sum_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_{n+1}(a)\delta_{c^*}(P)\right)$$

Therefore $\phi_0 \ldots \phi_{b_{n-1}}\phi_{b_n}(P) + \phi_0 \ldots \phi_{b_n}\left(\sum\limits_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_{n+1}(a)\delta_{c^*}(P)\right)$ is cyclically equivalent to

$$\phi_0 \ldots \phi_{b_{n-1}}\left(P - \sum_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P)\right)$$

which by induction hypothesis is cyclically equivalent to P'. This shows (i) and (ii) for $n+1$, proving the claim.

We now establish the original proposition. Note that condition (i) implies that for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$:

$$\phi_0\phi_{b_1} \ldots \phi_{b_{n-1}}\phi_{b_n}(u) - \phi_0\phi_{b_1} \ldots \phi_{b_{n-1}}(u) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+1}$$

thus the sequence $\{\phi_0\phi_{b_1} \ldots \phi_{b_n}(u)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy and hence converges. Consequently, there exists an automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that for every $u \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ we have $\phi(u) = \lim\limits_{n \to \infty} \phi_0\phi_{b_1} \ldots \phi_{b_n}(u)$. In particular

$$\phi(P) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_0\phi_{b_1} \ldots \phi_{b_n}(P)$$

For every n we have

$$\phi_0\phi_{b_1} \ldots \phi_{b_n}(P) = P' - \sum_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P) + z_n$$

where $z_n \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$ satisfies $z_{n+1} - z_n \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+1}$. Therefore $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy and $z = \lim\limits_{n \to \infty} z_n \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. Furthermore, $r_n = \sum\limits_{c \in \hat{T}} s(c)b_n(a(c))\delta_{c^*}(P) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n+3}$. Passing to the limit yields

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(P) &= P' - \lim_{n \to \infty} r_n + \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n \\ &= P' + z\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to P', as desired. ☐

Definition 28. An algebra with potential is a pair $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ where P is a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and $M_{cyc} = 0$.

Definition 29. Let $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_S(M'), P')$ be algebras with potential. A right-equivalence between these two algebras is an algebra isomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M')$ with $\phi|_S = id_S$ such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to P'.

Definition 30. Let P be a quadratic potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. We say P is *trivial* if the S-bimodule generated by the set $\{X_{a^*}(P) : a \in T\}$ equals M.

Proposition 6.6. *Let P and P' be reduced potentials in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and W a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(C)$ where C is an S-bimodule Z-freely generated. Suppose there is a right-equivalence between $(\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C), P + W)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C), P' + W)$, then there exists a right-equivalence between $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P')$.*

Proof. Suppose that M and C are Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodules M_0 and C_0, respectively. Then $M = SM_0S$ and $C = SC_0S$. Therefore $M \oplus C = S(M_0 \oplus C_0)S \cong S \otimes_Z (M_0 \oplus C_0) \otimes_Z S$. Let T_M be a Z-local basis for M_0 and T_C a Z-local basis for C_0. We have $T_M \cup T_C$ is a Z-local basis for $M_0 \oplus C_0$. Associated to the Z-local basis T_M for M_0 we have an S-local basis $\hat{T}_M$ for M_S; similarly, there exists an S-local basis $\hat{T}_C$ for C_S. Therefore $\hat{T}_M \cup \hat{T}_C$ is an S-local basis for $(M \oplus C)_S$. We have

$$(1)\ \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C) = \mathcal{F}_S(M) \oplus L$$

where L denotes the closure of the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)$ generated by C. The following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} &(2) \qquad R(P + W) = R(P) \oplus L \\ &(3) \qquad R(P' + W) = R(P') \oplus L \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, $R(P + W)$ is the closure of the ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)$ generated by the elements $X_{a^*}(P + W)$ where $a \in T_M \cup T_C$. If $a \in T_M$, $X_{a^*}(P + W) = \sum\limits_{s \in L(a)} \delta_{(sa)^*}(P + W)s = \sum\limits_{s \in L(a)} \delta_{(sa)^*}(P)s$. If $a \in T_C$, $X_{a^*}(P + W) = \sum\limits_{s \in L(a)} \delta_{(sa)^*}(P + W)s = \sum\limits_{s \in L(a)} \delta_{(sa)^*}(W)s$. Therefore $R(P + W)$ is the closure of the ideal of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)$ generated by the elements $X_{a^*}(P)$, $a \in T_M$ and the elements $X_{u^*}(W)$ where $u \in T_C$; these last elements generate C as an S-bimodule (since W is trivial), this implies (2) and (3) can be proved similarly.

Now let ϕ be an algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)$ with $\phi|_S = id_S$ such that $\phi(P + W)$ is cyclically equivalent to $P' + W$. Then (3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(R(P + W)) &= R(\phi(P + W)) \\ &= R(P' + W) \\ &= R(P') \oplus L \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$(4) \qquad \phi(R(P + W)) = R(P') \oplus L$$

Let $p : \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be the canonical projection induced by the decomposition given in (1). Note that p is continuous. Consider the morphism

$$\psi = p \circ \phi|_{\mathcal{F}_S(M)} : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$$

Remark that ϕ is determined by a pair of S-bimodules morphisms $\phi^1 : M \oplus C \to M \oplus C$ and $\phi^2 : M \oplus C \to \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)^{\geq 2}$. Since ϕ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)$ then ϕ^1 is an isomorphism of S-bimodules and thus it has a matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi^1_{M,M} & \phi^1_{M,C} \\ \phi^1_{C,M} & \phi^1_{C,C} \end{bmatrix}$$

The inclusions $C \subseteq L \subseteq R(P) \oplus L$ imply that $\phi(C) \subseteq \phi(R(P) \oplus L) = \phi(R(P+W)) = R(P') \oplus L$, the last equality follows from (4). Since P' is reduced then $R(P') \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. The fact that $\phi^1_{M,C} = \pi_M \circ \phi^1 \circ \sigma_C$ implies that $\phi^1_{M,C} = 0$. Therefore $\phi^1_{M,M}$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. Since $\psi|_M = p \circ \phi|_M : M \to M \oplus C \oplus \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ then $\psi^1 = \phi^1_{M,M}$ and thus ψ^1 is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. We conclude that ψ is an algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Note that $\psi(R(P))$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and thus $p^{-1}(\psi(R(P))) = \phi(R(P)) + L$ is closed as well. Since ϕ^{-1} is an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)$ such that $P + W$ is cyclically equivalent to $\phi^{-1}(P' + W)$, then $\phi^{-1}(R(P')) + L$ is closed. We obtain

$$R(P') + \phi(L) \text{ is a closed subset of } \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)$$

Let us show the following inclusion holds

$$L \subseteq R(P') + \phi(L)$$

From (4) we deduce that $\phi(R(P)) \subseteq R(P') \oplus L$. Since $R(P) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ then $\phi(R(P)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)^{\geq 2}$. If $z \in \phi(R(P))$ then $z = \mu + \lambda$ with $\mu \in R(P') \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ and $\lambda \in L$. Therefore $\lambda = z - \mu \in \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)^{\geq 2} \cap L$. Thus $\lambda \in UL + LU$ where $U = \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C)^{\geq 1}$. Consequently

$$\phi(R(P)) \subseteq R(P') + UL + LU \tag{5}$$

Then: $L \subseteq R(P') + L = R(P' + W) = R(\phi(P + W)) = \phi(R(P + W)) = \phi(R(P) + L) = \phi(R(P)) + \phi(L) \subseteq R(P') + \phi(L) + UL + LU$. We deduce $L \subseteq R(P') + \phi(L) + UL + LU$. Substituting this equation into the right-hand side of (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} L &\subseteq R(P') + \phi(L) + U(R(P') + \phi(L) + UL + LU) + (R(P') + \phi(L) + UL + LU)U \\ &\subseteq R(P') + \phi(L) + U^2L + ULU + LU^2 \end{aligned}$$

continuing in the same way, for every $n > 0$ we obtain

$$L \subseteq R(P') + \phi(L) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} U^k L U^{n-k} \subseteq R(P') + \phi(L) + U^n$$

Therefore L is contained in the closure of $R(P') + \phi(L)$, but (3) implies this set is closed, hence $L \subseteq R(P') + \phi(L)$ and the inclusion $L \subseteq R(P') + \phi(L)$ is established.

By using the symmetry between $R(P)$ and $R(P')$ we obtain

$$L \subseteq R(P) + \phi^{-1}(L)$$

and applying ϕ to this expression yields

$$\phi(L) \subseteq \phi(R(P)) + L \tag{6}$$

Therefore

$$p(\phi(L)) \subseteq p(\phi(R(P)) = \psi(R(P)) \tag{7}$$

It follows that $\phi(P + W) = \phi(P) + \phi(W)$ is cyclically equivalent to $P' + W$. Thus $p(\phi(P)) + p(\phi(W)) = \psi(P) + p\phi(W)$ is cyclically equivalent to $p(P' + W) = P'$. This implies that $\psi(P) - P'$ is cyclically equivalent to $-p(\phi(W))$. Since $W \in C^{\otimes 2}$, then

$$p(\phi(W)) \subseteq p(\phi(C^{\otimes 2})) = \psi(C^{\otimes 2}) = \psi(C)^2$$

Equation (7) implies that $p(\phi(C)) \subseteq p(\phi(L)) \subseteq \psi(R(P))$. Consequently, $\psi(P) - P'$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of $\psi(R(P))^2 = R(\psi(P))^2$.

By Proposition 6.5 there exists an automorphism ρ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\rho(\psi(P))$ is cyclically equivalent to P'. The result follows. □

7. *Quadratic potentials*

Recall that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $L(i) = L \cap e_i S$ is an F-basis for $D_i = e_i S$. In what follows, if $e_i \in L(i)$, then e_i^* is the F-linear map $D_i \to F$ such that $(e_i)^*(e_i) = 1$ and $(e_i)^*(t) = 0$ if $t \in L(i) \setminus \{e_i\}$. We will assume that each basis $L(i)$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $e_i \in L(i)$ and if $s, t \in L(i)$ then $e_i^*(st^{-1}) \neq 0$ implies $s = t$ and $e_i^*(t^{-1}s) \neq 0$ implies $s = t$.
2. If $c(i) = [D_i : F]$ then $\mathrm{char}(F) \nmid c(i)$.

We remark that such bases exist: let A be a finite-dimensional associative unital algebra over a field F. We call a vector-space basis of A semi-multiplicative if the product of any two-basis vectors is an F-multiple of a basis element. One can check that if $L(i)$ is a semi-multiplicative F-basis of D_i and $\mathrm{char}(F) \nmid [D_i : F]$ then the basis $L(i)$ satisfies (1).

Example 4. Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the ring of quaternions then $\{1, i, j, k\}$ is a semi-multiplicative basis.

Remark 6. Suppose that L_1 is an F-basis for the field extension F_1/F and L_2 is an F_1-basis for the field extension F_2/F_1. If both L_1 and L_2 satisfy condition (1), then the F-basis $L := \{xy : x \in L_1, y \in L_2\}$ for F_2 also satisfies (1).

This can be shown as follows. Given $y \in L_2$ we have the F_1-linear transformation $y^* : F_2 \to F_1$ induced by the dual basis of L_2 and for each $x \in L_1$ we also have the F-linear transformation $x^* : F_1 \to F$. Therefore for $xy \in L$ the composition $x^*y^* : F_2 \to F$ is an F-linear map. Note then that $x^*y^* = (xy)^*$. Now suppose that $xy, x_1y_1 \in L$ and that $0 \neq e^*(xy(x_1y_1)^{-1})$. Then $e^*(xy(x_1y_1)^{-1}) = e^*(xx_1^{-1}e^*(yy_1^{-1}))$. Thus $e^*(yy_1^{-1}) \neq 0$ and $y = y_1$. This readily implies that $e^*(xx_1^{-1}) \neq 0$ and hence $x = x_1$, as claimed.

The above remark provides the following

Example 5. Let F/E be a finite field extension. If $Gal(F/E)$ is a solvable group, and if E contains a primitive root of unity of order $[F : E]$, then the extension F/E has a basis satisfying condition (1).

Proposition 7.1. *The set $\{s^{-1} | s \in L(i)\}$ is an F-basis of D_i.*

Proof. It suffices to show that $\{s^{-1} | s \in L(i)\}$ is linearly independent over F. Suppose we have a linear combination:

$$\sum_{s \in L(i)} \lambda_s s^{-1} = 0$$

with $\lambda_s \in F$. Let t be an arbitrary element of $L(i)$, then

$$\lambda_t e_i + \sum_{s \neq t} s^{-1} t \lambda_s = 0$$

Therefore

$$0 = e_i^* \left(\lambda_t e_i + \sum_{s \neq t} s^{-1} t \lambda_s \right) = \lambda_t + \sum_{t \neq s} \lambda_s e_i^*(s^{-1}t) = \lambda_t$$

Thus $\lambda_t = 0$ for every $t \in L(i)$. □

In what follows, if $s \in L(i)$ then $(s^{-1})^*$ is the F-linear map $D_i \to F$ such that $(s^{-1})^*(t^{-1}) = 1$ for $t = s$ and 0 if $t \neq s$ for $t \in L(i)$.

Proposition 7.2. *For each $t, t_1, s \in L(i)$ we have*

$$\sum_{r\in L(i)} (r^{-1})^*(t_1^{-1}s^{-1})r^*(st) = \delta_{t,t_1}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} st &= \sum_{r\in L(i)} r^*(st)r \\ t_1^{-1}s^{-1} &= \sum_{r_1\in L(i)} (r_1^{-1})^*(t_1^{-1}s^{-1})r_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$t_1^{-1}t = \sum_{r,r_1\in L(i)} (r_1^{-1})^*(t_1^{-1}s^{-1})r^*(st)r_1^{-1}r$$

applying e_i^* on both sides yields

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{t,t_1} &= \sum_{r,r_1\in L(i)} (r_1^{-1})^*(t_1^{-1}s^{-1})r^*(st)e_i^*(r_1^{-1}r) \\ &= \sum_{r\in L(i)} (r^{-1})^*(t_1^{-1}s^{-1})r^*(st) \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. ☐

Proposition 7.3. *For each $r, r_1, s \in L(i)$ we have*

$$\sum_{t\in L(i)} r^*(st)(r_1^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s^{-1}) = \delta_{r,r_1}$$

Proof. Define square matrices of order $c(i)$ with entries in F as follows. Let $A = [a_{p,q}(s)]$ where $a_{p,q}(s) = p^*(sq)$ and $B = [b_{g,h}(s)]$ where $b_{g,h}(s) = (h^{-1})^*(g^{-1}s^{-1})$. Using Proposition 7.2 we have that BA equals the identity matrix. Thus $AB = I$ and the result follows. ☐

Proposition 7.4. *For each $s, s_1, t \in L(i)$ we have*

$$\sum_{r\in L(i)} (r^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s_1^{-1})r^*(st) = \delta_{s_1,s}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} st &= \sum_{r\in L(i)} r^*(st)r \\ t^{-1}s_1^{-1} &= \sum_{r_1\in L(i)} (r_1^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s_1^{-1})r_1^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$ss_1^{-1} = \sum_{r,r_1\in L(i)} r^*(st)(r_1^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s_1^{-1})rr_1^{-1}$$

applying e_i^* on both sides yields

$$\delta_{s,s_1} = \sum_{r\in L(i)} r^*(st)(r^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s_1^{-1})$$

The result follows. ☐

Proposition 7.5. *For each $r, r_1, t \in L(i)$ we have:*

$$\sum_{s\in L(i)} r^*(st)(r_1^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s^{-1}) = \delta_{r,r_1}$$

Proof. Define square matrices of order $c(i)$ with entries in F as follows. Let $A = [a_{p,q}(t)]$ where $a_{p,q}(t) = q^*(pt)$ and $B = [b_{g,h}(t)]$ where $b_{g,h}(t) = (g^{-1})^*(t^{-1}h^{-1})$. The previous proposition implies that $AB = I$, hence $BA = I$ and the result follows. ☐

Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. For each $\psi \in M^*$ we set $X^P(\psi) = \sum_{s\in L} \psi s^{-1}(\delta(P))\, s \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$, where by abuse of notation ψs^{-1} denotes the F-linear map $(\psi s^{-1})_*$ as in Definition 13; this gives an F-linear map:

$$X^P : M^* \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$$

Note that if $\psi = e_j\psi e_i$, then $X^P(\psi) = \sum_{s\in L(i)} \psi s^{-1}(\delta(P))s$.

Proposition 7.6. *The correspondence $X^P : M^* \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is a morphism of S-bimodules.*

Proof. Clearly X^P is a morphism of left S-modules. It remains to show it is a morphism of right S-modules. It suffices to show that if $\psi = e_j\psi e_i$ and $s \in L(i)$, then $X^P(\psi s^{-1}) = X^P(\psi)s^{-1}$. Using Proposition 7.5 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
X^P(\psi s^{-1}) &= \sum_{w\in L(i)} \psi s^{-1}w^{-1}(\delta(P))w \\
&= \sum_{w,r\in L(i)} (r^{-1})^*(s^{-1}w^{-1})\psi r^{-1}(\delta(P))(ws)s^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{w,r,r_1\in L(i)} (r^{-1})^*(s^{-1}w^{-1})\psi r^{-1}(\delta(P))r_1 r_1^*(ws)s^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{r,r_1\in L(i)} \psi r^{-1}(\delta(P))r_1 \left(\sum_{w\in L(i)} r_1^*(ws)(r^{-1})^*(s^{-1}w^{-1})\right) s^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{r,r_1\in L(i)} \psi r^{-1}(\delta(P))r_1\delta_{r,r_1}s^{-1} \\
&= \left(\sum_{r\in L(i)} \psi r^{-1}(\delta(P))r\right) s^{-1} \\
&= X^P(\psi)s^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

☐

Proposition 7.7. *The ideal $R(P)$ is equal to the closure of the ideal generated by all the elements $X^P(\psi)$ with $\psi \in M^*$.*

Proof. By definition, $R(P)$ is the closure of the two-sided ideal generated by all the elements $X_{a^*}(P)$ with $a \in T$. It suffices to show that if $\psi \in M^*$ then $X^P(\psi) \in R(P)$. Note that the elements $(sa)^*$ form an S-local basis for ${}_SM^*$; thus we can find elements $\lambda_{s,a} \in S$ such that $\psi = \sum_{sa} \lambda_{s,a}(sa)^*$. Therefore:

$$X^P(\psi) = \sum_{sa} \lambda_{s,a}X^P((sa)^*) = \sum_{sa} \lambda_{s,a}X^P(a^*s^{-1}) = \sum_{sa} \lambda_{s,a}X^P(a^*)s^{-1}$$

Hence $X^P(\psi) \in R(P)$. ☐

Suppose that P is a quadratic potential, then the map X^P induces a morphism of S-bimodules:

$$X^P : M^* \to M$$

Definition 31. Let P be a quadratic potential. We say that P is *trivial* if the map $X^P : M^* \to M$ is an epimorphism of S-bimodules and hence an isomorphism.

Example 6. Suppose that $P = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i b_i$ where $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_l\}$ is a Z-free generating set of M, then P is trivial.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X^P(a_u^*) &= \sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a_u))} a_u^* s^{-1} (\delta(P))\, s \\ &= \sum_{s \in L(\sigma(a_u))} a_u^* s^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l} (a_i b_i + b_i a_i) \right) s = b_u \end{aligned}$$

similarly $X^P(b_u^*) = a_u$. Thus $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_l\} \subseteq Im(X^P)$ and since X^P is a morphism of S-bimodules, $\mathrm{Im}(X^P)$ is an S-subbimodule of M containing the generators $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_l\}$. It follows that X^P is a surjection. □

Remark 7. An S-bimodule M is Z-freely generated if and only if $M \cong \bigoplus_{m(i,j)} (D_i \otimes_F D_j)$ with $m(i,j)$ a non-negative integer.

In what follows, given a quadratic potential P, we set $\Xi(P) = Im(X^P)$ where $X^P : M^* \to M$ is the morphism of S-bimodules induced by the potential P.

Definition 32. We say that a quadratic potential $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is decomposable if $\Xi(P)$ is Z-freely generated.

Definition 33. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and $P^{(2)}$ the quadratic component of P. We define $\Xi_2(P) = \Xi(P^{(2)})$.

Proposition 7.8. *Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be an algebra automorphism determined by the pair $(\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)})$ and let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then $\Xi_2(\phi(P)) = \phi^{(1)}(\Xi_2(P))$. In particular, if ϕ is a unitriangular automorphism then $\Xi_2(\phi(P)) = \Xi_2(P)$.*

Proof. For each $m \in M$ we have $\phi(m) = \phi^{(1)}(m) + \phi^{(2)}(m)$ with $\phi^{(1)}(m) \in M$, $\phi^{(2)}(m) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. Then $(\phi(P))^{(2)} = \phi^{(1)}(P^{(2)})$. Therefore $\Xi_2(\phi(P)) = \Xi(\phi^{(1)}(P^{(2)}))$. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be the automorphism extending $\phi^{(1)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(\phi^{(1)}(P^{(2)})) &= \Xi(\varphi(P^{(2)})) \\ &= M \cap R(\varphi(P^{(2)})) \\ &= M \cap \varphi(R(P^{(2)})) \\ &= \varphi(M \cap R(P^{(2)})) \\ &= \phi^{(1)}(\Xi_2(P)) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Lemma 7.9. *Let $a, a' \in T(i,j)$ and $y \in M$. Then*

$$X_{a^*}(a'y) = y\delta_{a,a'}$$

Proof. Suppose that $y = \sum_{s,t \in L, b \in T} f_{s,t,b} sbt$ where $sb \in e_j M e_u$ and $f_{s,t,b} \in F$, then $sb \neq a$ for all $sb \in e_j M e_u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X_{a^*}(ay) &= \sum_{s,t \in L, b \in T} f_{s,t,b} X_{a^*}(asbt) \\ &= \sum_{s,t \in L, b \in T} f_{s,t,b} \sum_{r \in L} (ra)^* \left(\delta(asbt)\right) r \\ &= \sum_{s,t \in L, b \in T} f_{s,t,b} \sum_{r \in L} (ra)^* \left(\delta(tasb)\right) r \\ &= \sum_{s,t \in L, b \in T} f_{s,t,b} \sum_{r \in L} (ra)^* (tasb + sbta) r \\ &= \sum_{s,t \in L, b \in T} f_{s,t,b} sbt \\ &= y \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows. □

Let M be an S-bimodule Z-freely generated and let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the set of all pairs (i,j) such that $e_i M e_j \neq 0$, $e_j M e_i \neq 0$ and $\dim_F(e_i M e_j) \leq \dim_F(e_j M e_i)$. In what follows let $N^{>} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{K}} e_j M e_i$, $N^{<} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{K}} e_i M e_j$ and $N = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{K}} (e_i M e_j + e_j M e_i)$.

PROPOSITION 7.10. *Let P be a quadratic potential, then P is cyclically equivalent to the potential*

$$Q = \sum_{a \in T^{<}} a X^P(a^*)$$

where $T^{<} = T \cap N^{<}$.

Proof. It is clear that P is cyclically equivalent to a potential in $N^{<} \otimes_S N^{>}$. Therefore P is cyclically equivalent to a potential that is an F-linear combination of elements of the form taz where $t \in L(\sigma(a))$, $a \in T^{<}$, $z \in N^{>}$. Hence P is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form $Q = \sum_{a \in T^{<}} a y_a$ where $y_a \in N^{>}$. Let $a_0 \in T^{<}$, then Lemma 7.9 implies that

$$X^P(a_0^*) = X^Q(a_0^*) = \sum_{a \in T^{<}} X_{a_0^*}(a y_a) = y_{a_0}$$

This completes the proof. □

DEFINITION 34. Let P be a quadratic potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. We say P is maximal if the map $X^P : M^* \to M$ induces a monomorphism from $(N^{<})^*$ to $N^{>}$.

REMARK 8. Note that since $S \otimes_F S^{op}$ is a self-injective finite dimensional algebra then every projective S-bimodule is an injective S-bimodule. In particular, if N is a Z-freely generated S-bimodule then N is an injective S-bimodule. This implies that every Z-freely generated S-subbimodule of M has a complement in M and in fact this complement is also Z-freely generated.

COROLLARY 7.11. *Let P be a maximal potential, then P is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form*

$$Q = \sum_{a \in T^{<}} a f_a$$

where the set $\{f_a\}_{a \in T^{<}}$ is contained in a Z-free generating set of $N^{>}$.

Proof. Since P is maximal then the map $X^P : M^* \to M$ induces an injective map of S-bimodules $X^P : (N^<)^* \to N^>$ and thus X^P induces an isomorphism of S-bimodules between $(N^<)^*$ and $\mathrm{Im}(X^P)$. Hence $\mathrm{Im}(X^P)$ is Z-freely generated by $f_a := X^P(a^*)$, $a \in T^<$. Because both $\mathrm{Im}(X^P)$ and $N^>$ are Z-freely generated, then by Remark 8 there exists an S-subbimodule, Z-freely generated, N' of $N^>$ such that $\mathrm{Im}(X^P) \oplus N' = N^>$. It follows that if U is a Z-free generating set of N' then $\{f_a\}_{a\in T^<} \cup U$ is a Z-free generating set of $N^>$. The result follows. $\square$

We will see that every trivial potential is cyclically equivalent to a potential as in Example 6.

Proposition 7.12. *Let P be a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then P is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i g_i$ where $\{h_1, \ldots, h_m, g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Z-free generating set of M.*

Proof. Let $M^< = \sum_{\substack{i,j\\ i<j}} e_i M e_j$ and $M^> = \sum_{\substack{i,j\\ i>j}} e_i M e_j$. Note that P is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form

$$P' = \sum_{a\in T\cap M^<} a X^P(a^*)$$

Since P is trivial then, the set $\{X^P(a^*) : a \in T \cap M^<\}$ is a Z-free generating set of $M^>$. Therefore $\{a : a \in T \cap M^<\} \cup \{X^P(a^*) : a \in T \cap M^<\}$ is a Z-free generating set of M. $\square$

Proposition 7.13. *Let P be a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then given a Z-local basis T of M_0, there exists an automorphism $\varphi : M \to M$ of S-bimodules such that its extension to an algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ has the property that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i$ with $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_m\} = T$.*

Proof. By Proposition 7.12 we have that P is cyclically equivalent to a potential:

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i g_i$$

where $W = \{h_1, \ldots, h_m, g_1, \ldots, g_m\}$ is a Z-free generating set of M. Therefore there exists an automorphism of S-bimodules φ of M mapping W onto T. Let ϕ denote the extension of φ to an algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Then $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i$ where $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m, b_1, \ldots, b_m\} = T$. $\square$

Proposition 7.14. *Let P be a decomposable quadratic potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then P is right-equivalent to a potential of the form $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{l} a_i b_i$ where $\{a_1, \ldots, a_l, b_1, \ldots, b_l\}$ is a Z-local basis of a Z-direct summand of M_0.*

Proof. Let P be a quadratic potential, then Proposition 7.10 implies that P is cyclically equivalent to the potential

$$Q = \sum_{a\in T^<} a X^P(a^*)$$

Let $V = \{z_1, \ldots, z_l\}$ be a Z-free generating set of $\mathrm{Im}(X^P)$. Therefore for each $a \in T^<$ we have

$$X^P(a^*) = \sum_{i\in I(a)} t_i z_i s_i$$

for some finite set $I(a)$ and $t_i, s_i \in S$. Then

$$Q=\sum_{a\in T^{<}}\sum_{i\in I(a)}at_iz_is_i$$

Thus Q is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form

$$Q'=\sum_{j}z_jh_j$$

where $h_j\in M$. Since $\mathrm{Im}(X^P)$ and M are both Z-freely generated, then by Remark 8 there exists an S-subbimodule M_1 of M, which is Z-freely generated and such that $M=M_1\oplus\mathrm{Im}(X^P)$. Let T_1 be a Z-local basis of M_1, then there exists an automorphism of S-bimodules $\phi:M\to M$ such that $\phi(T_1\cup V)=T$. Now let φ be the algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ extending ϕ, then $\varphi(Q')$ is cyclically equivalent to the potential

$$Q''=\sum_{b\in\phi(V)}bg_b$$

where $g_b\in M$. Note that by Lemma 7.9, $g_b=X^{Q''}(b^*)$. Since P is cyclically equivalent to Q' then $\varphi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to Q''. Therefore $\Xi(Q'')=\Xi(\varphi(P))=\phi(\Xi(P))=S\phi(V)S$. Thus $g_b\in S\phi(V)S$ and therefore Q'' is a quadratic potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(S\phi(V)S)$ with $\Xi(Q'')=S\phi(V)S$ and hence Q'' is trivial. The result follows by applying Proposition 7.13. ☐

Let $P=\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_ib_i+P'$ be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ where $A=\{a_1,b_1,a_2,b_2,\ldots,a_N,b_N\}$ is contained in a Z-free generating set T of M and $P'\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 3}$. Let L_1 denote the complement of A in T. Let N_1 be the F-vector subspace of M generated by A and let N_2 be the F-vector subspace of M generated by L_1, then $M=M_1\oplus M_2$ as S-bimodules where $M_1=SN_1S$ and $M_2=SN_2S$.

We have the following *splitting theorem.*

Theorem 7.15. *There exists a unitriangular automorphism $\phi:\mathcal{F}_S(M)\to\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_ib_i+P''$ where P'' is a reduced potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2 and $\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_ib_i$ is a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_1)$.*

We first show the following.

Lemma 7.16. *The potential P is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form:*

$$P_1=\sum_{i=1}^{N}(a_ib_i+a_iv_i+u_ib_i)+P''$$

where a_i,b_i belong to a Z-free generating set of M, $v_i,u_i\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$ and $P''\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 3}$ is a reduced potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2.

Proof. Let us write $P'=\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}D_n$ where $D_n\in M^{\otimes n}$ and $n\geq 3$. Now write each D_n as $D_n=\sum_j\mu_j^{(n)}$ where $\mu_j^{(n)}\in M^{\otimes n}$. Let a_k, where $k\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\}$, be such that a_k appears in the decomposition of $\mu_j^{(n)}=m_{j,1}\ldots m_{j,n}$. Suppose that $m_{j,i}=a_k$ for some $i\in\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1}m_{j,i}m_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}&=m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1}a_km_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}\\&=a_k\left(m_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1}\right)+\left((m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1})(a_km_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n})-a_k(m_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1})\right)\end{aligned}$$

Note that $m_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1} \in M^{\otimes(n-1)}$ and the term on the right-hand side belongs to the commutator. Therefore if $\{m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}, \dots, m_{j,n}\} \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_N\} \neq \emptyset$ then

$$\mu_j^{(n)} = a_k v_{j,k}^{(n)} + z_{j,k}^{(n)}$$

where $v_{j,k}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n-1}$ and $z_{j,k}^{(n)} \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)] \cap M^{\otimes n}$.

Suppose now that $\{m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}, \dots, m_{j,n}\} \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_N\} = \emptyset$ but that $\{m_{j,1}, m_{j,2}, \dots, m_{j,n}\} \cap \{b_1, \dots, b_N\} \neq \emptyset$.

Let b_k, where $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$, be such that b_k appears in the decomposition of $\mu_j^{(n)}$. Suppose that $m_{j,i} = b_k$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$m_{j,1}...b_k b_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n} = (m_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}m_{j,1})\, b_k + ((m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1}b_k)(m_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}) - m_{j,i+1}...m_{j,n}m_{j,1}...m_{j,i-1}b_k)$$

Consequently $\mu_j^{(n)} = \lambda_{j,k}^{(n)} b_k + w_{j,k}^{(n)}$ where $\lambda_{j,k}^{(n)} \in M^{\otimes(n-1)}$ and $w_{j,k}^{(n)} \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)] \cap M^{\otimes n}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n &= \sum_j \mu_j^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_j \left(a_k v_{j,k}^n + z_{j,k}^{(n)} + \lambda_{j,k}^n b_k + w_{j,k}^{(n)} + c_{j,k}^{(n)} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_j (a_k v_{j,k}^n + \lambda_{j,k}^n b_k) + h_{n,k} + t_{n,k}
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_{n,k} \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)] \cap M^{\otimes n}$ and $t_{n,k} \in M^{\otimes n}$ is a potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
P' &= \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} D_n \\
&= \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_j (a_k v_{j,k}^n + \lambda_{j,k}^n b_k) + h_{n,k} + t_{n,k} \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left(a_k \left(\sum_j v_{j,k}^n \right) + \left(\sum_j \lambda_{j,k}^n \right) b_k \right) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} h_n + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} t_n \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_j \left(a_k \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} v_{j,k}^n \right) + \left(\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \lambda_{j,k}^n \right) b_k \right) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} h_n + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} t_n \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_j (a_k v_{j,k} + u_{j,k} b_k) + P'' + h
\end{aligned}$$

where $v_{j,k} := \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} v_{j,k}^n$, $u_{j,k} := \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \lambda_{j,k}^n$, $P'' := \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} t_n$ and $h = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} h_n$. By construction, we have that $v_{j,k}^n, \lambda_{j,k}^n \in M^{\otimes(n-1)}$ for each n. Since $n \geq 3$ then $v_{j,k} \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. Similarly, it follows that $\lambda_{j,k}^n \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. Since each t_n is a potential contained in the algebra generated by M_2 then $P'' = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} t_n$ is a reduced potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k b_k + P' \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k b_k + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_j (a_k v_{k,j} + u_{j,k} b_k) + P'' + h \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{N} (a_k b_k + a_k v_k + u_k b_k) + P'' + h
\end{aligned}$$

The above implies that P is cyclically equivalent to the potential $\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N}(a_ib_i+a_iv_i+u_ib_i)+P''$. ☐

Definition 35. An algebra morphism $\phi:\mathcal{F}_S(M)\to\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ has depth d if $\phi|_S=1_S$ and if for each $m\in M$ we have that $\phi(m)=m+m'$ where $m'\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq d+1}$.

Definition 36. We say that a potential $P\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is d-split if

$$P=\sum_{i=1}^{N}(a_ib_i+a_iv_i+u_ib_i)+P'$$

where the elements a_i,b_i belong to a Z-free generating set of M, $u_i,v_i\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq d+1}$ and P' is a reduced potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2.

Lemma 7.17. *Let P be a d-split potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Then there exists an algebra isomorphism $\phi:\mathcal{F}_S(M)\to\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ having depth d and such that*

$$\phi(P)=\widetilde{P}+h$$

where $h\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2d+2}\cap[\mathcal{F}_S(M),\mathcal{F}_S(M)]$ and $\widetilde{P}$ is a $2d$-split potential.

Proof. By assumption P has the form

$$P=\sum_{i=1}^{N}(a_ib_i+a_iv_i+u_ib_i)+P'$$

where the elements a_i,b_i belong to a Z-free generating set T of M, $u_i,v_i\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq d+1}$ and P' is a reduced potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2. Let $\phi:\mathcal{F}_S(M)\to\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be the unitriangular automorphism given by $\phi|_S=1_s$, $\phi(a_s)=a_s-u_s$, $\phi(b_i)=b_i-v_i$ and $\phi(c)=c$ for $c\in L_1$. Let us show that ϕ is of depth d. Let $m\in M$, then $m=\displaystyle\sum_i\lambda_ia_i\lambda_i'+\sum_i\beta_ib_i\beta_i'+\sum_k\gamma_kc_k\gamma_k'$ where $\lambda_i,\lambda_i',\beta_i,\beta_i',\gamma_k,\gamma_k'\in S$. Applying ϕ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(m)&=\sum_i\phi(\lambda_ia_i\lambda_i')+\sum_i\phi(\beta_ib_i\beta_i')+\sum_k\gamma_kc_k\gamma_k'\\&=\sum_i\phi(\lambda_i)\phi(a_i)\phi(\lambda_i')+\sum_i\phi(\beta_i)\phi(b_i)\phi(\beta_i')+\sum_k\gamma_kc_k\gamma_k'\\&=\sum_i\lambda_i\phi(a_i)\lambda_i'+\sum_i\beta_i\phi(b_i)\beta_i'+\sum_k\gamma_kc_k\gamma_k'\\&=\sum_i\lambda_i(a_i-u_i)\lambda_i'+\sum_i\beta_i(b_i-v_i)\beta_i'+\sum_k\gamma_kc_k\gamma_k'\\&=\sum_i\lambda_ia_i\lambda_i'+\sum_i\beta_ib_i\beta_i'+\sum_k\gamma_kc_k\gamma_k'-\sum_i\lambda_iu_i\lambda_i'-\sum_i\beta_iv_i\beta_i'\\&=m+m'\end{aligned}$$

Since P is d-split then $m':=-\displaystyle\sum_i\lambda_iu_i\lambda_i'-\sum_i\beta_iv_i\beta_i'\in\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq d+1}$; thus ϕ is of depth d.

On the other hand $\phi(u_s) = u_s + u'_s$, $\phi(v_s) = v_s + v'_i$ where $u'_s, v'_s \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2d+1}$. We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(P) &= \sum_i \left((a_i - u_i)(b_i - v_i) + (a_i - u_i)(v_i + v'_i) + (u_i + u'_i)(b_i - v_i)\right) + P' \\ &= \sum_i \left(a_i b_i + a_i v'_i + u'_i b_i\right) + P_1 + P'\end{aligned}$$

where $P_1 = -\sum_i (u_i v_i + u_i v'_i + u'_i v_i) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2d+2}$. Using Lemma 7.16 we have that

$$P_1 = \sum_i \left(a_i v''_i + u''_i b_i\right) + P'' + h$$

where $u''_s, v''_s \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2d+1}$, $h \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2d+2} \cap [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$ and P'' is a reduced potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(P) &= \sum_i \left(a_i b_i + a_i v'_i + u'_i b_i\right) + P_1 + P' \\ &= \sum_i \left(a_i b_i + a_i v'_i + u'_i b_i\right) + \sum_i \left(a_i v''_i + u''_i b_i\right) + P' + P'' + h \\ &= \sum_i \left(a_i b_i + a_i(v'_i + v''_i) + (u'_i + u''_i) b_i\right) + P' + P'' + h\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\widetilde{P} = \sum_i \left(a_i b_i + a_i(v'_i + v''_i) + (u'_i + u''_i) b_i\right) + P' + P''$ yields that $\widetilde{P}$ is a $2d$-split potential and $h \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2d+2} \cap [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$.

□

We now prove Theorem 7.15.

Proof. Using repeatedly Lemma 7.16, we construct a sequence of potentials $\{\widetilde{P}_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, a sequence $\{h_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $h_i \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$ and a sequence of unitriangular automorphisms $\{\phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the following properties:

- (i) ϕ_i is of depth 2^i.
- (ii) $\widetilde{P}_i$ is a 2^i-split potential.
- (iii) $h_{i+1} \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{2^i+2}$.
- (iv) $\phi_i(\widetilde{P}_i) = \widetilde{P}_{i+1} + h_{i+1}$.

Consider the sequence of automorphisms $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since ϕ_{n+1} has depth 2^{n+1} then, for every $a \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ we have that

$$\phi_{n+1}\phi_n \ldots \phi_1(a) - \phi_n \phi_{n-1} \ldots \phi_1(a) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2^{n+1}}$$

Then for each $a \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ the sequence $\{\phi_n \phi_{n-1}(a) \ldots \phi_1(a)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and thus $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n \ldots \phi_1(a)$ exists. We obtain the following automorphism

$$\phi = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n \ldots \phi_1$$

Therefore

$$\phi(P) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_n \ldots \phi_1(P)$$

Then

$$\phi_n \ldots \phi_1(P) = \widetilde{P}_{n+1} + h_{n+1} + \phi_n(h_n) + \phi_n \phi_{n-1}(h_{n-1}) + \ldots + \phi_n \ldots \phi_1(h_1)$$

Note that $\phi_n(h_n) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2^n+2}$. Thus the sequence $\{h_{n+1} + \phi_n(h_n) + \phi_n\phi_{n-1}(h_{n-1}) + \ldots + \phi_n ... \phi_1(h_1)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges and therefore $\{\widetilde{P}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges as well.

The potential $\widetilde{P}_n$ is 2^n-split, hence

$$\widetilde{P}_n = \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (a_i v_i^n + u_i^n b_i) + P'_n$$

where $u_i^n, v_i^n \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2^i}$ and P'_n lies in the algebra generated by M_2. The sequence $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ given by $t_n = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} (a_i v_i^n + u_i^n b_i)$ converges to 0 and therefore the sequence $\{P'_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(P) &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_n \ldots \phi_1(P) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i + P' + h \end{aligned}$$

where $P' = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} P'_n$ is a reduced potential contained in the closure of the algebra generated by M_2. Also

$$h = \lim_{n\to\infty} (h_{n+1} + \phi_n(h_n) + \phi_n\phi_{n-1}(h_{n-1}) + \ldots + \phi_n\phi_{n-1}\ldots\phi_1(h_1))$$

is an element of $[\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. Since $\phi(P) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i + P' + h$ and $h \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$, then $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} a_i b_i + P'$, as claimed.

□

8. *Mutation of potentials*

Let L be a Z-local basis for S, then for each i we have that $L(i) = L \cap e_i S$ is an F-basis for the division ring $D_i = e_i S$.

Let M_1 and M_2 be Z-freely generated S-bimodules of finite dimension over F. Suppose that T_1 and T_2 are Z-free generating sets of M_1 and M_2 respectively. In what follows, if a is a legible element of M_1 or M_2 such that $e_i a e_j = a$ we let $\sigma(a) = i$ and $\tau(a) = j$. For each $u = 1, 2$ an S-local basis of $(M_u)_S$ is given by $\hat{T}_u = \{sa : a \in T_u, s \in L(\sigma(a))\}$ and an S-local basis of ${}_S(M_u)$ is given by $\tilde{T}_u = \{as : a \in T_u, s \in L(\tau(a))\}$. We will analyze the morphisms of S-bimodules from M_1 to $\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)^{\geq 1}$ by looking at morphisms of right S-modules. First note that:

$$\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)^{\geq 1} = \bigoplus_{sb\in\hat{T}_2} sb\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)$$

A morphism of right S-modules $\varphi : M_1 \to \mathcal{F}_S(M_2)^{\geq 1}$ is completely determined by the images of the elements of the local basis $\hat{T}_1$ of $(M_1)_S$:

$$\text{(A) } \varphi(sa) = \sum_{tb\in\hat{T}_2} tbC_{tb,sa}$$

where $C_{tb,sa} \in e_{\tau(b)}\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)$ are uniquely determined.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $\varphi : (M_1)_S \to (\mathcal{F}_S(M_2))_S^{\geq 1}$ be given by (A), then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *φ is a morphism of S-bimodules.*

(ii) *For $s \in L(\sigma(a))$ and $s_1 \in D_{\sigma(a)}$ we have*

$$\sum_{t\in L(\sigma(b))} r^*(s_1 t)C_{tb,sa} = \sum_{w\in L(\sigma(a))} w^*(s_1 s)C_{rb,wa}$$

(iii) *For $r \in L(\sigma(b))$ and $s_1 \in L(\sigma(a))$ we have*

$$\sum_{t\in L(\sigma(b))} r^*(s_1t)C_{tb,a} = C_{rb,s_1a}$$

Proof. We now show (i) implies (ii). Note

$$\varphi(s_1sa) = \sum_{w\in L(\sigma(a))} w^*(s_1s)\varphi(wa) = \sum_{rb,w} w^*(s_1s)rbC_{rb,wa}$$

Also

$$s_1\varphi(sa) = \sum_{tb} s_1tbC_{tb,sa} = \sum_{tb,r} r^*(s_1t)rbC_{tb,sa}$$

Since $\varphi(s_1sa) = s_1\varphi(sa)$ then (ii) follows. Note that (ii) implies (iii) by setting $s = e_{\sigma(a)}$ in (ii). It remains to show that (iii) implies (i). Let $a \in T_1$ and $s_1 \in L(\sigma(a))$. Then

$$\varphi(s_1a) = \sum_{rb} rbC_{rb,s_1a} = \sum_{rb,t\in L(\sigma(b))} r^*(s_1t)rbC_{tb,a} = s_1\varphi(a)$$

Then for $z \in D_{\sigma(a)}$ and $s_1 \in L(\sigma(a))$ we obtain $\varphi(zs_1a) = \sum\limits_{r\in L(\sigma(a))} r^*(zs_1)\varphi(ra) = \sum\limits_{r\in L(\sigma(a))} r^*(zs_1)r\varphi(a) = zs_1\varphi(a) = z\varphi(s_1a)$. This completes the proof. □

We now study morphisms of S-bimodules $\psi : M_1 \to \mathcal{F}_S(M_2)^{\geq 1}$ determined by morphisms of left S-modules. We know that $\tilde{T}_1 = \{as : a \in T_1, s \in L(\tau(a))\}$ is an S-local basis for ${}_S(M_1)$. We have that:

$$\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)^{\geq 1} = \bigoplus_{br\in \tilde{T}_2} \mathcal{F}_S(M_2)br$$

Thus

$$\text{(B) } \psi(as) = \sum_{br\in\tilde{T}_2} D_{as,br}br$$

where, in an analogous way as before, $D_{as,br} \in \mathcal{F}_S(M_2)e_{\sigma(b)}$ are uniquely determined.

Proposition 8.2. *Let ψ be a morphism of left S-modules given by (B). Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *ψ is a morphism of S-bimodules.*

(ii) *For $a \in T_1$, $b \in T_2$, $s \in L(\tau(a))$, $r \in L(\tau(b))$, $s_1 \in D_{\tau(a)}$ we have*

$$\sum_{w\in L(\tau(a))} D_{aw,br}w^*(ss_1) = \sum_{t\in L(\tau(b))} D_{as,bt}r^*(ts_1)$$

(iii) *For $a \in T_1$, $b \in T_2$, $r \in L(\tau(b))$, $s_1 \in L(\tau(a))$ we have*

$$D_{as_1,br} = \sum_{t\in L(\tau(a))} D_{a,bt}r^*(ts_1)$$

Proof. Let us show (i) implies (ii). We have the following equalities:

$$\psi(ass_1) = \sum_{w\in L(\tau(a))} w^*(ss_1)\psi(aw) = \sum_{w,b,r} w^*(ss_1)D_{aw,br}br$$
$$\psi(as)s_1 = \sum_{t,b} D_{as,bt}bts_1 = \sum_{b,t,r} D_{as,bt}brr^*(ts_1)$$

Then (ii) follows from the equality $\psi(ass_1)=\psi(as)s_1$. To see (ii) implies (iii) it suffices to set $s=e_{\tau(a)}$ in (ii). It remains to show (iii) implies (i). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(as_1) &= \sum_{br\in\tilde{T}_2} D_{as_1,br}br = \sum_{br,t} D_{a,bt}r^*(ts_1)br \\ &= \sum_{bt} D_{a,bt}bts_1 = \psi(a)s_1\end{aligned}$$

Then for $z\in D_{\tau(a)}$ and $s_1\in L(\tau(a))$ we obtain

$$\psi(as_1z)=\sum_r \psi(ar)r^*(s_1z)=\psi(a)s_1z=\psi(as_1)z$$

This proves (i). □

In what follows, let ${}^*M=\operatorname{Hom}_S({}_SM,{}_SS)$ denote the left dual module of M.

Proposition 8.3. *Let M be an S-bimodule which is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule M_0 of M and $L'=L\setminus\{e_1,\dots,e_n\}$. Let ${}_0N=\{h\in{}^*M|h(M_0)\in Z, h(M_0t)=0, t\in L^{'}\}$, then ${}^*M$ is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule ${}_0N$.*

Proof. Note that ${}_0N$ is a Z-subbimodule of ${}^*M$. The elements ${}^*(as)$ generate ${}^*M$ as a right S-module, therefore every element of ${}^*M$ can be written as a sum of the form $\displaystyle\sum_{s\in L(\tau(a)),a\in T}({}^*(as))w_{s,a}=\sum_{sa}s^{-1}({}^*a)w_{s,a}$ where $w_{s,a}\in S$ and T is a Z-local basis of M_0. Therefore the morphism of S-bimodules given by multiplication:

$$\mu: S\otimes_Z({}_0N)\otimes_Z S\to{}^*M$$

is an epimorphism. Then for each pair of idempotents e_i,e_j we have an epimorphism

$$\mu: D_i\otimes_Z({}_0N)\otimes_Z D_j\to e_i({}^*M)e_j$$

Note that $D_i\otimes_Z({}_0N)\otimes_Z D_j\cong D_i\otimes_F e_i({}_0N)e_j\otimes_F D_j$ and $dim_Fe_i({}_0N)e_j=dim_Fe_jM_0e_i$. Therefore

$$dim_F(D_i\otimes_Z({}_0N)\otimes_Z D_j)=dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)dim_F(D_i)dim_F(D_j)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}e_i\operatorname{Hom}_S({}_SM,{}_SS)e_j &= \operatorname{Hom}_S(e_jMe_i,D_j)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D_j}(D_j\otimes_F e_jM_0e_i\otimes_F D_i,D_j)\\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_F(e_jM_0e_i\otimes_F D_i,D_j)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $dim_Fe_i({}^*M)e_j=dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)dim_F(D_i)dim_F(D_j)$, so the morphism $\mu: e_iS\otimes_Z({}_0N)\otimes_Z Se_j\to e_i({}^*M)e_j$ is in fact an isomorphism. This implies that $\mu: S\otimes_Z({}_0N)\otimes_Z S\to{}^*M$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules, completing the proof. □

Remark 9. A similar argument shows that the right dual module M^* is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule $N_0=\{h\in M^*|h(M_0)\in Z, h(tM_0)=0, t\in L^{'}\}$.

Let k be an integer in $[1,n]$. We will assume that the following conditions hold:

$$M_{cyc}=0 \text{ and for each } e_i,\ e_iMe_k\neq 0 \text{ implies } e_kMe_i=0 \text{ and } e_kMe_i\neq 0 \text{ implies } e_iMe_k=0.$$

Using the S-bimodule M, we define a new S-bimodule $\mu_kM=\widetilde{M}$ as:

$$\widetilde{M}:=\bar{e_k}M\bar{e_k}\oplus Me_kM\oplus(e_kM)^*\oplus{}^*(Me_k)$$

where $\bar{e_k}=1-e_k$. Define also $\widehat{M}:=M\oplus(e_kM)^*\oplus{}^*(Me_k)$. Then the inclusion map $M\hookrightarrow\widehat{M}$ induces an injection of algebras:

$$i_M:\mathcal{F}_S(M)\to\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$$

Similarly, the inclusion from $\mu_k M$ to $\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$ induces an injective map of algebras:

$$i_{\mu_k M} : \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$$

Proposition 8.4. *If $i \neq k$, $j \neq k$, then*

$$i_M(e_i \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_k \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_j) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$$

Proof. Let $z \in e_i \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_k \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_j$ then $z = \sum_{u=3}^{\infty} z(u)$ where $z(u) \in e_i \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_k \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_j$. Then $i_M(z) = \sum_{u=3}^{\infty} i_M(z(u))$. It suffices to show that $i_M(z(u)) \in \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. Note that the element $z(u) \in e_i M^{\otimes n(1)} e_k M^{\otimes n(2)} e_j$ for some positive integers $n(1)$ and $n(2)$. It suffices to show then that $L = e_i M^{\otimes n(1)} e_k M^{\otimes n(2)} e_j$ is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. We prove this by induction on $n = n(1) + n(2) \geq 2$. If $n = 2$ then $L = e_i M e_k M e_j$ is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. Suppose the claim holds for $n' < n$ and let us show it holds for n. The elements of L are sums of elements of $L' = e_i M e_{i_1} M e_{i_2} M \dots M e_{i_{l(1)}} M e_k M e_{j_1} M e_{j_2} M \dots e_{j_{l(2)-1}} M e_j$. Then we have the following possibilities: (1) If none of the i_s, nor the j_t are equal to k, then:

$$e_i M e_{i_1} M e_{i_2} M \dots M e_{i_{l(1)}} \subseteq (\bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k})^{l(1)}$$

and thus it is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$; similarly,

$$e_{j_1} M e_{j_2} M \dots e_{j_{l(2)-1}} M e_j \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$$

and therefore L' is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$.
(2) Suppose now that some $i_s = k$ and none of the j_s equals k. Then, as before:

$$e_{j_1} M e_{j_2} M \dots e_{j_{l(2)-1}} M e_j \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$$

and

$$e_i M e_{i_1} M e_{i_2} M \dots M e_{i_{l(1)}} \subseteq e_i M^{s(1)} e_k M^{s(2)} e_{i_{l(1)}}$$

where $s(1) + s(2) < n$. Then the induction hypothesis implies that L' is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$.
(3) Some $j_s = k$ and none of the $i'_u s$ equals k. Then proceed as in the previous case.
(4) Some $j_s = k$ and some $i_t = k$. By inductive hypothesis, $e_i M e_{i_1} M e_{i_2} M \dots M e_{i_{l(1)}}$ and $e_{j_1} M e_{j_2} M \dots e_{j_{l(2)-1}} M e_j$ are contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. Thus L' is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. Therefore each $z(u)$ lies in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$ and hence z does as well. ☐

Corollary 8.5. *If $i \neq k$, $j \neq k$, then $i_M(e_i \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_j) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$.*

Proof. Let $z = \sum_{u=1}^{\infty} z(u) \in e_i \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_j$ where $z(u) \in M^{\otimes u}$. Each $z(u)$ is a sum of elements belonging to S-submodules L of the form $e_i M e_{j_1} M e_{j_2} \dots e_{j_{u-1}} M e_j$. If all j_s are different from k, then $L \subseteq (\bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k})^{\otimes u}$ and therefore $i_M(L)$ is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. If some $e_{j_s} = k$ then $L \subseteq e_i \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_k \mathcal{F}_S(M) e_j$ and Proposition 8.4 yields that $i_M(L)$ is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. Therefore each $i_M(z(u)) \in \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$ and hence $i_M(z) \in \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$, as claimed. ☐

Lemma 8.6. *The S-bimodule $M e_k M$ is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule $M_0 e_k S e_k M_0$. If T is a Z-local basis for M_0 then $U_k = \{asb \mid a \in T \cap M e_k, s \in L(k), b \in T \cap e_k M\}$ is a Z-local basis for $M_0 e_k S e_k M_0$.*

Proof. Consider the isomorphism of S-bimodules given by multiplication

$$\mu_M : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to M$$

Multiplication in the tensor algebra induces an isomorphism of S-bimodules

$$\mu_M \otimes \mu_M : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \otimes_S S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to M \otimes_S M$$

This morphism induces an isomorphism

$$\nu : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z Se_k \otimes_S e_k S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to Me_kM$$

The latter isomorphism induces an isomorphism of Z-bimodules

$$\rho : (M_0 \otimes_Z Se_k) \otimes_S (e_k S \otimes_Z M_0) \to M_0 e_k S e_k M_0$$

The composition yields an isomorphism

$$\nu(1 \otimes \rho^{-1} \otimes 1) : S \otimes_Z (M_0 e_k S e_k M_0) \otimes_Z S \to Me_kM$$

which is given by multiplication. This proves the first part of the lemma. To prove the second part, note that there exists an isomorphism of Z-bimodules:

$$\sigma : M_0 e_k \otimes_F D_k \otimes_F e_k M_0 \to (M_0 \otimes_Z Se_k) \otimes_S (e_k S \otimes_Z M_0)$$

A Z-local basis of $M_0 e_k \otimes_F D_k \otimes_F e_k M_0$ is given by the set of all elements $a \otimes s \otimes b$ where $a \in T \cap M_0 e_k$, $s \in L(k)$, $b \in T \cap e_k M_0$; then the elements $\rho\sigma(a \otimes s \otimes b) = asb$ form a Z-local basis for $M_0 e_k S e_k M_0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. ☐

LEMMA 8.7. *$\mu_k M$ is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule*

$$\bar{e_k} M_0 \bar{e_k} \oplus M_0 e_k S e_k M_0 \oplus e_k({}_0N) \oplus N_0 e_k$$

Proof. The isomorphism $\mu_M : S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S \to M$ induces the following isomorphism: $\mu : \bar{e_k} S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S\bar{e_k} \to \bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k}$. On the other hand, we have an isomorphism $S \otimes_Z \bar{e_k} M_0 \bar{e_k} \otimes_Z S \to \bar{e_k} S \otimes_Z M_0 \otimes_Z S\bar{e_k}$. The composition yields an isomorphism given by multiplication:

$$S \otimes_Z \bar{e_k} M_0 \bar{e_k} \otimes_Z S \to \bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k}$$

By Proposition 8.3 there exists an isomorphism of S-bimodules given by multiplication

$$S \otimes_Z N_0 \otimes_Z S \to M^*$$

so we get an isomorphism of S-bimodules

$$S \otimes_Z N_0 \otimes_Z Se_k \to M^* e_k$$

We also have an isomorphism

$$S \otimes_Z N_0 e_k \otimes_Z S \to S \otimes_Z N_0 \otimes_Z Se_k$$

the composition of the last two isomorphisms gives an isomorphism of S-bimodules given by multiplication

$$S \otimes_Z N_0 e_k \otimes_Z S \to M^* e_k$$

Similarly, Proposition 8.3 implies the existence of an isomorphism of S-bimodules given by multiplication

$$S \otimes_Z e_k({}_0N) \otimes_Z S \to e_k({}^*M)$$

Finally, Lemma 8.6 yields an isomorphism of S-bimodules:

$$S \otimes_Z (\bar{e_k} M_0 \bar{e_k} \oplus M_0 e_k S e_k M_0 \oplus e_k({}_0N) \oplus N_0 e_k) \otimes_Z S \to \mu_k M$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete. □

Proposition 8.8. *There exists an isomorphism of S-bimodules*

$$\mu_k^2 M \cong M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^* e_k({}^*M)$$

and the S-bimodule on the right hand side is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule

$$M_0 \oplus M_0 e_k S e_k M_0 \oplus N_0 e_k S e_k ({}_0N)$$

Proof. We have the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k^2(M) &= \bar{e_k}(\mu_k M)\bar{e_k} \oplus (\mu_k M)e_k(\mu_k M) \oplus (\mu_k M)^* e_k \oplus e_k({}^*(\mu_k M)) \\ \bar{e_k}(\mu_k M) &= \bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k} \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^* e_k \\ \bar{e_k}(\mu_k M)\bar{e_k} &= \bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k} \oplus Me_kM \\ (\mu_k M)e_k &= (M^*)e_k = (e_kM)^* \\ e_k(\mu_k M) &= e_k({}^*M) =^* (Me_k) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} {}^*((\mu_k M)e_k) &=^* ((e_kM)^*) \cong e_kM \\ (e_k(\mu_k M))^* &= ({}^*(Me_k))^* \cong Me_k \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_k^2(M) &\cong \bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k} \oplus e_kM \oplus Me_k \oplus Me_kM \oplus (M^*)e_k^*(M) \\ &= M \oplus Me_kM \oplus (M^*)e_k({}^*M) \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Consider the inclusions:

$$\begin{aligned} i_M &: \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M}) \\ i_{\mu_k M} &: \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M}) \end{aligned}$$

Let u be an element in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $i_M(u)$ lies in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. We will denote by $[u]$ the unique element of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ such that $i_{\mu_k M}([u]) = i_M(u)$.

Lemma 8.9. *Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $e_k P e_k = 0$, then there is a unique $[P] \in \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ such that $i_{\mu_k M}([P]) = i_M(P)$.*

Proof. Let $P = \displaystyle\sum_{u=2}^{\infty} P(u)$ where $P(u) \in M^{\otimes u}$. If P is quadratic then we are done since P has no 2-cycles passing through k and hence we may take $[P] = P$. Observe that $P(u)$ is a sum of elements of $L = e_1 M e_2 \ldots e_{s-1} M e_s$. If some $e_i = e_k$, then $1 < i < s$ and thus $L \subseteq e_1 M^{n(1)} e_k M^{n(2)} e_s$. Then $s \neq k$ and Proposition 8.4 implies that L is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. If none of the e_{i_r} equals k, then $L \subseteq (\bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k})^u$. Therefore $P(u)$, and hence P, lies in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$. □

Lemma 8.10. *For $r, w \in L(i)$, $z \in D(i)$ we have*

(i) *$r^*(rw) \neq 0$ implies $w = e_i$.*
(ii) *$r^*(rz) \neq 0$ implies $e_i^*(z) \neq 0$.*
(iii) *$r^*(wr) \neq 0$ implies $w = e_i$.*
(iv) *$r^*(zr) \neq 0$ implies $e_i^*(z) \neq 0$.*

Proof. (i) We have $rw = r^*(rw)r + \displaystyle\sum_{u \neq r} \lambda_u u$. Therefore

$$w = r^*(rw)e_i + \sum_{u \neq r} \lambda_u r^{-1} u$$

thus

$$e_i^*(w) = r^*(rw) + \sum_{u \neq r} \lambda_u e_i^*(r^{-1}u) = r^*(rw)$$

hence if $r^*(rw) \neq 0$ then $w = e_i$.
(ii) We have $z = e_i^*(z) + \sum_{w \neq e_i} \lambda_w w$. Then $rz = re_i^*(z) + \sum_{w \neq e_i} \lambda_w rw$. This implies the following equality

$$r^*(rz) = e_i^*(z) + \sum_{w \neq e_i} \lambda_w r^*(rw) = e_i^*(z)$$

which shows (ii). One can proceed to show (iii) as in the proof of (i) and (iv) follows from (iii). □

Definition 37. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $e_kPe_k = 0$. We define:

$$\mu_k(P) := [P] + \sum_{sa \in {}_k\hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} [btsa]((sa)^*)({}^*(bt))$$

Proposition 8.11. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be a unitriangular automorphism, then there exists a unitriangular automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$ and an automorphism $\hat{\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ such that:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi i_M &= i_M \varphi \\
\phi i_{\mu_k M} &= i_{\mu_k M} \hat{\varphi} \\
\phi\left(\sum_{sa \in {}_k\hat{T}} (sa)(sa)^*\right) &= \sum_{sa \in {}_k\hat{T}} (sa)(sa)^* \\
\phi\left(\sum_{bt \in \tilde{T}_k} ({}^*(bt))(bt)\right) &= \sum_{bt \in \tilde{T}_k} ({}^*(bt))(bt)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the S-bimodules e_kM and Me_k. The S-bimodule e_kM is Z-freely generated by ${}_kT = T \cap e_kM$ and Me_k is Z-freely generated by $T_k = T \cap Me_k$. We know that ${}_k\hat{T} = \{sa | a \in_k T, s \in L(k)\}$ is a local basis for $(e_kM)_S$. The automorphism φ induces a morphism of S-bimodules:

$$\varphi : e_kM \to e_k\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1} = e_kM\mathcal{F}_S(M)$$

For each element $sa \in_k \hat{T}$ we have

$$\varphi(sa) = \sum_{ra_1 \in_k \hat{T}} ra_1 C_{ra_1,sa}$$

where $C_{ra_1,sa} \in e_{\tau(a_1)}\mathcal{F}_S(M)e_{\tau(a)}$ and $C = [C_{ra_1,sa}]$ is a matrix of size $m_k \times m_k$ where $m_k = card({}_k\hat{T})$. The matrix C lies in $\mathcal{U}$, the F-subspace closed under multiplication of $M_{m_k,m_k}(\mathcal{F}_S(M))$ whose elements are the matrices $U = [u_{ra_1,sa}]$ such that $u_{ra_1,sa} \in e_{\tau(a_1)}\mathcal{F}_S(M)e_{\tau(a)}$. Observe that $\mathcal{U}$ is a F-algebra with unit $I_{\mathcal{U}} = [\delta_{ra_1,sa}e_{\tau(a_1)}]$. Since φ is unitriangular then, for each sa we have $\varphi(sa) = sa + \lambda(sa)$ with $\lambda(sa) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 2}$. Therefore $C = I_{\mathcal{U}} + R$ where $R \in \mathcal{U}$ is a matrix with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$. It follows that the matrix $D = I_{\mathcal{U}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^i R^i$ is the inverse of C in $\mathcal{U}$. Now consider the S-bimodule $(e_kM)^*$. We know that the collection of all elements of the form a^*s^{-1}, $a \in_k T, s \in L(k)$ is a S-local basis for ${}_S(e_kM)^* =_S (M^*e_k)$. We have

$D = [D_{sa,ta_1}]$ with $D_{sa,ta_1} \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Define the matrix $\bar{D} = [D_{a^*s^{-1},a_1^*t^{-1}}]$ with $D_{a^*s^{-1},a_1^*t^{-1}} = D_{sa,ta_1}$. Consider the morphism of S-left modules $\psi : M^*e_k \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})M^*e_k$ given by

$$\psi(a^*s^{-1}) = \sum_{a_1^*t^{-1}} D_{a^*s^{-1},a_1^*t^{-1}} a_1^*t^{-1}$$

To show that ψ is a morphism of S-bimodules it suffices to show (using Proposition 8.2) that for each $a, a_1 \in_k T, s, w \in L(k)$ the following equality holds

$$D_{a^*s^{-1},a_1^*w^{-1}} = \sum_{r \in L(k)} D_{a^*,a_1^*r^{-1}}(w^{-1})^*(r^{-1}s^{-1})$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$D_{sa,wa_1} = \sum_r D_{a,ra_1}(w^{-1})^*(r^{-1}s^{-1})$$

In order to show this, consider the matrix $\hat{D} = [\hat{D}_{sa,wa_1}]$ in $\mathcal{U}$, where

$$\hat{D}_{sa,wa_1} = \sum_r D_{a,ra_1}(w^{-1})^*(r^{-1}s^{-1})$$

Taking $s = e_{\sigma(a)}$ yields $\hat{D}_{a,wa_1} = \sum\limits_r D_{a,ra_1}(w^{-1})^*(r^{-1}) = D_{a,wa_1}$. We will show that $\hat{D}$ is the inverse of C in $\mathcal{U}$. We first show the following equality holds for each $r, t \in L(k)$: $C_{ra_1,s_2a_2} = \sum\limits_w w^*(tr^{-1}s_2)C_{ta_1,wa_2}$.

By (ii) of Proposition 8.1 it follows that for each $s_2, t \in L(k)$ and $s_1 \in D_k$: $\sum\limits_{t_1 \in L(k)} t^*(s_1t_1)C_{t_1a_1,s_2a_2} = \sum\limits_{w \in L(k)} w^*(s_1s_2)C_{ta_1,wa_2}$ Taking $s_1 = tr^{-1}$ in the above equality yields

$$\sum_{t_1 \in L(k)} t^*(tr^{-1}t_1)C_{t_1a_1,s_2a_2} = \sum_{w \in L(k)} w^*(tr^{-1}s_2)C_{ta_1,wa_2}$$

If $t^*(tr^{-1}t_1) \neq 0$ then Lemma 8.10 implies that $e_k^*(r^{-1}t_1) \neq 0$ and thus $t_1 = r$. This implies the desired equality. We have the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{ra_1} \hat{D}_{sa,ra_1}C_{ra_1,s_2a_2} &= \sum_{ra_1}\sum_t D_{a,ta_1}(r^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s^{-1})C_{ra_1,s_2a_2} \\
&= \sum_{ra_1}\sum_t\sum_w D_{a,ta_1}(r^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s^{-1})w^*(tr^{-1}s_2)C_{ta_1,wa_2} \\
&= \sum_{a_1}\sum_t\sum_w\sum_r D_{a,ta_1}(r^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s^{-1})w^*(tr^{-1}s_2)C_{ta_1,wa_2} \\
&= \sum_{a_1}\sum_t\sum_w\sum_r D_{a,ta_1}w^*\left(t(r^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s^{-1})r^{-1}s_2\right)C_{ta_1,wa_2} \\
&= \sum_{a_1}\sum_t\sum_w D_{a,ta_1}w^*\left(t\left(\sum_r (r^{-1})^*(t^{-1}s^{-1})r^{-1}\right)s_2\right)C_{ta_1,wa_2} \\
&= \sum_{a_1}\sum_t\sum_w D_{a,ta_1}C_{ta_1,wa_2}w^*(s^{-1}s_2) \\
&= \delta_{a,wa_2}w^*(s^{-1}s_2) \\
&= e_k^*(s^{-1}s_2)\delta_{a,a_2} \\
&= \delta_{sa,s_2a_2}
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\hat{D} = C^{-1}$ in $\mathcal{U}$. Therefore $\hat{D} = D$ and hence ψ is a morphism of S-bimodules. Now consider Me_k. We have that $\tilde{T}_k = \{bs | b \in T_k, s \in L(k)\}$ is a local basis for ${}_S(Me_k)$. Then φ induces a morphism of S-bimodules $\varphi : Me_k \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)Me_k$. Thus for each $bs \in \tilde{T}_k$

$$\varphi(bs)=\sum_{b_1r}D_{bs,b_1r}b_1r$$

with $D_{bs,b_1r}\in e_{\sigma(b)}\mathcal{F}_S(M)e_{\sigma(b_1)}$. The matrix $D=[D_{bs,b_1r}]$ is a matrix of size $n_k\times n_k$ where $n_k=card(\tilde{T}_k)$. The matrix D lies in $\mathcal{V}$, the F-subspace of $M_{n_k,n_k}(\mathcal{F}_S(M))$ whose elements are the matrices $V=[v_{bs,b_1r}]$ with $v_{bs,b_1r}\in e_{\sigma(b)}\mathcal{F}_S(M)e_{\sigma(b_1)}$. The F-subspace $\mathcal{V}$ is an F-algebra with unit $I_{\mathcal{V}}=[\delta_{bs,b_1r}e_{\sigma(b)}]$. Since φ is unitriangular, $D=I_{\mathcal{V}}+R$ where $R\in\mathcal{V}$ has coefficients in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}$. Then the series $I+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(-1)^iR^i$ equals $C=D^{-1}$, the inverse of D in $\mathcal{V}$. Let $C=[C_{bs,b_1r}]$ and consider the S-bimodule ${}^*(Me_k)=e_k^*M$. A local basis for $(e_k^*M)_S$ is given by the collection of all elements ${}^*(bs)=s^{-1}({}^*b)$ where $b\in T_k$, $s\in L(k)$. Consider the morphism of S-right modules $\rho:e_k({}^*M)\to e_k({}^*M)\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$ given by

$$\rho(s^{-1}({}^*b))=\sum_{r^{-1}({}^*b_1)}r^{-1}({}^*b_1)C_{r^{-1}({}^*b_1),s^{-1}({}^*b)}$$

where $C_{r^{-1}({}^*b_1),s^{-1}({}^*b)}=C_{b_1r,bs}$. To show that ρ is a morphism of S-bimodules it suffices to show that the elements $C_{r^{-1}({}^*b_1),s^{-1}({}^*b)}$ satisfy (iii) of Proposition 8.1, that is:

$$C_{b_1r,bs_1}=\sum_{t\in L(k)}(r^{-1})^*(s_1^{-1}t^{-1})C_{b_1t,b}$$

for every $b,b_1\in T_k$, $r,s_1\in L(k)$. In order to show this, consider the matrix $\hat{C}=[\hat{C}_{b_1r,bs}]\in\mathcal{V}$ where

$$\hat{C}_{b_1r,bs}=\sum_{t\in L(k)}(r^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})C_{b_1t,b}$$

Taking $s=e_k$ yields $\hat{C}_{b_1r,b}=C_{b_1r,b}$. We will show that $\hat{C}=D^{-1}$. We first show the following relation holds for each $b,b_1\in T_k$, $s,r,t\in L(k)$:

$$D_{bs,b_1r}=\sum_{w\in L(k)}D_{bw,b_1t}w^*(sr^{-1}t)$$

By (ii) of Proposition 8.2 it follows that for each $s_1\in D_k$: $\sum_{w\in L(k)}D_{bw,b_1t}w^*(ss_1)=\sum_{t_1\in L(k)}D_{bs,b_1t_1}t^*(t_1s_1)$. Taking $s_1=r^{-1}t$ yields $\sum_{w\in L(k)}D_{bw,b_1t}w^*(sr^{-1}t)=\sum_{t_1\in L(k)}D_{bs,b_1t_1}t^*(t_1r^{-1}t)$.
By (iv) of Lemma 8.10 it follows that $t^*(t_1r^{-1}t)\neq 0$ implies $e_k^*(t_1r^{-1})\neq 0$ and thus $t_1=r$. Therefore: $\sum_{w\in L(k)}D_{bw,b_1t}w^*(sr^{-1}t)=D_{bs,b_1r}$ and the desired equality follows. We have the following set of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{b_1,r}D_{bs,b_1r}\hat{C}_{b_1r,b_2s_1}&=\sum_{t,b_1,r}D_{bs,b_1r}(r^{-1})^*(s_1^{-1}t^{-1})C_{b_1t,b_2}\\&=\sum_{t,r,b_1,w}D_{bw,b_1t}w^*(sr^{-1}t)(r^{-1})^*(s_1^{-1}t^{-1})C_{b_1t,b_2}\\&=\sum_{t,r,b_1,w}D_{bw,b_1t}C_{b_1t,b_2}w^*(s(r^{-1})^*(s_1^{-1}t^{-1})r^{-1}t)\\&=\sum_{t,b_1,w}D_{bw,b_1t}C_{b_1t,b_2}w^*(s(s_1^{-1}t^{-1})t)\\&=\delta_{b,b_2}\delta_{s,s_1}\\&=\delta_{bs,b_2s_1}\end{aligned}$$

This shows that ρ is a morphism of S-bimodules. Then we have a morphism of S-bimodules

$$\phi_0=(\varphi,\psi,\rho):M\oplus(M^*)e_k\oplus e_k({}^*M)\to\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$$

This map has the property that for each $z \in \widehat{M}$, $\phi_0(z) = z + \lambda(z)$, with $\lambda(z) \in \mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})^{\geq 2}$, since φ, ψ, ρ possess this property. Therefore ϕ_0 can be extended to a unitriangular automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$. Then:

$$\phi(\mu_k M) = \phi(\bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k}) \oplus \phi(M e_k M) \oplus \phi(e_k^* M) \oplus \phi(M^* e_k)$$

Note that $\phi(\bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k}) = i_M(\bar{e_k} \varphi(M) \bar{e_k})$. By corollary 8.5 we have $\phi(\bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k}) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. We have $\phi(M e_k M) = \phi(i_M(M e_k M)) = i_M(\varphi(M e_k M)) = i_M(\varphi(\bar{e_k} M e_k M \bar{e_k})) = i_M(\bar{e_k} \varphi(M) e_k \varphi(M) \bar{e_k}) \subseteq i_M(\bar{e_k} \mathcal{F}_S(M) \bar{e_k})$. Applying proposition 8.4 implies the latter set is contained in the image of $i_{\mu_k M}$ and thus $\phi(M e_k M) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. Also $\phi(e_k(^*M)) = \phi(e_k(^*M)\bar{e_k}) \subseteq e_k(^*M)\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$. Remark $e_k^* M$ and $\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$ are both contained in $\mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. Therefore $\phi(e_k(^*M)) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. Similarly, it can be shown that $\phi((M^*)e_k) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. It follows that $\phi(\mu_k M) \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. Consequently, ϕ induces a morphism of S-bimodules:

$$\hat{\varphi}_0 : \mu_k M \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$$

such that $\phi i_{\mu_k M} = i_{\mu_k M} \hat{\varphi}_0$. Then $\hat{\varphi}_0$ can be extended to an algebra automorphism $\hat{\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ such that $\phi i_{\mu_k M} = i_{\mu_k M} \hat{\varphi}$. We have the following equalities

$$\phi\left(\sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}} (sa)(sa)^*\right) = \sum_{ra_1, sa, ta_2} ra_1 C_{ra_1, sa} D_{sa, ta_2} (ta_2)^* = \sum_{ra_1} (ra_1)(ra_1)^* = \sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}} (sa)(sa)^*$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$\phi\left(\sum_{bt \in \hat{T}_k} (^*(bt))(bt)\right) = \sum_{bt, b_1 r, b_2 s} (^*(b_1 r)) C_{b_1 r, bt} D_{bt, b_2 s} (b_2 s) = \sum_{bt \in \hat{T}_k} (^*(bt))(bt)$$

□

THEOREM 8.12. *Let φ be a unitriangular automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ with $e_k P e_k = 0$, then there exists a unitriangular automorphism $\hat{\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ such that $\hat{\varphi}(\mu_k P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(\varphi(P))$.*

Proof. Take the automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})$ of the previous proposition. Note that ϕ induces an automorphism $\hat{\varphi}$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$. We have $\mu_k(P) = [P] + \Delta_k$ where

$$\Delta_k = \sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} [btsa]((sa)^*)(^*(bt))$$

The element Δ_k is cyclically equivalent to

$$\Delta_k' = \sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} (^*(bt))[btsa](sa)^*$$

Since $\mu_k P$ is cyclically equivalent to $[P]+\Delta'_k$, then $\hat{\varphi}(\mu_k P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\hat{\varphi}([P])+\hat{\varphi}(\Delta'_k)$. Applying the map $i_{\mu_k M}$ to the last expression yields

$$\begin{aligned} i_{\mu_k M}\left(\hat{\varphi}([P])+\hat{\varphi}(\Delta'_k)\right) = \phi i_{\mu_k M}([P]) + \phi i_{\mu_k M}(\Delta'_k) &= \phi i_M(P) + \phi i_{\mu_k M}\left(\sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, bt\in \tilde{T}_k} ({}^*(bt))[btsa](sa)^*\right) \\ &= i_M(\varphi(P)) + \phi\left(\sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, bt\in \tilde{T}_k} ({}^*(bt)) i_{\mu_k M}[btsa](sa)^*\right) \\ &= i_{\mu_k M}[\varphi(P)] + \phi\left(\sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, bt\in \tilde{T}_k} ({}^*(bt)) i_M(btsa)(sa)^*\right) \\ &= i_{\mu_k M}[\varphi(P)] + \sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, bt\in \tilde{T}_k} ({}^*(bt))(bt)(sa)(sa)^* \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$i_{\mu_k M}(\hat{\varphi}([P])+\hat{\varphi}(\Delta'_k)) = i_{\mu_k M}\left([\varphi(P)] + \sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, bt\in \tilde{T}_k} ({}^*(bt))[btsa](sa)^*\right)$$

It follows that

$$\hat{\varphi}([P]+\Delta'_k) = [\varphi(P)] + \Delta'_k = \mu_k(\varphi(P))$$

Hence $\hat{\varphi}(\mu_k P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(\varphi(P))$. ☐

LEMMA 8.13. *Let X be a local basis for $(e_k M)_S$ and Y be a local basis for ${}_S(Me_k)$. Then* $\sum_{y\in Y, x\in X}[yx](x^*)({}^*y)$ *is cyclically equivalent to* $\sum_{bt\in\tilde{T}_k, sa\in {}_k\hat{T}}[btsa]((sa)^*)({}^*(bt))$.

Proof. There exists an automorphism $\psi : M \to M$ of S-bimodules such that $\psi(X) =_k \hat{T}$ and $\psi(Y) = \hat{T}_k$. Then

$$\psi(tb) = \sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, \tau(a)=\tau(b)} (sa)\beta_{sa,tb}$$

and

$$(\psi(tb))^* = \sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, \tau(a)=\tau(b)} \gamma_{tb,sa}(sa)^*$$

where $\beta_{sa,tb}, \gamma_{tb,sa} \in D_{\tau(a)}$. Then

$$\delta_{tb,t'b'} e_{\tau(b)} = \sum_{sa\in {}_k\hat{T}, \tau(a)=\tau(b)} e_{\tau(b)}\gamma_{tb,sa}\beta_{sa,t'b'}$$

For each e_i consider the matrix $B_i = [\beta_{sa,x}]_{\tau(a)=\tau(x)=e_i}$ and the matrix $G_i = [\gamma_{sa,x}]_{\tau(a)=\tau(x)=e_i}$. Using the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 8.11, the matrices B and G lie in $\mathcal{U}$. Then the matrix B is the inverse of G in $\mathcal{U}$. In an analogous manner

$$\psi(as) = \sum_{bt\in\tilde{T}_k} \sigma_{as,bt}(bt)$$

and

$$^*(\psi(as)) = \sum_{bt\in\tilde{T}_k} (^*(bt))\rho_{bt,as}$$

where the matrix $[\sigma_{as,bt}] \in \mathcal{V}$ is the inverse of the matrix $[\rho_{bt,as}] \in \mathcal{V}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{y\in Y,x\in X}[yx](x^*)(^*y) &= \sum_{v,bt,b't'\in\tilde{T}_k,u,sa,s't'\in_k\hat{T}} \sigma_{v,bt}[btsa]\beta_{sa,u}\gamma_{u,s'b'}((s'a')^*)(^*(b't'))\rho_{b't',v}\\ &= \sum_{v,bt,b't'\in\tilde{T}_k,u,sa,s't'\in_k\hat{T}} \sigma_{v,bt}[btsa]\beta_{sa,u}\gamma_{u,s'b'}((s'a')^*)(^*(b't'))\rho_{b't',v}\end{aligned}$$

and the latter potential is cyclically equivalent to the potential

$$\sum_{v,bt,b't'\in\tilde{T}_k,sa,s't'\in_k\hat{T}} \rho_{b't',v}\sigma_{v,bt}[btsa]((s'a')^*)(^*(b't')) = \sum_{bt\in\tilde{T}_k,sa\in_k\hat{T}}[btsa]((sa)^*)(^*(bt))$$

and the proof of the lemma is complete.

□

THEOREM 8.14. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_S(M_1) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be an algebra isomorphism with $\varphi_{|S} = id_S$ and let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_1)$ with $e_kPe_k = 0$, then there exists an algebra isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_kM_1) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_kM)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(\mu_kP)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(\varphi(P))$.*

Proof. Consider the isomorphism of S-bimodules $\varphi^{(1)} : M_1 \to M$. Let $j_{M_1} : M_1 \to \mathcal{F}_S(M_1)$ and $j_M : M \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be the inclusion maps. Then $j_M\varphi^{(1)} : M_1 \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is a morphism of S-bimodules. By Proposition 2.3, there exists a unique algebra isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{F}_S(M_1) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd} M_1 \arrow[r, "j_M\varphi^{(1)}"] \arrow[d, "j_{M_1}"] & \mathcal{F}_S(M) \arrow[d, equal] \\ \mathcal{F}_S(M_1) \arrow[r, dotted, "\psi"] & \mathcal{F}_S(M) \end{tikzcd}$$

Note that $\varphi\psi^{-1}$ is a unitriangular automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and clearly $\varphi = (\varphi\psi^{-1})\psi$. This shows that φ equals to the composition of an algebra isomorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M_1) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$, induced by an isomorphism of S-bimodules $M_1 \to M$, with a unitriangular automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$.

By Theorem 8.12, it suffices to establish the result when φ is induced by an isomorphism of S-bimodules $\phi : M_1 \to M$. Suppose then that φ is induced by an isomorphism of S-bimodules $\phi : M_1 \to M$. Let T_1 be a Z-free generating set of M_1 and T a Z-free generating set of M. Then ϕ induces isomorphisms of S-bimodules

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^1 &: \bar{e_k}M_1\bar{e_k} \to \bar{e_k}M\bar{e_k}\\ \phi^2 &: M_1e_kM_1 \to Me_kM\end{aligned}$$

and the map $\phi^{-1} : M \to M_1$ induces an isomorphism of $S - D_k$-bimodules

$$(\phi^{-1})^* : (e_kM_1)^* \to (e_kM)^*$$

and an isomorphism of $D_k - S$-bimodules

$$^*(\phi^{-1}) : \quad ^*(M_1e_k) \to \quad ^*(Me_k)$$

These isomorphisms induce isomorphism of S-bimodules: $\mu_k M \to \mu_k M_1$, $\widehat{M_1} \to \widehat{M}$ and these maps also induce algebra isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi} &: \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M_1) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)\\ \hat{\phi} &: \mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M_1}) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M})\end{aligned}$$

such that $\hat{\phi} i_{\mu_k M} = i_{\mu_k M}\tilde{\phi}$ and $\hat{\phi} i_M = i_M \phi$. Then

$$i_{\mu_k M}(\tilde{\phi}[P]) = \hat{\phi} i_{\mu_k M}([P]) = \hat{\phi} i_M(P) = i_M(\phi(P)) = i_{\mu_k M}([\phi(P)])$$

therefore $\tilde{\phi}([P]) = [\phi(P)]$. Therefore

$$\mu_k P = [P] + \sum_{b't \in (\tilde{T}_1)_k, sa' \in_k \hat{T}_1} [b'tsa']((sa')^*)({}^*(b't))$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}i_{\mu_k M}\tilde{\phi}([b'tsa']) &= \hat{\phi} i_{\mu_k M}([b'tsa'])\\ &= \hat{\phi} i_M(b'tsa')\\ &= \hat{\phi} i_M(b't)\hat{\phi} i_M(sa')\\ &= i_M(\phi(b't)) i_M(\phi(sa'))\\ &= i_M(\phi(b't)\phi(sa'))\\ &= i_{\mu_k M}([\phi(b't)\phi(sa')])\end{aligned}$$

and thus $\tilde{\phi}([b'tsa']) = [\phi(b't)\phi(sa')]$.

On the other hand, for each $sa', s_1 a_1' \in_k \hat{T}_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\phi}((sa')^*)(\phi(s_1 a_1')) &= (\phi^{-1})^*((sa')^*)(\phi(s_1 a_1'))\\ &= ((sa')^* \circ \phi^{-1})(\phi(s_1 a_1'))\\ &= (sa')^*(\phi^{-1}(\phi(s_1 a_1')))\\ &= (sa')^*(s_1 a_1')\\ &= \delta_{sa', s_1 a_1'} e_{\tau(a)}\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\tilde{\phi}((sa')^*) = (\phi(sa'))^*$. In a similar way, $\tilde{\phi}({}^*(b't)) = {}^*(\phi(b't))$. Therefore

$$\tilde{\phi}(\mu_k P) = [\phi(P)] + \sum_{b't \in (\tilde{T}_1)_k, sa' \in_k \hat{T}_1} [\phi(b't)\phi(sa')]((\phi(sa')^*)({}^*(\phi(b't)))$$

It follows from Lemma 8.13 that the latter potential is cyclically equivalent to

$$[\phi(P)] + \sum_{bt \in \tilde{T}_k, sa \in_k \hat{T}} [btsa]((sa)^*)({}^*(bt)) = \mu_k(\phi(P))$$

This completes the proof.

□

If M satisfies the condition that if $e_i M e_k \neq 0$ implies $e_k M e_i = 0$ and $e_k M e_i \neq 0$ implies $e_i M e_k = 0$ then $\mu_k(P) = \widetilde{P}$ is defined provided P is a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $e_k P e_k = 0$. We now define $\mu_k(P)$ for any potential P.

Let $m \geq 1$ then $A(T)_m$ denotes the set of all non-zero elements x in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $x = t_1(x)a_1(x)t_2(x)\ldots t_m(x)a_m(x)t_{m+1}(x)$ where $a_i(x) \in T$,$t_i(x) \in L(\sigma(a_i(x)))$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $t_{m+1}(x) \in L(\tau(a_m(x)))$. For $m \geq 2$ define $B(T)_m = A(T)_m \cap \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$. Clearly $B(T)_m$ is an F-basis of $(M^{\otimes m})_{cyc}$. Let $A(T) = \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} A(T)_m$ and $B(T) = \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} B(T)_m$.

Given a potential P in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, then P can be uniquely written as:

$$P=\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\sum_{x\in B(T)_m} f_x(P)x$$

where $f_x(P)\in F$.

Let $\kappa : B(T)_m \to M^{\otimes m}$ be the map defined as follows: if $x=t_1(x)a_1(x)\dots t_m(x)a_m(x)t_{m+1}(x)\in B(T)_m$ and $a_1(x)\notin T\cap e_kM$ then $\kappa(x)=x$; otherwise $\kappa(x)=t_2(x)a_2(x)\dots t_m(x)a_m(x)t_{m+1}(x)t_1(x)a_1(x)$ if $a_1(x)\in T\cap e_kM$. We now extend $\kappa:\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}\to\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$ as follows, for every potential $P=\sum\limits_{m=2}^{\infty}\sum\limits_{x\in B(T)_m} f_x(P)x$ let $\kappa(P)=\sum\limits_{m=2}^{\infty}\sum\limits_{x\in B(T)_m} f_x(P)\kappa(x)$, this gives a continuous F-linear map. Clearly $e_k\kappa(P)e_k=0$.

Assertion 3. Let $x,y\in A(T)$ be such that xy is a cycle, then $\kappa(xy-yx)=\alpha\beta-\beta\alpha$ where $\alpha,\beta\in\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$.

Proof. If x,y are not in $T\cap e_kM$ then $\kappa(xy)=xy$ and $\kappa(yx)=yx$ and the result follows immediately. Suppose now that $a_1(x),a_1(y)\in_k T=T\cap e_kM$. Then

$$xy=\sum_{u\in L(\sigma(a_1))} c_ut_1(x)a_1(x)\dots t_n(x)a_n(x)ua_1(y)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)$$

where $t_{n+1}(x)t_1(y)=\sum\limits_{u\in L(\sigma(a_1))} c_uu$, $c_u\in F$. Similarly

$$yx=\sum_{v\in L(\sigma(a_1))} d_vt_1(y)a_1(y)\dots t_m(y)va_1(x)\dots a_n(x)t_{n+1}(x)$$

where $t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)=\sum\limits_{v\in L(\sigma(a_1))} d_vv$, $c_v\in F$. We have $\kappa(xy)=\sum\limits_{u\in L(\sigma(a_1))} c_ut_2(x)a_2(x)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)a_1(x)$, thus

$$\kappa(xy)=t_2(x)a_2(x)\dots a_n(x)t_{n+1}(x)t_1(y)a_1(y)t_2(y)a_2(y)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)a_1(x)$$

Similarly

$$\kappa(yx)=t_2(y)a_2(y)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)a_1(x)t_2(x)a_2(x)\dots a_n(x)t_{n+1}(x)t_1(y)a_1(y)$$

Therefore $\kappa(xy-yx)=\alpha\beta-\beta\alpha$ where $\alpha=t_2(x)a_2(x)\dots a_n(x)t_{n+1}(x)t_1(y)a_1(y)$ and $\beta=t_2(y)a_2(y)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)a_1(x)$, clearly $\alpha,\beta\in\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$.
Finally suppose, without loss of generality, that $a_1(x)\in_k T$ but $a_1(y)\notin_k T$. Then, as before:

$$\kappa(xy)=t_2(x)a_2(x)\dots a_n(x)t_{n+1}(x)t_1(y)a_1(y)t_2(y)a_2(y)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)a_1(x)$$
$$\kappa(yx)=t_1(y)a_1(y)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)a_1(x)t_2(x)a_2(x)\dots t_n(x)a_n(x)t_{n+1}(x)$$

hence $\kappa(xy-yx)=\alpha\beta-\beta\alpha$, where $\alpha=t_2(x)a_2(x)\dots a_n(x)t_{n+1}(x)$ and $\beta=t_1(y)a_1(y)\dots a_m(y)t_{m+1}(y)t_1(x)a_1(x)$ and $\alpha,\beta\in\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$. This establishes the assertion. □

Definition 38. If P is a potential we say that P is 2-maximal if $P^{(2)}$ is maximal.

Remark 10. If P and Q are right-equivalent, then P is 2-maximal if and only if Q is 2-maximal.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the set of all pairs (i,j) such that $e_iMe_j\neq 0$, $e_jMe_i\neq 0$ and $\dim_F e_iMe_j\leq\dim_F e_jMe_i$. First note that P is 2-maximal if and only if for every $(i,j)\in\mathcal{K}$ we have $\dim_F e_j\Xi_2(P)e_i=\dim_F e_iMe_j$. Let ϕ be an algebra automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to Q. Then using Proposition 7.8 one gets that $\Xi_2(Q)=\Xi_2(\phi(P))=\phi^{(1)}(\Xi_2(P))$. Therefore $\dim_F e_j\Xi_2(Q)e_i=\dim_F\phi^{(1)}(e_j\Xi_2(P)e_i)=\dim_F e_j\Xi_2(P)e_i=\dim_F e_iMe_j$, as claimed. □

Definition 39. For any potential P in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ we define $\mu_k P = \mu_k(\kappa(P))$.

Proposition 8.15. *If P, Q are cyclically equivalent potentials in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ then $\mu_k P$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k Q$.*

Proof. We have that $P - Q = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} u_n$ where each u_n is a finite sum of elements of the form $AB - BA$ with $A, B \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Suppose that $A = \sum\limits_{x\in B(T)} f(x)x$, $B = \sum\limits_{x\in B(T)} g(x)x$, then $AB - BA = \sum\limits_{x,y\in B(T)} f(x)g(y)(xy - yx)$. Note also that each $\kappa(xy - yx) = \alpha_{xy}\beta_{xy} - \beta_{xy}\alpha_{xy}$ where $\alpha_{xy}, \beta_{xy} \in \bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$. Then $\kappa(P - Q) = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \kappa(u_n)$. Also

$$i_{\mu_k M}([\kappa(P - Q)]) = \lim_{n\to\infty} i_M(\kappa(u_n)) = \lim_{n\to\infty} i_{\mu_k M}([\kappa(u_n)]) = i_{\mu_k M}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}[\kappa(u_n)]\right)$$

Thus $[\kappa(P - Q)] = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty}[\kappa(u_n)]$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} i_M(\kappa(AB - BA)) &= \sum_{x,y\in B(T)} f(x)g(y)i_M(\alpha_{xy}\beta_{xy} - \beta_{xy}\alpha_{xy}) \\ &= \sum_{x,y\in B(T)} f(x)g(y)(i_M(\alpha_{xy})i_M(\beta_{xy}) - i_M(\beta_{xy})i_M(\alpha_{xy})) \\ &= i_{\mu_k M}\left(\sum_{x,y\in B(T)} f(x)g(y)([\alpha_{xy}][\beta_{xy}] - [\beta_{xy}][\alpha_{xy}])\right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[\kappa(AB - BA)] = \sum\limits_{x,y\in B(T)} f(x)g(y)([\alpha_{xy}][\beta_{xy}] - [\beta_{xy}][\alpha_{xy}])$. It follows that $[\kappa(AB - BA)] \in [\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M), \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)]$ and thus $[\kappa(P - Q)] \in [\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M), \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)]$. We conclude that $[\kappa(P)]$ is cyclically equivalent to $[\kappa(Q)]$. Therefore $\mu_k(\kappa(P))$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(\kappa(Q))$, as desired. ☐

Proposition 8.16. *Let $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{F}_S(M_1)_{cyc}$. Suppose that P is right-equivalent to Q, then $\mu_k P$ is right-equivalent to $\mu_k Q$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M_1)$ be an algebra isomorphism with $\phi_{|S} = id_S$ and such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to Q. By Proposition 8.15, $\mu_k(\phi(P))$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(Q)$. By Theorem 8.14, there exists an algebra isomorphism $\hat{\phi} : \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M_1)$ such that $\hat{\phi}(\mu_k P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(\phi(P))$. The result follows. ☐

Theorem 8.17. *The potential $\mu_k^2(P)$ is right-equivalent to $P \oplus W$ where W is a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k(^*M))$.*

Proof. Recall that there exists an isomorphism of S-bimodules $\lambda : \mu_k^2 M \to M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k(^*M)$. This map has the following properties:
(1) If $\mu = m_1w_1m_2w_2...m_sw_sm_{s+1}$ where $m_i \in \bar{e_k}M\bar{e_k}$ and $w_i \in Me_kM$, then $\lambda(\mu) = m_1[w_1]m_2[w_2]...m_s[w_s]m_{s+1}$ where for each $w \in Me_kM$, $[w]$ denotes the image of w under the inclusion map from Me_kM into $M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k(^*M)$.
(2) $\lambda(^*((sa)^*)) = sa$ and $\lambda((^*(bt))^*) = bt$. Then we obtain the following equality

$$\lambda(\mu_k^2 P) = \lambda([P]) + \sum_{bt,sa}\Big([btsa][(sa)^*(^*bt)] + [(sa)^*(^*(bt))](bt)(sa)\Big)$$

The latter element is cyclically equivalent to

$$\lambda([P]) + \sum_{bt,sa}\left([btsa] + (bt)(sa)\right)[(sa)^*(^*(bt))]$$

Now Proposition 8.8 implies that

$$\mathcal{T} = T \cup \{asb : a \in T_k, s \in L(k), b \in_k T\} \cup \{a^*t^*b | a \in T_k, t \in L(k), b \in_k T\}$$

is a Z-free generating set for $M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k(^*M)$. Let ψ denote the automorphism of $M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k(^*M)$ defined by $\psi(b) = -b$ if $b \in_k T$ and the identity in the remaining Z-free generators of $\mathcal{T}$. Then $\psi\lambda(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to:

$$\lambda([P]) + \sum_{bt,sa}([btsa] - (bt)(sa))[(sa)^*(^*(bt))]$$

For fixed bt, sa we have the following equalities:

$$[btsa] = \sum_{r \in L(k)} r^*(ts)[bra]$$

$$(bt)(sa) = \sum_{r \in L(k)} r^*(ts)bra$$

Therefore

$$[btsa] - (bt)(sa) = \sum_{r \in L(k)} r^*(ts)([bra] - bra)$$

On the other hand

$$[(sa)^*(^*(bt))] = [a^*s^{-1}t^{-1}(^*b)] = \sum_{r_1 \in L(k)} (r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})[a^*r_1^{-1}(^*b)]$$

Hence $\psi\lambda(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda([P]) + \sum_{bt,sa}\left(\sum_{r \in L(k)} r^*(ts)([bra] - bra)\right)\left(\sum_{r_1 \in L(k)} (r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})[a^*r_1^{-1}(^*b)]\right) \\
&= \lambda([P]) + \sum_{b,a,r,r_1}\left(\sum_{t,s \in L(k)} r^*(ts)([bra] - bra)(r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})[a^*r_1^{-1}(^*b)]\right) \\
&= \lambda([P]) + \sum_{b,a,r,r_1}\big(([bra] - bra)[a^*r_1^{-1}(^*b)]\big)\left(\sum_{t,s \in L(k)} r^*(ts)(r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})\right) \\
&= \lambda([P]) + \sum_{b,a,r,r_1}([bra] - bra)[a^*r_1^{-1}(^*b)]\delta_{r,r_1}c(k) \\
&= \lambda([P]) + \sum_{b,a,r}([bra] - bra)[a^*r^{-1}(^*b)]c(k)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 7.3 and $c(k) = [L(k) : F]$. Consider the automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k(^*M))$ defined in the following way: for every generator $[bra]$, we have $\phi([bra]) = [bra] + bra$ and the identity in the remaining generators of $\mathcal{T}$. Then $\phi\psi\lambda(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to

$$\phi\lambda([P]) + \sum_{b,a,r}[bra][a^*r^{-1}(^*b)]c(k)$$

The potential P is a sum of elements of the form $h_1w_1h_2w_2h_3...h_sw_sh_{s+1}$ where each h_i is an element of the subalgebra generated by S and $\bar{e_k}M\bar{e_k}$ and each w_i is an element of the form bra with $b \in T_k, a \in_k T, r \in L(k)$. The potential $\lambda([P])$ is a sum of elements of the form $h_1[w_1]h_2[w_2]h_3...h_s[w_{s+1}]$ and thus $\phi(\lambda[P])$ is a sum of elements of the form

$$h_1([w_1] + w_1)h_2([w_2] + w_2)h_3...h_s([w_{s+1}] + w_{s+1})$$

this element is cyclically equivalent to an element of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k(^*M))^{\geq 1}$ contained in the subalgebra generated by S and $M \oplus Me_kM$. We obtain the following equality

$$\phi(\lambda([P])) + \sum_{b,a,r}[bra][a^*r^{-1}(^*b)]c(k) = P + \sum_{b,a,r}[bra]\left([a^*r^{-1}(^*b)]c(k) + f(bra)\right)$$

where $f(bra) \in \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M))^{\geq 1}$. Now we take the morphism $\hat{\psi}$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M))$ defined as $\hat{\psi}([a^*r^{-1}({}^*b)]) = c(k)^{-1}([a^*r^{-1}({}^*b)] - f(bra))$ and the identity in the remaining generators of $\mathcal{T}$. Let $\hat{\psi} = (\hat{\psi}_0, \hat{\psi}_1)$ where:

$$\hat{\psi}_0 : M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M) \to M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M)$$
$$\hat{\psi}_1 : M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M))^{\geq 1}$$

then $\hat{\psi}_0$ is an automorphism because if we take the local basis of ${}_S(M \oplus Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M))$ induced by $\mathcal{T}$ and the bases $L(i)$, with the elements $s[a^*r^{-1}({}^*b)]$, $s \in L(\sigma(a^*))$ then $\hat{\psi}_0$ has the following matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ D & Id \end{bmatrix}$$

where C has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \alpha_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \alpha_{c(k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows that $\hat{\psi}$ is an algebra automorphism and $\hat{\psi}\phi\psi\lambda(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to

$$P + \sum_{b,a,r} [bra][a^*r^{-1}({}^*b)]$$

The quadratic potential $W = \sum_{b,a,r} [bra][a^*r^{-1}({}^*b)]$ is a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(Me_kM \oplus M^*e_k({}^*M))$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 8.18. *Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and $M = N_1 \oplus N_2$ be two decompositions of the S-bimodule M. Let $P = P^{\geq 3} + P^{(2)}$ be a potential with respect the decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $P^{(2)}$ is trivial in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)$. Similarly, let $Q = Q^{\geq 3} + Q^{(2)}$ be a potential with respect the decomposition $M = N_1 \oplus N_2$ where $Q^{(2)}$ is trivial in $\mathcal{F}_S(N_2)$. If P and Q are right-equivalent then $P^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ be an algebra automorphism such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to Q. If $\phi(M) = M$ then $\phi(P)^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$ since $\phi(P^{\geq 3}) = \phi(P)^{\geq 3}$. Suppose now that ϕ is unitriangular, then $N_2 = \Xi(Q^{(2)}) = \Xi_2(Q) = \Xi_2(P) = M_2$. Then Proposition 6.6 implies that $P^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$. Now assume that ϕ is given by a pair of morphisms $(\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)})$. Let φ be the isomorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ determined by the pair $(\phi^{(1)}, 0)$. Then $\psi = \phi\varphi^{-1}$ is unitriangular. Clearly $\varphi(M) = M$ and $M = \varphi(M_1) \oplus \varphi(M_2)$ and with respect this decomposition $\varphi(P) = \varphi(P)^{\geq 3} \oplus \varphi(P)^{(2)}$. Since ψ is unitriangular and $\psi\varphi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to Q, then $\varphi(P)^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$. Since $\varphi(P^{\geq 3}) = \varphi(P)^{\geq 3}$, it follows that $P^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$. □

Proposition 8.19. *Let M and N be Z-freely generated S-bimodules and let $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(N)$ be an algebra isomorphism with $\phi|_S = id_S$. Let $P = P^{\geq 3} \oplus P^{(2)}$ be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ where $P^{(2)}$ is trivial. If $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to a potential $Q = Q^{\geq 3} \oplus Q^{(2)}$, where $Q^{(2)}$ is trivial, then $P^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$.*

Proof. Suppose that ϕ is determined by the pair $(\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)})$ where $\phi^{(1)} : M \to N$ is an isomorphism of S-bimodules. Let $\rho : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(N)$ be the algebra isomorphism induced by the pair $(\phi^{(1)}, 0)$. Then $\rho(P) = \rho(P)^{\geq 3} \oplus \rho(P)^{(2)}$ and $\rho(P)^{\geq 3} = \rho(P^{\geq 3})$, $\rho(P)^{(2)} = \rho(P^{(2)})$. Then $\rho(P)$ is right-equivalent to P and P is right-equivalent to Q; thus $\rho(P)$ is right-equivalent to Q. The previous proposition implies that $\rho(P)^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$. This implies that $P^{\geq 3}$ is right-equivalent to $Q^{\geq 3}$. □

Definition 40. Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, where M is Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule M_0. We say that P is splittable if there exists an algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $Q = Q^{\geq 3} \oplus Q^{(2)}$ and a decomposition of S-bimodules $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $Q^{\geq 3}$ is a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_1)$ and $Q^{(2)}$ is a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)$. Here M_1 and M_2 are Z-freely generated by N_1, N_2 respectively and $M_0 = N_1 \oplus N_2$.

REMARK 11. Note that Proposition 8.18 implies that if P is splittable then the corresponding reduced potential $Q^{\geq 3}$ is well-defined modulo right-equivalence.

We now show that Definition 40 is equivalent to Definition 32.

THEOREM 8.20. *Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Then P is splittable if and only if P is decomposable.*

Proof. Suppose first that P is splittable, then there exists an algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\phi(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $Q = Q^{\geq 3} \oplus Q^{(2)}$ with respect a decomposition of S-bimodules $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and $Q^{(2)}$ is trivial in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)$. Then $\phi^{(1)}(\Xi_2(P)) = \Xi_2(Q) = M_2$ and since M_2 is Z-freely generated then $\Xi_2(P) = (\phi^{(1)})^{-1}(M_2)$ is Z-freely generated as well. Suppose now that $\Xi_2(P)$ is Z-freely generated. Using Proposition 7.14 we can find an algebra automorphism $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ with $\phi(M) = M$ and such that $\phi(P^{(2)})$ is cyclically equivalent to a potential of the form $Q = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{t} a_i b_i$ where $\{a_1, \ldots, a_t, b_1, \ldots, b_t\}$ is a Z-free generating set of N_0, a Z-direct summand of M_0. Thus Q is a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_1)$ where $M_1 = SN_0S$. Then $\phi(P) = \phi(P)^{\geq 3} + Q + w$ where $w \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. By Theorem 7.15, there exists a unitriangular automorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\varphi(\phi(P)^{\geq 3} + Q) = Q_1 \oplus Q + w_1$ with Q_1 being a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)$ and M_2 is Z-freely generated by N', a Z-subbimodule of M_0 such that $M_0 = N_0 \oplus N'$. Also $w_1 \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. Therefore $\varphi\phi(P) = \varphi(\phi(P)^{\geq 3} + Q + w) = Q_1 \oplus Q + \varphi(w) + w_1$ where $\varphi(w) + w_1 \in [\mathcal{F}_S(M), \mathcal{F}_S(M)]$. Thus P is splittable, as desired. □

DEFINITION 41. We say that $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined if $\mu_k P$ is splittable; that is, there exists an algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ and a decomposition of S-bimodules $\mu_k M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, such that $\phi(\mu_k P)$ is cyclically equivalent to a potential $Q = Q^{\geq 3} \oplus Q^{(2)}$ where $Q^{\geq 3}$ is a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_1)$ and $Q^{(2)}$ is a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M_2)$.

DEFINITION 42. In the situation of definition 41, we set $\bar{\mu}_k P := Q^{\geq 3}$, $\bar{\mu}_k M = M_1$ and call the correspondence $(M, P) \mapsto (\bar{\mu}_k M, \bar{\mu}_k P)$ the mutation at k.

Note that Proposition 8.18 implies that the mutation $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is unique up to right-equivalence.

Our next result is that every mutation is an involution on the set of right-equivalence classes of reduced potentials.

THEOREM 8.21. *Let P be a reduced potential such that $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined. Then $\bar{\mu}_k \bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined and it is right-equivalent to P.*

Proof. We first show that $\bar{\mu}_k(\bar{\mu}_k P)$ is defined. We will show that $\Xi_2(\mu_k \bar{\mu}_k P)$ is Z-freely generated. Since $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined, then there exists an algebra automorphism ϕ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ such that $\phi(\mu_k P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\bar{\mu}_k P \oplus W_1$ with respect a decomposition $\mu_k M = \bar{\mu}_k M \oplus C_1$ where W_1 is a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(C_1)$. By Theorem 8.17, there exists an algebra isomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k^2 M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M \oplus C_2)$, where $C_2 = Me_kM \oplus M^* e_k({}^*M)$, such that $\psi(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $P \oplus W_2$ where W_2 is a trivial potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(C_2)$. Using Theorem 8.14, we obtain an algebra automorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ of $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k^2 M)$ such that $\tilde{\phi}(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(\phi(\mu_k P))$. Note that the latter potential is right-equivalent to $\mu_k \bar{\mu}_k P \oplus W_1$ with respect a decomposition $\mu_k^2 M = \mu_k \bar{\mu}_k M \oplus C_1$. Suppose that ψ is determined by the pair $(\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)})$. Since $\psi(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $P \oplus W_2$, then we obtain:

$$\psi^{(1)}(\Xi_2(\mu_k^2 P)) = \Xi_2(\psi(\mu_k^2 P)) = \Xi_2(P \oplus W_2) = C_2$$

Since C_2 is Z-freely generated and $\psi^{(1)}$ is an automorphism of S-bimodules then $\Xi_2(\mu_k^2 P)$ is Z-freely generated. Because $\tilde{\phi}(\mu_k^2 P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(\phi(\mu_k P))$, then $\Xi_2(\tilde{\phi}(\mu_k^2 P)) = \Xi_2(\mu_k(\phi(\mu_k P)))$. Using the fact that $\Xi_2(\tilde{\phi}(\mu_k^2 P)) = \tilde{\phi}^{(1)}(\Xi_2(\mu_k^2 P))$ we get that $\tilde{\phi}^{(1)}(\Xi_2(\mu_k^2 P)) = \Xi_2(\mu_k(\phi(\mu_k P))) = \Xi_2(\mu_k \bar{\mu}_k P \oplus W_1) = \Xi_2(\mu_k \bar{\mu}_k P) \oplus C_1$, whence $\Xi_2(\mu_k \bar{\mu}_k P)$ is Z-freely generated. Therefore $\mu_k \bar{\mu}_k P$ is right-equivalent to ${\bar{\mu}_k}^2 P \oplus W_3$ where W_3 is trivial. Thus, $P \oplus W_2$ is right-equivalent to $\mu_k^2 P$ and the latter is right-equivalent to $\mu_k \phi(\mu_k P)$. Also, $\mu_k \phi(\mu_k P)$ is right-equivalent to $\mu_k \bar{\mu}_k P \oplus W_1$ and the latter is right-equivalent to ${\bar{\mu}_k}^2 P \oplus$

$W_3 \oplus W_1$. Consequently, $P \oplus W_2$ is right-equivalent to $\bar{\mu_k}^2 P \oplus W_3 \oplus W_1$ where both P and $\bar{\mu_k}^2 P$ are reduced potentials and $W_2, W_3 \oplus W_1$ are trivial potentials. By Proposition 8.18, it follows that P is right-equivalent to $\bar{\mu_k}^2 P = \bar{\mu_k}\bar{\mu_k} P$.

□

9. *A mutation invariant*

In this section we fix $k \in [1, n]$ and study the effect of mutation $\bar{\mu_k}$ on the quotient algebra $\mathcal{P}(M, P) = \mathcal{F}_S(M)/R(P)$. We will use the following notation: for an S-bimodule B, define:

$$B_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} = \bar{e_k} B \bar{e_k}$$

Proposition 9.1. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ be an algebra with potential. Then the algebras $\mathcal{P}(M, P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mu_k M, \mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ are isomorphic to each other.*

Proof. First note that $(\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} = M_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} \oplus M e_k M$. We now establish the following lemma.

Lemma 9.2. *There exists an algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}})$ and $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$.*

Proof. Using Corollary 8.5 we obtain that $i_M(\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(i_{\mu_k M})$. Thus there exists an algebra morphism $\rho : \bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k} \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)$ making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k} \arrow[r, dashed, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "i_M"'] & \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M) \arrow[dl, "i_{\mu_k M}"] \\
\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M}) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

We claim that $\rho(\bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(\bar{e_k}\mu_k M\bar{e_k})$. Since $\widehat{M} = M \oplus (e_k M)^* \oplus^* (Me_k)$, then $\mathcal{F}_S(\widehat{M}) = \mathcal{F}_S(M) \oplus B'$ where B' is the closure of the F-vector space generated by all formal series containing non-zero elements of $(e_k M)^*$ or ${}^*(Me_k)$. Similarly, $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M) = \mathcal{F}_S(\bar{e_k}\mu_k M\bar{e_k}) \oplus B''$ for some F-vector subspace B''. Now let $u \in \bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$, then $\rho(u) = u' + b'$ where $u' \in \mathcal{F}_S(\bar{e_k}\mu_k M\bar{e_k})$ and $b' \in B''$. Applying $i_{\mu_k M}$ on both sides yields $i_M(u) = i_{\mu_k M}(u') + i_{\mu_k M}(b')$. Note that $i_M(u), i_{\mu_k M}(u') \in \mathcal{F}_S(\bar{e_k}\mu_k M\bar{e_k})$ and $i_{\mu_k M}(b') \in B''$. This implies that $i_{\mu_k M}(b') = 0$ and since $i_{\mu_k M}$ is a monomorphism then $b' = 0$. Therefore $\rho(u) = u'$ where $u' \in \mathcal{F}_S(\bar{e_k}\mu_k M\bar{e_k})$. The claim follows.

It follows that there exists an injection of F-algebras:

$$\rho : \bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k} \to \mathcal{F}_S(\bar{e_k}\mu_k M\bar{e_k})$$

Define $f : Me_k M \oplus \bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k} \to \bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)\bar{e_k}$ as follows: let f be the identity on the second summand and $f([u]) = u$ otherwise. By abuse of notation, let f denote the extension of f to $\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{e_k}\mu_k M\bar{e_k})$. Then $f = \rho^{-1}$ so ρ is an isomorphism of F-algebras. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 9.3. *There exists an algebra epimorphism*

$$\mathcal{P}(M, P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} \to \mathcal{P}(\mu_k M, \mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$$

Proof. It is enough to prove the following two facts:

$$\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} = \mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) + R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$$

$$\rho(R(P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \cap R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$$

We first prove that $\mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} = \mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) + R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$.

Let P be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Recall that P is cyclically equivalent to a potential $P' \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ and that $\mu_k(P)$ is cyclically equivalent to $\mu_k(P')$. Therefore we may assume that $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$. For such a potential P, $\mu_k(P)$ is defined as follows

$$\mu_k(P) = \rho(P) + \sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} [btsa]((sa)^*)(^*(bt))$$

Note that the set $\{dqc : d \in T \cap Me_k, q \in L(k), c \in e_k M \cap T\}$ is a local basis of $M_0 e_k S e_k M_0$. Fix an element $[dqc]$. We now compute $X_{([dqc])^*}\left(\sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} [btsa](sa)^*(^*(bt))\right)$. First note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} [btsa](sa)^*(^*(bt)) &= \sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} \left(\sum_{r \in L(k)} r^*(ts)[bra]\right)\left(\sum_{r_1 \in L(k)} (r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})a^* r_1^{-1}(^*b)\right) \\
&= \sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} \sum_{r, r_1 \in L(k)} r^*(ts)[bra](r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})(a^* r_1^{-1}(^*b))
\end{aligned}$$

Applying $X_{[dqc]^*}$ to the above expression and using Proposition 7.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{[dqc]^*}\left(\sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} \sum_{r, r_1 \in L(k)} r^*(ts)[bra](r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})(a^* r_1^{-1}(^*b))\right) &= \sum_{t,s \in L(k)} \sum_{r_1 \in L(k)} q^*(ts)(r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})(c^* r_1^{-1}(^*d)) \\
&= \sum_{r_1 \in L(k)} \left(\sum_{t,s \in L(k)} q^*(ts)(r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})\right) c^* r_1^{-1}(^*d) \\
&= c(k)c^* q^{-1}(^*d) \\
&= c(k)(qc)^*(^*d)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore all the elements $(qc)^*(^*d)$ lie in $\mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) + R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$. We now continue with the proof of Lemma 9.3. Let $x \in \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$, then $x = \sum_u \gamma_u$ where each γ_u is a product of elements in $L = \bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k} \cup M e_k M \cup^* (Me_k) \cup (e_k M)^*$. Set $\gamma_u = x_1 \ldots x_{l(u)}$ where each $x_i \in L$. If $x_i \in e_k^*(M)$, then $i > 1$ and $x_{i-1} \in (M^*)e_k$. Therefore $x_{i-1}x_i \in M^* e_k(^*M)$. Similarly, if $x_i \in M^* e_k$ then $i < l(u)$ and $x_{i+1} \in e_k(^*M)$ and thus $x_i x_{i+1} \in M^* e_k(^*M)$. Since the elements $(qc)^*(^*d)$ generate $(e_k M)^* e_k^*(Me_k)$ as an S-bimodule, then $(e_k M)^* e_k^*(Me_k) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) + R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$. Therefore each $\gamma_u \in \mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) + R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$. This implies that $x \in \mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) + R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$, as claimed. Let us now find an expression for $\mu_k P$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_k(P) &= \rho(P) + \sum_{sa \in_k \hat{T}, bt \in \tilde{T}_k} [btsa]((sa)^*)(^*(bt)) \\
&= \rho(P) + \sum_{a \in_k T, b \in T_k} \sum_{r, r_1 \in L(k)} [bra]a^*(r_1^{-1})^*(^*b)\left(\sum_{s,t \in L(k)} r^*(ts)(r_1^{-1})^*(s^{-1}t^{-1})\right) \\
&= \rho(P) + c(k)\left(\sum_{a \in_k T, b \in T_k} \sum_{r \in L(k)} [bra]a^* r^{-1}(^*b)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following expressions

$$X_{a^*}(\mu_k P) = c(k) \sum_{b \in T_k} \sum_{r \in L(k)} r^{-1}(^*b)[bra]$$

$$X_{^*b}(\mu_k P) = c(k) \sum_{a \in_k T} \sum_{r \in L(k)} [bra]a^* r^{-1}$$

$$X_{[bra]^*}(\mu_k P) = X_{[bra]^*}(\rho(P)) + c(k)a^* r^{-1}(^*b)$$

We now show that if P is a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\leq N}$ for some $N \geq 2$ then $\rho((R(P))_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \subseteq R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$.

Suppose that $P = \sum\limits_{u=1}^{N} \gamma_u$ where each γ_u is of the form $x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n(u)}$ where $x_i \in \hat{T}$. For every γ_u, let $\mathcal{C}(u)$ be the subset of the symmetric group $S_{n(u)}$ consisting of all cyclic permutations c of $S_{n(u)}$ such that $x_{c(1)} = s_c b$. Define $\gamma_u^c = x_{c(1)} x_{c(2)} \dots x_{c(n(u))}$, then we have:

$$\gamma_u^c = s_c b r_c a_c z_c$$

where $z_c = x_{c(3)} \dots x_{c(n(u))}$. Therefore

$$X_{b^*}(P) = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(u)} r_c a_c z_c s_c$$

Let $b' \in T \cap e_u M_0 e_k$, then

$$\rho(b' X_{b^*}(P)) = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(u)} [b' r_c a_c] \rho(z_c) s_c$$

Note that a Z-free generating set of $\mu_k M$ is the set $\mu_k T := (T \cap \bar{e_k} M_0 \bar{e_k}) \cup \{[bra] : b \in T_k, r \in L(k), a \in_k T\} \cup \{{}^*b : b \in T_k\} \cup \{a^* : a \in_k T\}$. Let $(\widehat{\mu_k T})$ be the S-local basis of $\mu_k M$ consisting of all the elements ry where $r \in L(u)$, $y \in \mu_k T \cap e_u \mu_k M e_v$.

Now consider $\rho(P) = \sum\limits_{u=1}^{N} \rho(\gamma_u)$. We have

$$\gamma_u = \mu_1 x_{l_1} x_{l_1+1} \mu_2 x_{l_2} \dots \mu_s x_{l_s} x_{l_s+1} \mu_{s+1}$$

where each μ_i is a product of elements in $\hat{T} \cap e_u S M_0 e_v$ where $u, v \neq k$ and for every l_i, $x_{l_i} = s(x_{l_i}) b$. Then

$$\rho(\gamma_u) = \rho(\mu_1)[x_{l_1} x_{l_1+1}] \rho(\mu_2)[x_{l_2} x_{l_2+1}] \dots \rho(\mu_s)[x_{l_s} x_{l_s+1}] \rho(\mu_{s+1})$$

Each $\rho(\mu_i)$ is a product of elements in $e_u S M_0 e_v$ where u, v are different from k and each $[x_{l_i} x_{l_{i+1}}] = s(x_{l_i})[b s(x_{l_i+1}) a(x_{l_i+1})]$. Therefore $\rho(\gamma_u) = y_1 \dots y_{t(u)}$ where each $y_i \in \widehat{\mu_k T}$. Let $\mathcal{C}'(u)$ be the subset of all cyclic permutations d of $S_{t(u)}$ such that $y_{d(1)} = s[bra]$ for some $a \in T_k, r \in L(k)$. To this permutation it corresponds a unique permutation $c(d) \in \mathcal{C}(u)$ such that $\rho(\gamma_u)^d = \rho(\gamma_u^{c(d)})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} X_{[bra]^*}(\rho(P)) &= \sum_{u=1}^{N} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}(u), r_c = r, a_c = a} \rho(z_c) s_c \\ \rho(b' X_{b^*}(P)) &= \sum_{r \in L(k), a \in_k T} [b'ra] X_{[bra]^*}(\rho(P)) \end{aligned}$$

Now let $a \in_k T$. Consider the subset $\mathcal{D}(u)$ consisting of all permutations $c \in S_{n(u)}$ such that $x_{c(1)} = r_c a$. Then for each $c \in \mathcal{D}(u)$, $\gamma_u^c = r_c a z_c s_c b$ for some $b \in T_k$. Then

$$X_{a^*}(P) = \sum_{u=1}^{N} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{D}(u)} z_c s_c b r_c$$

Note that $R(P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ is the closure of the two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ generated by the elements $b' X_{b^*}(P)$ for $b, b' \in T_k$, together with the elements $X_{a^*}(P) a'$ for $a, a' \in_k T$, and $X_{w^*}(P)$ with $w \in T \cap e_{\sigma(w)} M e_{\tau(w)}$, $\sigma(w), \tau(w) \neq k$.

Let $a' \in T_k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X_{a^*}(P)a') &= \sum_{u=1}^{N} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{D}(u)} \rho(z_c)s_c[b_c r_c a'] \\ &= \sum_{b \in T_k, r \in L(k)} \sum_{u=1}^{N} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{D}(u), b_c = b, r_c = r} \rho(z_c)s_c[bra'] \\ &= \sum_{b \in T_k, r \in L(k)} X_{[bra]^*}(\rho(P))[bra']\end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(b'X_{b^*}(P)) &= \sum_{a \in {}_kT, r \in L(k)} [b'ra]X_{[bra]^*}(\rho(P)) \\ &= \sum_{a \in {}_kT, r \in L(k)} [b'ra]X_{[bra]^*}(\mu_k P) - c(k)\left(\sum_{a \in {}_kT, r \in L(k)} [b'ra]a^*r^{-1}({}^*b)\right) \\ &= \sum_{a \in {}_kT, r \in L(k)} [b'ra]X_{[bra]^*}(\mu_k P) - X_{({}^*b')}(\mu_k P)({}^*b)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(X_{a^*}(P)a') &= \sum_{b \in T_k, r \in L(k)} X_{[bra]^*}(\rho(P))[bra'] \\ &= \sum_{b \in T_k, r \in L(k)} X_{[bra]^*}(\mu_k P)[bra'] - \sum_{b \in T_k, r \in L(k)} c(k)a^*r^{-1}({}^*b)[bra'] \\ &= \sum_{b \in T_k, r \in L(k)} X_{[bra]^*}(\mu_k P)[bra'] - a^*X_{(a')^*}(\mu_k P)\end{aligned}$$

If $w \in T \cap e_u M_0 e_v$, where $u, v \neq k$, then

$$\rho(X_{w^*}(P)) = X_{w^*}(\rho(P)) = X_{w^*}(\mu_k P)$$

This proves that $\rho((R(P))_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \subseteq R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ for potentials P in the tensor algebra $T_S(M)$.

We now show that if P is a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$, then $\rho(R(P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \subseteq R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$. Let $h \in e_{\bar{k}}R(P)e_{\bar{k}}$. It suffices to show that $\rho(h) \in R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} + \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)^{\geq N}$ for every positive integer N. The previous result yields the inclusion $\rho(h) \in R(\mu_k P^{\leq 2N})_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} + \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)^{\geq N}$.

The ideal $R(\mu_k P^{\leq 2N})$ is the closure of the ideal generated by the elements of the form $X_{w^*}(\mu_k P^{\leq 2N})$ for $w \in \mu_k T$. Note that $X_{w^*}(\mu_k P^{\leq 2N}) = X_{w^*}(\rho(P^{\leq 2N}) + \Delta_k) = X_{w^*}(\rho(P) + \Delta_k) - X_{w^*}(\rho(P^{>2N})) = X_{w^*}(\mu_k P) - X_{w^*}(\rho(P^{>2N}))$. It follows that

$$X_{w^*}(\mu_k(P^{\leq 2N})) \in R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} + \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k M)^{\geq N}$$

Therefore $\rho(h)$ is in the closure of $R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$, as desired. This proves the inclusion $\rho(R(P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S((\mu_k M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \cap R(\mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ and the proof of Lemma 9.3 is now complete. □

To finish the proof of Proposition 9.1, it is enough to show that the epimorphism α in Lemma 9.3 is in fact an isomorphism. To do this, we construct the left inverse algebra homomorphism $\beta : \mathcal{P}(\mu_k M, \mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} \to \mathcal{P}(M, P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$. We define β as the composition of three maps. First, we apply the epimorphism $\mathcal{P}(\mu_k M, \mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} \to \mathcal{P}(\mu_k(\mu_k M), \mu_k(\mu_k P))_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ defined in the same was as α. Remembering the proof of Theorem 8.17 and using the notation introduced there, we then apply the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}(\mu_k(\mu_k M), \mu_k(\mu_k P))_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} \to \mathcal{P}(M \oplus M', P + W)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ induced by the automorphism $\hat{\psi}\phi\psi\lambda$. Finally, we apply the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}(M \oplus M', P + W)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} \to \mathcal{P}(M, P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ induced by Proposition 6.6. Let p denote the projection map $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} \to \mathcal{P}(M, P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$. Since all the maps involved are algebra homomorphisms, it is enough to check that $\beta\alpha$ fixes the generators $p(c)$ and $p(asb)$ where $c \in T \cap e_{\bar{k}}Me_{\bar{k}}$, $a \in T \cap Me_k$, $b \in T \cap e_k M$, $s \in L(k)$. This is done by direct tracing of the definitions.

□

Proposition 9.4. *If the quotient algebra $\mathcal{P}(M,P)$ is finite-dimensional then so is $\mathcal{P}(\mu_k M, \mu_k P)$.*

Proof. We start as in [**2**] by showing that finite dimensionality of $\mathcal{P}(M,P)$ follows from a seemingly weaker condition.

Lemma 9.5. *Let $J \subseteq \langle M \rangle$ be a closed ideal in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Then the quotient algebra $\mathcal{F}_S(M)/J$ is finite dimensional provided the subalgebra $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}/J_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ is finite dimensional. In particular, the quotient algebra $\mathcal{P}(M,P)$ is finite-dimensional if and only if so is the subalgebra $\mathcal{P}(M,P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$.*

Proof. For an S-bimodule B, we denote:

$$B_{k,\hat{k}} = e_k B \bar{e_k} = \bigoplus_{j \neq k} B_{k,j}, \;\; B_{\hat{k},k} = \bar{e_k} B e_k = \bigoplus_{i \neq k} B_{i,k}$$

We need to show that if $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}/J_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ is finite dimensional then so is each of the spaces $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,\hat{k}}/J_{k,\hat{k}}$, $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},k}/J_{\hat{k},k}$ and $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k}/J_{k,k}$. Let us treat $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k}/J_{k,k}$; the other two cases are done similarly.

Let T be a Z-local basis of M_0 and let L be a Z-local basis of S. Then $\hat{T} = \{sa : a \in T, s \in L(\sigma(a))\}$ is a local basis for M_S. Let:

$$\hat{T} \cap M_{k,\hat{k}} = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l\}$$
$$\hat{T} \cap M_{\hat{k},k} = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_q\}$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k} = D_k \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i,j} r_i \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}} t_j$. It follows that there exists a surjection of F-vector spaces:

$$f : D_k \times Mat_{l \times q}(\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k}/J_{k,k}$$

given as follows:

$$f(d, D) = \pi(d + (r_1 \; r_2 \dots \; r_l) D (t_1 \; t_2 \dots \; t_q)^T)$$

where π is the canonical projection $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k}/J_{k,k}$ and T denotes the transpose. Note that $Mat_{l \times q}(J_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}) \subseteq ker(f)$, thus there exists an F-linear isomorphism:

$$\frac{\frac{D_k \times Mat_{l \times q}(\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}})}{Mat_{l \times q}(J_{\hat{k},\hat{k}})}}{\sim} \cong \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k}/J_{k,k}$$

for some F-subspace $\sim$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k}/J_{k,k}$ is F-isomorphic to a quotient of $D_k \times Mat_{l \times q}\left(\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}/J_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}\right)$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{k,k}/J_{k,k}$ is finite dimensional, as desired.

□

To finish the proof of Proposition 9.4, suppose that $\mathcal{P}(M,P)$ is finite dimensional. Then $\mathcal{P}(\mu_k M, \mu_k P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ is finite dimensional by Proposition 9.1. Now Lemma 9.5 implies that $\mathcal{P}(\mu_k M, \mu_k P)$ is finite dimensional, as desired.

□

Using Proposition 6.6, we see that Propositions 9.1 and 9.4 have the following corollary.

Corollary 9.6. *Let $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ be an algebra with potential, where P is a reduced potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, and let $(\mathcal{F}_S(\bar{\mu_k} M), \bar{\mu_k} P)$ be an algebra with potential obtained from $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ by the mutation at k. Then the algebras $\mathcal{P}(M,P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mu_k} M, \bar{\mu_k} P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}$ are isomorphic to each other, and $\mathcal{P}(M,P)$ is finite-dimensional if and only if so is $\mathcal{P}(\bar{\mu_k} M, \bar{\mu_k} P)$.*

It follows that the class of algebras with potentials $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ with finite dimensional algebras $\mathcal{P}(M,P)$ is preserved under mutations. We now introduce another class.

10. *Rigidity*

DEFINITION 43. Let $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ be an algebra with potential, the deformation space $Def(M,P)$ is the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{P}(M,P)}{S+[\mathcal{P}(M,P),\mathcal{P}(M,P)]}$.

PROPOSITION 10.1. *There exists an algebra isomorphism* $Def(M,P) \cong Def(\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{P})$ *where* $\widetilde{M} = \mu_k M$ *and* $\widetilde{P} = \mu_k P$.

Proof. We may assume that, up to cyclical equivalence, $P \in \bar{e_k}\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}\bar{e_k}$. Then

$$Def(M,P) \cong \frac{\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}}{R(P)+[\mathcal{F}_S(M),\mathcal{F}_S(M)]} \cong \frac{\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 1}_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}}{R(P)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}+[\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}},\mathcal{F}_S(M)_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}]}$$

Similarly

$$Def(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{P}) \cong \frac{\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{P})_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}}{S_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}+[\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{P})_{\hat{k},\hat{k}},\mathcal{P}(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{P})_{\hat{k},\hat{k}}]}$$

Now Proposition 9.1 implies that $Def(M,P) \cong Def(\widetilde{M},\widetilde{P})$. □

DEFINITION 44. An algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is rigid if $Def(M,P) = 0$.

Combining Propositions 6.6 and 10.1 we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 10.2. *Suppose an algebra with potential* $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ *is rigid and* $\mu_k P$ *is splittable, then the mutation* $(\bar{\mu_k} M, \bar{\mu_k} P)$ *is also rigid.*

LEMMA 10.3. *Every reduced and rigid algebra with potential* $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ *is* 2*-acyclic.*

Proof. Note that $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ is rigid if and only if every potential of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ is cyclically equivalent to an element of $R(P)$. Suppose now that M is not 2-acyclic, then there exists i,j with $i \neq j$ such that $e_iMe_j \neq 0$ and $e_jMe_i \neq 0$. Choose non-zero elements $a \in e_iMe_j \cap T$ and $b \in e_jMe_i \cap T$. Since $M_{cyc} = 0$ then $R(P)_{cyc} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq 3}$. It follows that the potential $Q = ab$ is not cyclically equivalent to an element of $R(P)$. This completes the proof. □

11. *Realizations of potentials*

Let M be an S-bimodule Z-freely generated by the Z-subbimodule M_0 and let $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ be a 2-acyclic reduced algebra with potential, and suppose that the reduced algebra with potential $(\mathcal{F}_S(\overline{\mu_k} M), \mathcal{F}_S(\overline{\mu_k} P))$ obtained from $(\mathcal{F}_S(M), P)$ by the mutation at some integer k in $[1,n]$ is also 2-acyclic. For each $i \in [1,n]$ define $d(i) := dim_F D_i$. We associate to M a matrix $B(M) = (b_{i,j}) \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ defined as follows:

$$b_{i,j} := dim_F(e_iM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)d(j)$$

LEMMA 11.1. *The matrix* $B(M)$ *is skew-symmetrizable.*

Proof. Note that $d(i)b_{i,j} = d(i)d(j)dim_F(e_iM_0e_j) - d(i)d(j)dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)$. On the other hand:

$$-d(j)b_{j,i} = d(i)d(j)dim_F(e_iM_0e_j) - d(i)d(j)dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)$$

It follows that $d(i)b_{i,j} = -d(j)b_{j,i}$. The claim follows. □

The matrix $B(\overline{\mu_k} M) = (\overline{b_{ij}})$ associated to $\overline{\mu_k} M$ is given by:

$$\overline{b_{i,j}} = dim_F e_i(\widetilde{M})_0 e_j d(j) - dim_F e_j(\widetilde{M})_0 e_i d(j)$$

where $\widetilde{M_0} = \bar{e_k}M_0\bar{e_k} \oplus M_0e_kSe_kM_0 \oplus e_k({}_0N) \oplus (N_0)e_k$.

- Suppose first that $i = k$. Then $e_i(\widetilde{M})_0e_j = e_k(\widetilde{M})_0e_j = e_k({}_0N)e_j$.

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{b_{k,j}} &= dim_F e_k({}_0N)e_jd(j) - dim_F e_j(N_0)e_kd(j)\\ &= dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)d(j) - dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(j)\\ &= -[dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)d(j)]\\ &= -b_{k,j}\end{aligned}$$

- Suppose now that $j = k$. Then $e_i(\widetilde{M})_0e_j = e_i(\widetilde{M})_0e_k = e_i(N_0)e_k$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{b_{i,k}} &= dim_F e_i(N_0)e_kd(k) - dim_F e_k({}_0N)e_id(k)\\ &= dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k) - dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)d(k)\\ &= -[dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)d(k) - dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k)]\\ &= -b_{i,k}\end{aligned}$$

- Assume now that $i, j \neq k$. In this case:

$$e_i(\widetilde{M})_0e_j = e_iM_0e_j \oplus e_iM_0e_kSe_kM_0e_j$$

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{b_{i,j}} &= dim_F(e_iM_0e_j \oplus e_iM_0e_kSe_kM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i \oplus e_jM_0e_kSe_kM_0e_i)d(j)\\ &= dim_F(e_iM_0e_j)d(j) + dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(k)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k)d(j)\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $b_{i,k}b_{k,j}$ equals:

$$\begin{aligned}&[dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)d(k) - dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k)]\,[dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)d(j)]\\ &= dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(k)d(j) - dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)d(k)d(j) - dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(k)d(j) +\\ &dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k)d(j)\end{aligned}$$

We now proceed dividing by cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $b_{i,k} > 0$ and $b_{k,j} > 0$. Then $dim_F e_kM_0e_i = dim_F e_jM_0e_k = 0$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{b_{i,j}} &= dim_F(e_iM_0e_j)d(j) + dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(k)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)d(j)\\ &= b_{i,j} + dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(k)d(j)\end{aligned}$$

and $b_{i,k}b_{k,j}$ equals $dim_F(e_iM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_j)d(k)d(j)$. Thus $\overline{b_{i,j}} = b_{i,j} + b_{i,k}b_{k,j}$.

Case 2. Suppose that $b_{i,k}b_{k,j} = 0$. Assume that $b_{i,k} = 0$, the other case being similar. Then $dim_F e_kM_0e_i = dim_F e_iM_0e_k = 0$. Therefore: $\overline{b_{i,j}} = dim_F(e_iM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)d(j) = b_{i,j}$.

Case 3. Suppose that $b_{i,k} < 0$ and $b_{k,j} < 0$. Then $dim_F e_iM_0e_k = dim_F e_kM_0e_j = 0$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{b_{i,j}} &= dim_F(e_iM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k)d(j)\\ &= b_{i,j} - dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k)d(j)\end{aligned}$$

and $b_{i,k}b_{k,j}$ equals $b_{i,k}b_{k,j} = dim_F(e_jM_0e_k)dim_F(e_kM_0e_i)d(k)d(j)$. Therefore $\overline{b_{i,j}} = b_{i,j} - b_{i,k}b_{k,j}$.

Case 4. Assume that $b_{i,k} < 0$ and that $b_{k,j} > 0$. Then $dim_F e_iM_0e_k = dim_F e_jM_0e_k = 0$. It follows that $\overline{b_{i,j}} = dim_F(e_iM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)d(j) = b_{i,j}$.

Case 5. Finally suppose that $b_{i,k} > 0$ and that $b_{k,j} < 0$. Then $dim_F e_kM_0e_i = dim_F e_kM_0e_j = 0$. Therefore:

$$\overline{b_{i,j}} = dim_F(e_iM_0e_j)d(j) - dim_F(e_jM_0e_i)d(j) = b_{i,j}.$$

Then the entries of the matrix $B(\overline{\mu_k}M)$ are given as follows:

$$B(\overline{\mu_k}M)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -b_{i,j} & \text{if } i = k \text{ or } j = k \\ b_{i,j} & \text{if } b_{i,k}b_{k,j} \leq 0 \\ b_{i,j} + b_{i,k}b_{k,j} & \text{if } b_{i,k}, b_{k,j} > 0 \\ b_{i,j} - b_{i,k}b_{k,j} & \text{if } b_{i,k}, b_{k,j} < 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus the skew-symmetrizable matrix $B(\overline{\mu_k}M)$ is obtained through matrix mutation of $B(M)$ in the sense of Fomin-Zelevinsky [**3**].

Definition 45. The matrix $B(M)$ is called the exchange matrix of M.

Definition 46. Let F be a field. A species is a triple $(I, (D_i)_{i\in I}, (M_{i,j})_{(i,j)\in I^2})$ where I is a finite set; D_i is a finite dimensional division algebra over F for all $i \in I$; and for each $(i,j) \in I^2$, $M_{i,j}$ is a $D_i - D_j$-bimodule finite dimensional over F.

Proposition 11.2. *Let B be a $n \times n$ skew-symmetrizable matrix $B = (b_{i,j})$ with skew-symmetrizer $D = diag(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$. If d_j divides $b_{i,j}$ for every j and every i, then the matrix B can be reached from a species.*

Proof. Let $G := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}_{d_i}$. Since G is a finite group, then there exists a Galois extension E/F such that $Gal(E/F) \cong G$. For each i define $F_i := Fix(H_i)$, the fixed field of H_i, where $H_i = \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \times \ldots \times \{i\} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{d_n}$. Then $F_i \cap F_j = F$ and $[F_i : F] = d_i$. Since the multiplication map $F_i \otimes_F F_j \to F_iF_j$ is surjective, then a dimension argument implies that the composite F_iF_j is isomorphic to $F_i \otimes_F F_j$. Set $S := \prod_{i=1}^{n} F_i$ and $Z = \bigoplus_{n} F$ and for each $i \neq j$ define $M_{i,j} := (F_i \otimes_F F_j)^{\frac{b_{i,j}}{d_j}}$ if $b_{i,j} > 0$. Then the exchange matrix of $M := \bigoplus_{i,j} M_{i,j}$ equals B. □

12. *Nondegeneracy*

We now introduce the notion of polynomial and regular map. Throughout this section we will assume that the underlying field F is infinite.

Let B be a non-empty set and let F^B denote the F-vector space of all functions $f : B \to F$.

Definition 47. A function $H : F^B \to F$ is a polynomial map if and only if there exists a polynomial $P_H \in F[Z_1, \ldots, Z_l]$ and elements $x_1, \ldots, x_l$ of B such that $H(f) = P(f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_l))$ for each $f \in F^B$.

If H, G are polynomial maps $F^B \to F$ then the product HG is the map sending each $f \in F^B$ to the element $H(f)G(f)$. Clearly HG is also a polynomial map.

Suppose now that $h : F^B \to F^{B_1}$ is a function, then for each $x \in B_1$ we have the map $h_x : F^B \to F$ given by $h_x(f) = h(f)(x)$.

Definition 48. We say a map $h : F^B \to F^{B_1}$ is polynomial if for each $x \in B_1$, the map $h_x : F^B \to F$ is polynomial.

We now show that the composition of polynomial maps is again polynomial.

Lemma 12.1. *Let $h_1 : F^B \to F^{B_1}$ and $h_2 : F^{B_1} \to F^{B_2}$ be polynomial maps, then h_2h_1 is also a polynomial map.*

Proof. Let $x \in B_2$ and consider the map $(h_2)_x : F^{B_1} \to F$. Then there exists a polynomial $P \in F[Z_1, \dots, Z_l]$ such that for each $g \in F^{B_1}$, $(h_2)_x(g) = h_2(g)(x) = P(g(x_1), \dots, g(x_l))$ and some $x_1, \dots, x_l \in B_1$. For each $x_1, \dots, x_l$ there exists polynomials $Q_1, \dots, Q_l \in F[z_1, \dots, z_v]$ such that $(h_1)_{x_1}(f) = Q_1(f(y_1), \dots, f(y_v)), \dots, (h_1)_{x_l}(f) = Q_l(f(y_1), \dots, f(y_v))$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_v \in B$ and for every $f \in F^B$. Thus for each $f \in F^B$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}(h_2h_1)_x(f) &= P(h_1(f)(x_1), \dots, h_1(f)(x_l)) \\ &= P(Q_1(f(y_1), \dots, f(y_v)), \dots, Q_l(f(y_1), \dots, f(y_v)))\end{aligned}$$

Then if $R(Z_1, \dots, Z_v) = P(Q_1(Z_1, \dots, Z_v), \dots, Q_l(Z_1, \dots, Z_v))$ then $(h_2h_1)_x(f) = R(f(y_1), \dots, f(y_v))$. □

In what follows, M is a fixed S-bimodule Z-freely generated as before.

For every $n \geq 2$, choose an F-basis B_n of $(M^{\otimes n})_{cyc}$ and let $B = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} B_n$. Then if P is a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$, $P = \sum_{b \in B} c_b b$ with $c_b \in F$, $\underline{c}(P)$ denotes the element of F^B such that $\underline{c}(P)(b) = c_b$. For every $m \geq 2$, define $B^{\geq m} = \bigcup_{n \geq m} B_n$ and $B^{\leq m} = \bigcup_{n \leq m} B_n$.

Let M' be another S-bimodule Z-freely generated, B'_n an F-basis of $(M')^{\otimes n}_{cyc}$ and let $B' = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} B'_n$. Suppose we have an F-linear map $\phi : \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc} \to F_S(M')_{cyc}$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{F}_S(M)^{\geq n}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S(M')^{\geq n}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then ϕ is continuous. We claim that there exists a polynomial map $\underline{\phi} : F^B \to F^{B'}$ such that for each potential $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ we have:

$$\underline{c}(\phi(P)) = \underline{\phi}(\underline{c}(P))$$

Indeed, for each $x \in B_n$ we have $\phi(x) = \sum_{y \in (B')^{\geq n}} \alpha_{x,y} y$ with $\alpha_{x,y} \in F$. Let $\underline{\phi} : F^B \to F^{B'}$ be defined as follows. For each $f \in F^B$ and $y \in B'_m$ set:

$$\underline{\phi}(f)(y) = \sum_{x \in B^{\leq m}} f(x)\alpha_{x,y}$$

Suppose now that $f = \underline{c}(P)$ then $P = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{x \in B_n} f(x)x\right)$ and:

$$\phi(P) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{x \in B_n} f(x)\phi(x)\right) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{x \in B_n} f(x)\left(\sum_{y \in (B')^{\geq n}} \alpha_{x,y} y\right)\right)$$

Therefore $\phi(P) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{y \in (B_n)'} \left(\sum_{x \in B^{\leq n}} f(x)\alpha_{x,y}\right) y = \sum_{y \in B'} \underline{\phi}(f)(y)y$. Thus $\underline{c}(\phi(P)) = \underline{\phi}(\underline{c}(P))$, and the claim follows.

We denote by $F[Z_x]_{x \in B}$ the ring of F-polynomials in the indeterminates Z_x, $x \in B$. Consider now two non-empty sets B, B' and indeterminates Z_x for each $x \in B$ and Z_y for each $y \in B'$. If $T \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B}$ and $f \in F^B$ then we define $T(f) := T(f(x))_{x \in B}$. Similarly, one defines $T(g)$ for $g \in F^{B'}$ and $T \in F[Z_y]_{y \in B'}$.

If $T \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B}$ we define $Z(T) := \{f \in F^B : T(f) \neq 0\}$.

Definition 49. Let $T \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B}$. We say a map $g : Z(T) \to F$ is regular if there exists a polynomial $G \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B}$ and a non-negative integer u such that for each $f \in Z(T)$, $g(f) = \frac{G(f)}{T(f)^u} = G(f)T(f)^{-u}$. A map $h : Z(T) \to F^{B'}$ is regular if for every $y \in B'$, the map $h_y : Z(T) \to F$ given by $h_y(f) = h(f)(y)$ is regular.

Note that the composition of a regular and a polynomial map is regular.

As before, let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the set of all pairs (i,j) such that $e_iMe_j \neq 0$, $e_jMe_i \neq 0$, $\dim_F e_iMe_j \leq \dim_F e_jMe_i$ and let $N^{>} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{K}} e_jMe_i$, $N^{<} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{K}} e_iMe_j$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an S-subbimodule of $N^{>}$, Z-freely generated, such that $(N^{<})^* \cong N^{>}/\mathcal{L}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_1$ be an S-subbimodule of $N^{>}$, Z-freely generated, such that $N^{>} = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}_1$. Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_s\}$ be a Z-free generating set of $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\{w_{s+1}, \dots, w_{s+t}\}$ be a Z-free generating set of $\mathcal{L}$. Let $B(T)_m$ be the F-basis of $(M^{\otimes m})_{cyc}$ consisting of all the elements of the form $x = t_1(x)a_1(x)t_2(x)\ldots t_m(x)a_m(x)t_{m+1}(x)$ where $t_i(x) \in L(\sigma(a_i(x)))$, $t_{m+1}(x) \in L(\tau(a_m(x)))$, $a_i(x) \in T$. Let $B(T) = \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} B(T)_m$.

In what follows, we will use the following notation: $T^{>} = T \cap N^{>}$ and $T^{<} = T \cap N^{<}$. Let W be the F-basis of $N^{>}$ associated to the Z-free generating set $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{s+t}\}$ of $N^{>}$. Note that $W = W_1 \cup W_2$ where W_1 consists of all the non-zero elements of the form $z = t(z)w(z)r(z)$, $t(z), r(z) \in L$, $w(z) \in \{w_1, \ldots, w_s\}$ and W_2 consists of all the non-zero elements of the form $z = t(z)w(z)r(z)$, $t(z), r(z) \in L$, $w(z) \in \{w_{s+1}, \ldots, w_{s+t}\}$. Let $a \in T^{<}$ and $x \in B(T)_2$, then each $X_{a^*}(x)$ can be written as $\sum_{w \in W} c_{a,w}(x)w$ where $c_{a,w}(x) \in F$.

Then for each potential P with $f = \underline{c}(P)$ and $a \in T^{<}$:

$$X^{P^{(2)}}(a^*) = \sum_{x \in B(T)_2} \sum_{w \in W} f(x)c_{a,w}(x)w = \sum_{w \in W} \left(\sum_{x \in B(T)_2} f(x)c_{a,w}(x) \right) w$$

Note that the set of all non-zero elements of $T' = \{ta^*r : t, r \in L, a \in T^{<}\}$ is an F-basis of $(N^{<})^*$. For each $y \in T'$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{P^{(2)}}(y) &= \sum_{w \in W'} \left(\sum_{x \in B(T)_2} f(x)c_{a(y),w'}(x) \right) t(y)w'r(y) \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} \left(\sum_{w' \in W} \sum_{x \in B(T)_2} f(x)c_{a(y),w'}(x)\lambda_w^{t(y)w'r(y)} \right) w \end{aligned}$$

where $t(y)w'r(y) = \sum_{w \in W} \lambda_w^{t(y)w'r(y)}w$, $\lambda_w^{t(y)w'r(y)} \in F$. Consider the square matrix $(k_{y,w})_{y \in T', w \in W_1}$ where:

$$k_{y,w} = \sum_{w' \in W} \sum_{x \in B(T)_2} f(x)c_{a(y),w'}(x)\lambda_w^{t(y)w'r(y)}$$

Then the correspondence $P \mapsto det(k_{y,w})$ is a polynomial map T_W. We have that $T_W(P) = \underline{T}_W(\underline{c}(P))$ here $\underline{T}_W(Z_x) = det(\underline{k}_{y,w})$ where:

$$\underline{k}_{y,w} = \sum_{w' \in W} \sum_{x \in B(T)_2} Z_x c_{a(y),w'}(x)\lambda_w^{t(y)w'r(y)}$$

Let $\varsigma : \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc} \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$ be the F-linear map such that for each $x \in B(T) \setminus (N \otimes_S N)$, $\varsigma(x) = x$; now if $x = t_1(x)a_1(x)t_2(x)a_2(x)t_3(x)$, $x \in N \otimes_S N$ and $a_1(x) \in T^{<}$ then $\varsigma(x) = a_1(x)t_2(x)a_2(x)t_3(x)t_1(x)$; if $a_1(x) \notin T^{<}$ then $a_2(x) \in T^{<}$ and we set $\varsigma(x) = a_2(x)t_3(x)t_1(x)a_1(x)t_2(x)$. Clearly P and $\varsigma(P)$ are cyclically equivalent and thus $X_{a^*}(P) = X_{a^*}(\varsigma(P))$. As in proposition 7.10, we have:

$$\varsigma(P) = \sum_{a \in T^{<}} aX_{a^*}(P^{(2)}) + \varsigma(P^{\geq 3})$$

Recall that for each $(i,j) \in \mathcal{K}$ we have $\dim_F e_iMe_j \leq \dim_F e_jMe_i$ and thus $|T^{<} \cap e_iMe_j| \leq |T^{>} \cap e_jMe_i|$. Therefore we can enumerate the elements of $T^{<}$ as $\{a_1, \ldots, a_s\}$ and the elements of $T^{>}$ as $\{b_1, \ldots, b_s, b_{s+1}, \ldots, b_{s+t}\}$ in such a way that $a_u \in e_iMe_j$ if and only if $b_u \in e_jMe_i$ for all $u = 1, \ldots, s$.

Let P be a potential such that $\underline{c}(P^{(2)}) \in Z(\underline{T}_W)$, then $P^{(2)}$ is maximal; thus $N^{>} = \operatorname{Im}(X^{P^{(2)}}) \oplus \mathcal{L}$ for some S-subbimodule Z-freely generated $\mathcal{L}$ of $N^{>}$. Note that a Z-free generating set of $N^{>}$ is given by the elements $X_{a^*}(P^{(2)})$ where $a \in T^{<}$ and $w_{s+1}, \ldots, w_{s+t}$ where the latter is a Z-free generating set of $\mathcal{L}$. Thus there exists an isomorphism of S-bimodules $\phi^P : M \to M$ such that for each $a \notin T^{>}$, $\phi^P(a) = a$; $\phi^P(X_{(a_i)^*}(P^{(2)})) = b_i$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $\phi^P(w_{s+j}) = b_{s+j} \in T^{>}$. Then:

$$\phi^P(\varsigma(P)) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_jb_j + \phi^P(\varsigma(P^{\geq 3}))$$

Let us compute the coordinates of $\phi^P(\varsigma(P))$.

Associated to the Z-free generating set $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{s+t}\}$ we have an F-basis W of $N^{>}$. Similarly, associated to the Z-free generating set consisting of all the elements $X^{P^{(2)}}(a^*)$ where $a \in T^{<}$ and $w_{s+1}, \ldots, w_{s+t}$ we have an F-basis Y' of $N^{>}$. Thus the change of basis matrix from Y' to W has the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(P) & 0 \\ B(P) & I \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A(P) = [k_{y,w}(P)]_{y \in T', w \in W_1}$ and the entries of the matrix $A(P)$ are polynomial functions in $\underline{c}(P)$.

Hence the change-of-basis matrix from W to Y' is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(P)^{-1} & 0 \\ -B(P)A(P)^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix}$$

and the coefficients of this matrix are regular functions in $Z(\underline{T}_W)$.

Therefore for every $w \in W$, $w = \sum\limits_{y' \in Y'} \beta_{w,y'}(P)y'$ where each $\beta_{w,y'}(P)$ is a regular function in $Z(\underline{T}_W)$. If x is an element of the F-basis of $N^{>}$ determined by $T^{>}$, then $x = \sum\limits_{w \in W} \lambda_{x,w} w$ with $\lambda_{x,w} \in F$. Therefore

$$x = \sum_{w,y'} \lambda_{x,w}\beta_{w,y'}(P)y'$$

Thus $\phi^P(x) = \sum\limits_{w,y'} \lambda_{x,w}\beta_{w,y'}(P)\phi^P(y')$ and $\phi^P(y')$ lies in the F-basis determined by T. Therefore for each $x \in B(T)_m$, $\phi^P(x) = \sum\limits_{x' \in B(T)_m} \alpha_{x,x'}(P)x'$ where each $\alpha_{x,x'}(P)$ is a regular function in $Z(\underline{T}_W)$. We obtain

$$\phi^P(\varsigma(P)) = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{x' \in B(T)_m} \left(\sum_{x \in B(T)_m} \alpha_{x,x'}(P)f(x) \right) x'$$

It follows that the map $\psi : Z(T_W) \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$ given by $\psi(P) = \phi^P(\varsigma(P))$ is a regular function, and

$$\psi(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i b_i + \psi(P)^{\geq 3}$$

Consider now the F-linear map $\xi : \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc} \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$ defined as follows. If $x \in B(T)_m$ for $m \geq 2$ and $a_j(x) \notin \{a_1, \ldots, a_s, b_1, \ldots, b_s\}$ we set $\xi(x) = x$. If $x \in B(T)_m$ with $m \geq 2$, and if for some j, $a_j(x) \in T^{<}$, then choose j minimal. If $j = 1$ then $\xi(x) = a_1(x)t_2(x) \ldots a_m(x)t_{m+1}(x)t_1(x) \in M^{\otimes m}$; if $j > 1$ then $\xi(x) = a_j(x)t_{j+1}(x) \ldots a_m t_{m+1}(x)t_1(x)a_1(x) \ldots a_{j-1}(x)t_j(x) \in M^{\otimes m}$.

If none of the a_i lie in $T^{<}$ but some a_i equals b_i, with $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, then choose i maximal with respect this property; if $i = m$ set $\xi(x) = t_{m+1}(x)t_1(x)a_1(x) \ldots t_m(x)a_m(x)$; if $i < m$ define

$$\xi(x) = t_{i+1}(x)a_{i+1}(x) \ldots t_m(x)a_m(x)t_{m+1}(x)t_1(x)a_1(x) \ldots t_{i-1}(x)a_i(x) \in M^{\otimes m}$$

Clearly P and $\xi(P)$ are cyclically equivalent.

In what follows, $B(T)_{i,m}$ is the set of all $x \in B(T)_m$ such that $t_1(x) = 1$ and $a_1(x) = a_i$; for such a_i we define $\rho(x)$ as $a_i\rho(x) = x$. Similarly, $B(T)_{m,i}$ is the set of all $x \in B(T)_m$ such that $a_i(x) \notin T^{<}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $a_m(x) = b_i$, and we define $\lambda(x)$ as the element such that $\lambda(x)b_i = x$.

Given a potential P with coordinates f we define a unitriangular automorphism φ^P of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ as follows. For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ let

$$\varphi^{P}(a_i) := a_i - \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in B(T)_{m,i}} f(x)\lambda(x)$$
$$\varphi^{P}(b_i) := b_i - \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \sum_{x \in B(T)_{i,m}} f(x)\rho(x)$$

and $\varphi(a) = a$ for the remaining elements $a \in T$.

Define $\tau : \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc} \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$ as $\tau(P) = \varphi^{P}(P)$, note that τ is a polynomial map. Then the composition $\tau\varsigma : \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc} \to \mathcal{F}_S(M)_{cyc}$ is a polynomial map. The splitting theorem implies that if P is a potential of the form $P = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i b_i + P^{\geq 3}$ then

(1) The sequence $\{(\tau\varsigma)^n(P)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $Q(P)$ where $Q(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_i b_i + Q(P)^{\geq 3}$, $M = M_1 \oplus M'$, M_1 is Z-freely generated by $\{a_1, \ldots, a_s, b_1, \ldots, b_s\}$ and M' is Z-freely generated by all the elements of T that are not in $\{a_1, \ldots, a_s, b_1, \ldots, b_s\}$.
(2) For each $x \in B(T)_m$, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if f denotes the coordinates of $Q(P)$ then $f(x) = \underline{c}((\tau\varsigma)^n(P))(x)$ for every $n \geq N_0$.

Let M be an S-bimodule, Z-freely generated, such that $(M^{\otimes 2})_{cyc} = \{0\}$. Recall that for a fixed $k \in [1, \ldots, n]$ the notation $\widetilde{M}$ denotes the S-bimodule $\bar{e_k} M \bar{e_k} \oplus M e_k M \oplus (e_k M)^* \oplus^* (M e_k)$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ be the set of all pairs (i,j) such that $e_i \widetilde{M} e_j \neq 0$, $e_j \widetilde{M} e_i \neq 0$ and $\dim_F(e_i \widetilde{M} e_j) \leq \dim_F(e_j \widetilde{M} e_i)$. For $i \neq k$ we have

$$e_k \widetilde{M} e_i =^* (e_i M e_k),\ e_i \widetilde{M} e_k = (e_k M e_i)^*$$

Therefore (i,k) and (k,i) are not in $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$. Now suppose $i \neq k$ and $j \neq k$, then

$$e_i \widetilde{M} e_j = e_i M e_j \oplus e_i M e_k M e_j$$
$$e_j \widetilde{M} e_i = e_j M e_i \oplus e_j M e_k M e_i$$

Thus if $(i,j) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ then there are two cases:

$$\dim_F e_i M e_j \leq \dim_F(e_j M e_k M e_i)$$

or

$$\dim_F e_i M e_k M e_j \leq \dim_F(e_j M e_i)$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{(i,j)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{K}}} (e_i \widetilde{M} e_j + e_j \widetilde{M} e_i)$ and let $\widetilde{T}$ be the Z-free generating set of $\widetilde{M}$ induced by Lemma 8.7. Denote by $B(\widetilde{T})_m$ the F-basis associated to $((\widetilde{M})^{\otimes m})_{cyc}$ and $B(\widetilde{T}) = \bigcup_{m=2}^{\infty} B(\widetilde{T})_m$. Let $s(i,j)$ be the number of Z-free generators of $e_i \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{<} e_j$ and $t(i,j)$ be the number of Z-free generators of $e_j \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>} e_i$. Then by definition:

$$d_i s(i,j) d_j = \dim_F e_i \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{<} e_j \leq \dim_F e_j \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>} e_i = d_j t(i,j) d_i$$

thus $s(i,j) \leq t(i,j)$ and therefore there exists Z-free generating sets $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s\}$, $\{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s, \beta_{s+1}, \ldots, \beta_{s+t}\}$ of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{<}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>}$ respectively, such that $\alpha_j \beta_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, s$.

Define $\mu_k' M$ as the S-subbimodule of M generated by the complement of $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_s\}$ in $\widetilde{T}$.

In what follows, given a potential P, we use the notations $\mu_k P$ and $\bar{\mu_k} P$ as in definitions 37 and 42.

PROPOSITION 12.2. *Let P_0 be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that for some $k \in [1,n]$, $(\mu_k(P_0))^{(2)}$ is maximal. Then there exists a polynomial $T(Z_x)$ such that $T(\underline{c}(P_0)) \neq 0$ and a regular function $\phi : Z(T(Z_x)) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k' M)$ such that for each potential P with $T(\underline{c}(P)) \neq 0$ we have $\bar{\mu_k}(P) = \phi(P)$.*

Proof. Let κ be the F-linear endomorphism of $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ defined on page 52, then $\mu_k P_0 = \mu_k(\kappa(P_0))$. By assumption $P_2 = \mu_k((\kappa(P_0))^{(2)}$ is maximal, thus $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>} = \operatorname{Im}(X^{P_2}) \oplus \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ for some S-subbimodule $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, Z-freely generated, of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>}$. Let $\{w_1, \ldots, w_s\}$ be a Z-free generating set of $\operatorname{Im}(X^{P_2})$ and $\{w_{s+1}, \ldots, w_{s+t}\}$ be a Z-free generating set of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Denote by W the F-basis associated to this collection of Z-free generators of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>}$. Thus, there exists a polynomial map $T_W : \mathcal{F}_S(\widetilde{M}) \to F$ such that if P' is a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(\widetilde{M})$ and $T_W(P') \neq 0$ then $X^{P'} : (\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{<})^* \to \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>}$ is injective. The composition $\phi_1 = \mu_k\kappa : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widetilde{M})$ is polynomial, hence induces a polynomial map $\underline{\phi_1} : F^{B(T)} \to F^{B(\tilde{T})}$. We obtain a polynomial map $T_W\phi_1 : \mathcal{F}_S(M) \to F$ and this map is determined by a polynomial $T(Z_x) \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)}$ such that $T_W\phi_1(P) = T(\underline{c}(P))$. Since $T_W(\phi_1(P_0)) \neq 0$ then $T(Z_x) \neq 0$. We obtain a regular function $Z(T_W) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widetilde{M})_{cyc}$ which maps P' to $Q(\psi(P'))$ where ψ and Q are constructed as in page 67. Thus, we have a regular function:

$$\phi_2 : Z(T_W) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\widetilde{M})_{cyc}$$

defined as $\phi_2(P) = Q(\psi(\phi_1(P)))$. Consider the projection $\widetilde{M} \twoheadrightarrow \mu_k' M$, this induces a map $\pi : \mathcal{F}_S(\widetilde{M})_{cyc} \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k' M)$. Let $\phi = \pi\phi_2 : Z(T_W) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_k' M)$, then ϕ is a regular map and by construction $\phi(P) = \bar{\mu_k} P$ for each $P \in Z(T_W)$. This completes the proof.

□

Proposition 12.3. *Let $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_l$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Let P_0 be a potential in $\mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that the sequence $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \ldots \bar{\mu}_{k_1} P_0$ exists, then there exists a polynomial $T \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)}$ and a regular map $\phi : Z(T) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_l} \ldots \mu_{k_1} M)_{cyc}$ such that $P_0 \in Z(T)$ and for every $P \in Z(T)$, $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \ldots \bar{\mu}_{k_1} P$ exists and $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \ldots \bar{\mu}_{k_1} P = \phi(P)$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on l. If $l = 1$ then the result follows from the previous proposition. Suppose then that the assertion holds for $l - 1$ and let us show it holds for l. Using the previous proposition, we obtain a polynomial $T_1 \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)}$ and a regular map

$$\phi_1 : Z(T_1) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_1} M)_{cyc}$$

and also the corresponding regular map: $\underline{\phi}_1 : \underline{Z}(T_1) \to F^{B(\mu_{k_1} T)}$ with $P_0 \in Z(T_1)$ and such that for each $P \in Z(T_1)$, $\bar{\mu_{k}}_1 P$ exists and equals $\phi_1(P)$. By induction hypothesis, there exists a polynomial $T_2 \in F[Z_y]_{y \in B(\mu_{k_1} T)}$ and a regular map

$$\phi_2 : Z(T_2) \to \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_l} \ldots \mu_{k_1} M)_{cyc}$$

and the corresponding regular map $\underline{\phi}_2 : \underline{Z}(T_2) \to F^{B(\mu_{k_l} \cdots \mu_{k_1} T)}$ such that $\mu_{k_1} P_0 \in Z(T_2)$ and for each $P' \in Z(T_2)$, $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \ldots \bar{\mu}_{k_2} P'$ exists and equals $\phi_2(P')$. Since $\underline{\phi}_1$ is regular then for each $y \in B(\mu_{k_1} T)$ there exists a polynomial $G_y \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)}$ such that for $f \in \underline{Z}(T_1)$

$$(\underline{\phi}_1)_y(f) = \underline{\phi}_1(f)(y) = G_y(f(x))/T_1(f(x))^{m(y)}$$

for some natural number $m(y)$. Similarly, since $\underline{\phi}_2$ is regular, then for every $u \in B(\mu_{k_l} \ldots \mu_{k_1} T)$ and $g \in \underline{Z}(T_2)$ there exists $H_u \in F[Z_y]_{y \in B(\mu_{k_1} T)}$ such that for $g \in \underline{Z}(T_2)$

$$(\underline{\phi}_2)_u(g) = \underline{\phi}_2(g)(u) = H_u(g(y))/T_2(g(y))^{m(u)}$$

for some natural number $m(u)$. Consider the polynomial $T_2(G_y(Z_x)) \in F[Z_x]_{x \in B(T)}$. We claim that this is a non-zero polynomial. Indeed, by assumption $\mu_{k_1} P_0 \in Z(T_2)$, thus if $f_0 = \underline{c}(P_0)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq T_2(\underline{c}(\mu_{k_1} P_0)(y)) &= T_2(\underline{\phi}_1(f_0)(y)) \\ &= T_2(\underline{\phi}_1(f_0(y))) \\ &= T_2(G_y(f_0(x))/T_1(f_0(x))^{m(y)}) \\ &= T_2(G_y(f_0(x)))/T_1(f_0(x))^t \end{aligned}$$

for some natural number t. Thus $T_2(G_y(f_0(x))) \neq 0$ and the claim follows. Now consider the non-zero polynomial $T(Z_x) := T_2(G_y(Z_x))T_1(Z_x)$. Clearly $Z(T) \subseteq Z(T_1)$ and if $f \in Z(T)$ then as before

$$T_2(\underline{\phi}_1 f) = T_2(G_y(f(x)))/T_1(f_0(x))^t \neq 0$$

Thus the image of $Z(T)$ under the map ϕ_1 is contained in $Z(T_2)$ and the composition of the maps:

$$Z(T_1) \overset{\phi_1}{\to} Z(T_2) \overset{\phi_2}{\to} \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_l} \dots \mu_{k_1} M)_{cyc}$$

yields a regular map ϕ. Therefore if $P \in Z(T)$ then $P \in Z(T_1)$, thus $\bar{\mu}_{k_1} P$ is defined and $\bar{\mu}_{k_1} P = \phi_1(P)$. Since $\phi_1(P) \in Z(T_2)$, then $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \dots \bar{\mu}_{k_2}(\bar{\mu}_{k_1} P)$ is defined and equals $\phi_2\phi_1(P) = \phi(P)$. This completes the proof.

□

LEMMA 12.4. *Let k be an element of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then there exists a potential $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that the mutation $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined.*

Proof. Let s, t be distinct elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since M is Z-freely generated by M_0 then

$$\begin{aligned} e_s M e_k M e_t &\cong D_s \otimes_F e_s M_0 e_k \otimes_F D_k \otimes_{D_k} D_k \otimes_F e_k M_0 e_t \otimes_F D_t \\ &= D_s \otimes_F e_s M_0 e_k \otimes_F D_k \otimes_F e_k M_0 e_t \otimes_F D_t \end{aligned}$$

For each l, q, r define

$$\begin{aligned} m^0_{l,q} &:= \dim_F e_l M_0 e_q \\ d_r &:= \dim_F D_r \end{aligned}$$

Then $\dim_F e_s M e_k M e_t = d_s m^0_{s,k} d_k m^0_{k,t} d_t$ and $\dim_F e_t M e_s = d_t m^0_{t,s} d_s$.

Recall that $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}} = \{(s,t) : \dim_F e_s M e_k M e_t \leq \dim_F e_t M e_s\} \cup \{(s,t) : \dim_F e_s M e_t \leq \dim_F e_t M e_k M e_s\}$.

Let $(s,t) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}$ and suppose that $\dim_F e_s M e_k M e_t \leq \dim_F e_t M e_s$ then $d_s m^0_{s,k} d_k m^0_{k,t} d_t \leq d_t m^0_{t,s} d_s$. This implies that $m^0_{s,k} d_k m^0_{k,t} \leq m^0_{t,s}$. Define the sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_1 &= \{(s,t) : m^0_{s,k} d_k m^0_{k,t} \leq m^0_{t,s}\} \\ \mathcal{X}_2 &= \{(s,t) : m^0_{s,k} d_k m^0_{k,t} > m^0_{t,s}\} \end{aligned}$$

Given $(s,t) \in \mathcal{X}_1$ choose F-bases $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{l(s,t)}\}$, $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{l(s,t)}, g_{l(s,t)+1}, \dots, g_{r(s,t)}\}$ of $e_s M_0 e_k \otimes_F D_k \otimes_F e_k M_0 e_t$ and $e_t M_0 e_s$ respectively. Similarly, given $(a,b) \in \mathcal{X}_2$ choose F-bases $\{h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_{p(a,b)}, \dots, h'_{q(a,b)}\}$, $\{g'_1, \dots, g'_{p(a,b)}\}$ of $e_a M_0 e_k \otimes_F D_k \otimes_F e_k M_0 e_b$ and $e_b M_0 e_a$. Consider the following reduced potential

$$P = \sum_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{X}_1} \sum_{i=1}^{l(s,t)} h_i g_i + \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{X}_2} \sum_{i=1}^{p(a,b)} h'_i g'_i$$

Then

$$(\widetilde{P})^{(2)} = (\mu_k P)^{(2)} = \sum_{(s,t) \in \mathcal{X}_1} \sum_{i=1}^{l(s,t)} [h_i] g_i + \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{X}_2} \sum_{i=1}^{p(a,b)} [h'_i] g'_i$$

Since $X^{(\mu_k P)^{(2)}}$ maps a Z-free generating set of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{<})^*$ to a linearly independent subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}^{>}$, then $(\mu_k P)^{(2)}$ is maximal. It follows that the mutation $\bar{\mu}_k P$ is defined.

□

PROPOSITION 12.5. *Let $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_l$ be an arbitrary sequence of elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then there exists a potential $P \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that the mutation $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \dots \bar{\mu}_{k_2} \bar{\mu}_{k_1} P$ exists.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on l. The base case $l = 1$ follows from Lemma 12.4. Suppose then that the assertion holds for $l - 1$. By induction hypothesis, there exists a potential $Q \in \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_1} M)$ such that $\bar{\mu}_{k_l} \dots \bar{\mu}_{k_2} Q$ exists. By the base case, there exists a potential $Q' \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ such that $\bar{\mu}_{k_1}Q'$ exists. Using Proposition 12.3 we obtain a polynomial $T \in F[Z_x]_{x\in B(\mu_{k_1}T)}$ such that $T(\underline{c}(Q)) \neq 0$ and for each potential $Q'' \in \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_1}M)$ satisfying $T(\underline{c}(Q'')) \neq 0$ then $\bar{\mu}_{k_l}\dots\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q'')$ exists. Applying Proposition 12.3 once more yields a polynomial $T' \in F[Z_x]_{x\in B(\mu_{k_1}T)}$ with $T'(\underline{c}(Q')) \neq 0$ and for every potential $Q''' \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ satisfying $T'(\underline{c}(Q''')) \neq 0$ then $\bar{\mu}_{k_1}(Q''')$ exists. Since the product polynomial $T'T \in F[Z_x]_{x\in B(\mu_{k_1}T)}$ is non-zero and F is infinite, then we can choose a potential $Q_0 \in \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_1}M)$ such that $\underline{c}(Q_0) \in Z(T'T)$. Thus $T'(\underline{c}(Q_0)) \neq 0$ and $T(\underline{c}(Q_0)) \neq 0$. The first condition implies that $\bar{\mu}_{k_1}Q_0$ exists; the second condition implies that $\bar{\mu}_{k_l}\dots\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q_0)$ exists. By construction, $\bar{\mu}_{k_1}Q_0 \in \mathcal{F}_S(\mu_{k_1}\mu_{k_1}M) \cong \mathcal{F}_S(M)$. Using the latter isomorphism we obtain a potential $P_0 \in \mathcal{F}_S(M)$ and a right-equivalence $P_0 \sim \bar{\mu}_{k_1}Q_0$. Since $T(\underline{c}(Q_0)) \neq 0$ then $\bar{\mu}_{k_l}\dots\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q_0)$ exists. In particular this implies that $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q_0)$ exists. This yields a right-equivalence between $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q_0)$ and $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P_0$ and therefore $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P_0$ exists. As $\bar{\mu}_{k_l}\dots\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q_0)$ exists then in particular $\bar{\mu}_{k_3}\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q_0)$ exists. Using the right-equivalence between $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}(Q_0)$ and $\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P_0$ we obtain that $\bar{\mu}_{k_3}\bar{\mu}_{k_2}\bar{\mu}_{k_1}P_0$ exists. Continuing in this way gives the desired result. □

References

1. R. Bautista, D. López-Aguayo. *Tensor algebras and decorated representations.* arXiv:1606.01974.
2. L. Demonet. *Mutations of group species with potentials and their representations. Applications to cluster algebras.* arXiv:1003.5078.
3. H. Derksen, J. Weyman and A. Zelevinsky. *Quivers with potentials and their representations I: Mutations.* Selecta Math. **14** (2008), no. 1, 59-119. arXiv:0704.0649.
4. S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky. *Cluster algebras I. Foundations.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no.2, 497-529. arXiv:0104151.
5. B.Nguefack. *Potentials and Jacobian algebras for tensor algebras of bimodules.* arXiv:1004.2213
6. D. Labardini-Fragoso and A. Zelevinsky. *Strongly primitive species with potentials I: Mutations.* Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana (Third series), Vol. **22**, (2016), Issue 1, 47-115. arXiv:1306.3495
7. G.-C.Rota, B.Sagan and P.R.Stein. *A cyclic derivative in noncommutative algebra.* Journal of Algebra. **64** (1980) 54-75.

Raymundo Bautista and Daniel López-Aguayo
Centro De Ciencias Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 61-3 (Xangari)
Morelia, Michoacán 58089
Mexico

raymundo@matmor.unam.mx
dlopez@matmor.unam.mx